

Government Publications

Twenty-Fifth Annual Report

OF THE

# ONTARIO RAILWAY

AND

# MUNICIPAL BOARD

To December 31st, 1930

PRESENTED TO THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.
BY COMMAND



TORONTO

Printed and Published by the Printer to the King's Most Excellent Majesty



# PRESENTED TO THE UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO LIBRARY

FROM THE BEQUEST OF
IRENE SPRY
PROFESSOR EMERITUS
DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS
UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA

WESTERN HISTORIAN

# Twenty-Fifth Annual Report

OF THE

# ONTARIO RAILWAY

AND

# MUNICIPAL BOARD

To December 31st, 1930

PRESENTED TO THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY.
BY COMMAND

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 24, 1931



**TORONTO** 

Printed and Published by the Printer to the King's Most Excellent Majesty
1931

Remussions (

ifth Annual Report

OF THE

# 110 RAILWAY

AND



UVAL PAPER No. 24, 1931



TORONTO

Printer to the King's Most Excellent Manager

180

To The Honourable William Donald Ross,

Lieutenant-Governor of the Province of Ontario, in Council.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR HONOUR:

The undersigned has the honour to transmit herewith the Twenty-fifth Report of The Ontario Railway and Municipal Board for the year ending, December 31st, 1930.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAM H. PRICE,

Attorney-General.

Parliament Buildings, Toronto. Parliament Buildings, Toronto, March 5th, 1931.

DEAR SIR:

RE TWENTY-FIFTH ANNUAL REPORT

I have the honour to send you herewith the Twenty-fifth Annual Report of The Ontario Railway and Municipal Board to December 31st, 1930.

I have the honour to be,

Your obedient servant,

H. C. SMALL,

Secretary.

The Honourable the Attorney-General, Parliament Buildings, Toronto.

## ORGANIZATION

The Organization of The Ontario Railway and Municipal Board of the Province of Ontario is as follows:

C. R. McKeown, K.C.	
A. B. INGRAM	Vice-Chairman
J. A. Ellis	
H. C. SMALL	
F. Dagger	Supervisor of Telephone Systems
J. A. McDonald	Inspector of Telephone Service
W. C. Coo	Official Court Reporter
E. A. Crosland	. Inspector of Street and Radial Railways
G. A. Brown	Tariff Expert

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2022 with funding from University of Toronto

### TWENTY-FIFTH ANNUAL REPORT

OF THE

# Ontario Railway and Municipal Board

to December 31st, 1930

In pursuance of Section 56 of "The Railway and Municipal Board Act," The Ontario Railway and Municipal Board beg leave respectfully to submit their Twenty-fifth Annual Report.

#### SITTINGS OF THE BOARD

The Board held meetings for the transaction of routine business every juridical day throughout the year. The record of the sessions of the Board and an abstract of the proceedings, together with the Judgments or Opinions of

the Board, appear in the Appendix.

In this connection it should be stated that about eighty per cent. of the Board's work is not conducted at the formal sessions of the Board shown in the abstract of proceedings above mentioned. This office work of the Board is transacted usually upon written applications and not in the way of formal hearing of parties interested. A classified abstract of the office work of the Board disposed of in the way of formal applications without hearing the parties will be found in the Appendix. In addition to this there is the usual office work of considering and replying to enquiries and other routine correspondence. A short reference is hereafter made, under different sub-headings, of the applications dealt with by the Board ex parte or without a formal hearing of the parties interested.

#### APPLICATIONS TO THE BOARD

There were 692 formal applications made to the Board in 1930. Of the formal applications all those in which the parties were ready to go to trial have been heard and disposed of, except in a few cases where adjournments were granted to the parties at the request of Counsel or in order to procure further evidence or to obtain reports from experts in connection with technical matters in question.

Some of the formal applications are still standing for trial, as in the more important matters the parties interested are showing an increasing disposition to take advantage of the opportunities afforded them by the Board's rules for obtaining discovery and production, thus, to some extent, delaying the final dates of the hearing of the applications, but in reality facilitating the final disposition at the hearings of all the matters in question between the parties.

#### LAW STAMPS

The amount of revenue collected by the Board in Law Stamps in the year 1930 was \$10,859.50. In 1925, the amount collected was \$7,262.00; in 1926, \$5,542.50; in 1927, \$6,688.60; in 1928, \$6,167.60, and in 1929, \$6,935.50.

#### PROVINCIAL RAILWAYS

An alphabetical list (under the names of the railway systems affected) of applications to the Board during 1930, affecting provincial railways, is contained in the Appendix to this Report.

Extensions of and improvements to Provincial Railways during 1930, as reported to the Board, will be found in the Appendix (arranged alphabetically)

under the names of the several systems reporting.

A tabulation (arranged alphabetically) of railways under the Board's jurisdiction, will be found in the Appendix. This tabulation shows, for each railway, the lengths of first and second main track, total main track, sidings and turnouts, the total computed as single track, the length under construction, the number of power houses and whether steam or water and whence power obtained.

There will be found in the Appendix an analytical tabulated summary of Accidents Reports received by the Board for 1930, also a continuation (up to and inclusive of 1930) of the Board's index to Railway Legislation.

The Appendix also contains tables showing provincial aid to railways since Confederation, and an index to Legislation, Dominion and Provincial, since 1867, affecting railways in Ontario.

#### REPORTS TO THE HOUSE

In pursuance of Rule 72 of the House, the Board made enquiry into and reported upon four Financial Bills which were introduced last session into the Legislature. A reference to these Bills so reported will be found in the Appendix.

#### ANNEXATIONS OF TERRITORY

There were eight applications made in 1930 by urban municipalities for annexation of additional territory thereto. An alphabetical list of these annexation applications is contained in the Appendix.

#### VALIDATION OF MUNICIPAL DEBENTURES

## ..... (Section 304 of "The Municipal Act")

There were 151 applications to the Board under the above legislation in 1930, involving debentures of a total value of \$11,339,944.85. Acting under the powers conferred by such legislation the Board was able to grant relief in nearly all of these cases, included in which were 100 by-laws affected by irregularities which would otherwise have probably required special Acts of the, Legislature to make the debentures thereunder valid and saleable.

Municipalities are showing an increasing disposition to have their by-laws and debentures validated under said section 304, even in cases where no irregularities occur requiring the curative powers of the Board thereunder, as they have found that such validation facilitates the marketing of their debentures.

The amount of debentures validated by the Board during 1925 was \$4,544,784.49; in 1926, \$2,689,309.24; in 1927, \$4,770,868.50; in 1928, \$4,726,726.17, and in 1929, \$5,762,002.06. An alphabetical list of these applica-

tions filed in 1930 is given in the Appendix and will be found indexed under the word "Validation."

#### ARBITRATIONS

In 1930 the Board officiated as Arbitrators in respect of five different references, three of which were made to it under "The Highway Improvement Act." The other references were in connection with expropriation proceedings under "The Niagara Parks Act" and "The Public Works Act."

#### Assessment Appeals

There were two assessment appeals to the Board during the year 1930. The assessed value of the property affected by these appeals was over \$650,000.00. An alphabetical list of these assessment appeals is contained in the Appendix.

#### RAILWAY RETURNS

Annual Reports to December 31st, 1930, by Railway Companies under the Board's jurisdiction were received, of which a summarized tabulation has been prepared for publication herein, and will be found in the Appendix. The Board has no means of auditing the reports as received, and does not therefore represent or guarantee that the figures taken therefrom are correct or accurate. Heretofore these reports were copied and tabulated as received and published in the Board's Annual Report, this practice was discontinued for the first time in 1924.

#### ACCIDENTS

A tabulated summary of Accident Reports received by the Board from Provincial Railways during the year 1930 appears in the Appendix, and shows that 22 persons were killed and 899 injured during the year.

In 1925, 17 persons were killed and 666 injured; in 1926, 30 persons were killed and 710 injured; in 1927, 20 persons were killed and 761 injured; in 1928, 31 persons were killed and 848 injured, and in 1929, 30 persons were killed and 1,017 injured.

#### ONTARIO SAFETY LEAGUE

Early in 1913 the members of the Board called together representatives of various organizations which were specially interested in the question of street traffic. Representatives were sent from the Board of Trade, Canadian Manufacturers' Association, City Council, Ontario Motor League, Board of Education, Separate School Board, Toronto Railway Company, Toronto District Labour Council, Boy Scouts, Team Owners' Association, and many others. Every delegate realized that the question was one that dealt with actual dangers and concerted action must be taken. After numerous conferences the Ontario Safety League was organized on the 17th September, 1913. The League has since done much good and vitally useful work towards lessening the dangers of travel, especially on congested highways.

In addition to its provincial organization the League has become National in its organizations and operations. See summary of the League's 1930 campaign which appears in the Appendix.

#### PLANS

### (Land Subdivisions)

Under "The Planning and Development Act" (Chapter 236, R.S.O. 1927); "The Land Titles Act" (Chapter 158, R.S.O. 1927, Section 110), and "The Registry Act" (Chapter 155, R.S.O. 1927, Section 80, Subsections 14 and 18), the Board considered during the year forty-seven applications for approval of plans, deeds of conveyance, etc. An alphabetical list of the owners of property in question in these applications will be found in the Appendix.

In 1925, fifty-nine such applications were considered, forty-four in 1926, thirty-five in 1927; thirty-eight in 1928 and fifty-three in 1929.

#### FORMS

.The Board has (for distribution to parties interested) the following forms and specifications, namely:

- (1) The Board's Rules of Practice and Procedure and Practice Forms;
- (2) Regulations, Specifications and Forms respecting Railways;
- (3) Standard Specifications for Bridges, Viaducts, Trestles or other structures:
- (4) Pamphlet containing copy of "The Telephone Act," and with information regarding Provincial Telephone Systems, and including—
  - (a) Specifications for construction and equipment of telephone systems;
  - (b) Form of By-law providing for the establishment of a telephone system under Part II of "The Telephone Act";
  - (c) Form of By-law providing for the issue of debentures to pay for the cost of establishing a telephone system under Part II of "The Telephone Act":
  - (d) Form of By-law to regulate the management and operation of a telephone system established under Part II of "The Telephone Act";
  - (e) Form of Annual Report to be furnished to the subscribers to a telephone system established under Part II of "The Telephone Act";
  - (f) Sample form of Annual Report and Balance Sheet for telephone companies;
  - (g) Form of Account for use by telephone companies using the "Discount System of Collections";
    - (h) Form of Municipal Debentures—Instalment Plan;
    - (i) Form of Constitution and By-laws for a Telephone Company;
- (5) Forms of Petition praying for the establishment or extension of a telephone system under Part II of "The Telephone Act";
- (6) Forms of By-laws granting to a telephone company the right to use the highways of a township;
- (7) Regulations and specifications for telephone or telegraph wires crossing railway;
  - (8) Form for Return by Municipality operating a Telephone System;
  - (9) Form for Return by Company, etc., operating a Telephone System;
  - (10) Form for Tariff of Tolls for Telephone System;
- (11) Forms under "The Planning and Development Act," with directions for guidance of applicants thereunder;

(12) Forms for submission of a by-law or question to a poll, under "The Municipal Act";

(13) Form for Money By-law;

- (14) Forms of affidavits in support of applications under Section 304 of "The Municipal Act";
- (15) Preliminary Resolution under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act":
  - (16) Forms for Annual Reports by Railway Systems;
  - (17) Forms for Reports as to Examination of Motormen;
  - (18) Forms for Reports of Accidents by Railway Systems;

(19) Regulation as to height of car steps;

- (2) Directions for guidance of applicants under subsection (2) of Section 399 of "The Municipal Act";
  - (21) Tariff of the Board's Fees.

# Extension of Municipal Utilities Approved under Subsection (2) of Section 399 of "The Municipal Act"

An alphabetical tabulation (under names of municipalities) of extensions to public utilities made by municipalities and approved by the Board under the above subsection (2) will be found in the Appendix, and is indexed under the word "Approval."

The total of the debenture issue under these By-laws approved in 1930 amounts to \$3,884,176.37. In 1925, the total debenture issue was \$1,347,941.30; in 1926, \$2,378,030.70; in 1927, \$3,588,709.73; in 1928, \$1,820,244.87, and \$2,425,528.34 in 1929. This of course does not cover extensions made under By-laws approved by the ratepayers, but only under By-laws approved by the Board under the above subsection (2).

### MISCELLANEOUS MATTERS UNDER THE BOARD'S JURISDICTION

A classified analysis of miscellaneous matters dealt with under the jurisdiction of the Board will be found in the Appendix. These include Annexations, under "The Municipal Act"; Arbitrations, Assessment Appeals, under Section 83 of "The Assessment Act"; Financial and other Bills reported to the House under Rule 72; Bridges, relief from reconstruction of, under Section 469 (9) of "The Municipal Act"; Detachment of Farm lands from Town or Village, under Section 21 of "The Municipal Act"; Extension of Debenture Issue Period, under Section 296 (11) and (12) of "The Municipal Act"; Extension of Time to pass By-laws, under Section 288 (5) of "The Municipal Act"; Highways (narrow), approved under Section 490 (2) of "The Municipal Act"; Highways, Suburban, establishing, closing, etc., under Section 12 of "The Planning and Development Act"; Interest Increase and Interest Decrease By-laws, approved under Section 300 of "The Municipal Act"; Legislation (Special), approval of By-laws under; License Fee, approval of By-laws, under Section 411 (9) of "The Municipal Act"; Local Improvements, Objections against, under Section 6 of "The Local Improvement Act"; Local Improvements, Petitions against, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act"; Local Improvements, abandonment of part of work, under Section 18 of "The Local Improvement Act"; Deviation in course or location of highway, approval of By-laws under Section 19 of "The Local Improvement Act"; Apportionment of cost of Local Improvement Work, approval of Bylaws under Section 26 (3) of "The Local Improvement Act"; "Northern Fire Relief Act," applications under; "The Railway and Municipal Board Act" (Chapter 225 R.S.O. 1927); applications under; Parks, setting aside part of for athletic purposes, under Section 12 of "The Public Parks Act" (Chapter 248, R.S.O. 1927); Repeal of Money By-laws as to residue not required, approval of, under Section 301 (2) of "The Municipal Act"; Restrictions as to use of land or buildings, etc., approval of, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act"; Sewage and Sewage Disposal Works, applications for directions and compensation in respect of, under Section 95 of "The Public Health Act"; Sinking Funds, approval of investment of, under Section 317 of "The Municipal Act"; Tax Rate, approval of further debt, under Section 306 (2) of "The Municipal Act"; Police Villages, Formation of, under Sections 514 (3) and 517 of "The Municipal Act"; Wards, division of Cities, etc., into, under Section 44 of "The Municipal Act"; Waterworks Areas and Construction (special legislation); Weigh Scales and Weighing of Coal, approval of, under Section 400 of "The Municipal Act"; and Works ordered by Dominion and Ontario Railway Boards, approval of By-laws for, under Section 297 (2) (f) of "The Municipal Act."

#### PUBLIC UTILITIES

We have the honour to submit analyses of Reports for the year ending December 31st, 1930, upon railway operation in the Province of Ontario under the jurisdiction of the Board.

We submit also tabulated analytical statements prepared from the Annual Reports received from Provincial Railways, and showing the financial position and the operating details of such railways for the year 1930.

H. C. SMALL, Secretary.

REPORT OF THE SUPERVISOR OF TELEPHONE SYSTEMS FOR THE YEAR 1930

The following applications under the provisions of "The Telephone Act" were dealt with by the Board in 1930:

Under Section 7: For authority to issue debentures for extensions and	
improvements to telephone systems under Part I	1
Under Section 17: For authority to extend a municipal telephone system	
into another township	1
Under Section 19: For the approval of municipal by-laws providing for	
the establishment of telephone systems	3
Under Section 24: For the approval of municipal debenture by-laws to	
meet the cost of establishing or extending telephone systems	15
Under Section 25: For an extension of the period within which municipal	
debenture by-laws respecting telephone systems may be passed	1
Under Section 27: For authority to pass municipal debenture by-laws to	
meet the cost of reconstructing, replacing or altering telephone systems	2
Under Section 30: For authority to meet a portion of debenture payments	
each year by the issue of new debentures	2
Under Section 31: For the approval of the purchase of an existing telephone	
system by a municipality	3
Under Section 80: For the approval of municipal by-laws granting the	
right to erect poles and wires upon the highways	12
	JL 800

	For the right to erect poles and wires upon the highways territory	
	For the approval of the by-laws of a telephone company	
Under Section 90:	For an order requiring the reconstruction of a telephone the provision of an efficient service	
	For the consent to erection of poles and wires parallel nes	4
	For an order preventing the duplication of pole leads highway	2
Under Section 95:	For an order fixing the terms and conditions for ce	3
Under Section 97:	For the approval of agreements providing for interce	34
Under Section 102:	For the approval of the sale of telephone systems For authority to increase the charges for telephone	4
service		8
aside for depre	For authority to expend a portion of the moneys set ciation upon new construction or extensions or in the urities	
	For authority to issue stocks and bonds	
Total	number of applications	118

The Board or its Supervisor of Telephone Systems during the year visited fifty-seven different points in the Province in connection with the foregoing applications.

The continued policy of the Board in endeavouring to secure an amicable settlement of matters in dispute between the applicant and respondent has, with the assistance of the Board's Supervisor, proved successful in the majority of such cases.

In addition to the applications and complaints referred to, a vast amount of correspondence relating to telephone matters has been dealt with by the Board's Supervisor, through the medium of which much information and assistance has been given to municipalities, companies and other persons interested, and many difficulties which might otherwise have necessitated a formal application and public hearing have been satisfactorily adjusted.

A number of points were visited by the Board's Inspector of Telephone Service during the year for the purpose of investigating complaints made by subscribers, and upon his report and recommendation the Board has been enabled to secure considerable improvement in the telephone service furnished by the systems coming under his observation.

The following changes in the ownership of telephone systems were made during the year.

The Southern Ontario Telephone Company, Limited, purchased the undertakings of:

The Norfolk County Telephone Company, Limited, comprising 2,054 telephones.

The Erie Telephone Company, Limited, comprising 1,695 telephones.

The South Norfolk Telephone Company, Limited, comprising 268 telephones.

The Chapleau Telephone System, Limited, purchased from The Bell Telephone Company of Canada the telephone system (automatic) at Chapleau, comprising 315 telephones.

Leslie Davis, trading as The Rankin Telephone Company, purchased from The Bell Telephone Company of Canada the local telephone system in Douglas,

comprising 35 telephones.

Frank Lyons purchased from John Myers the Ardoch Rural Telephone System, comprising 56 telephones.

James J. Vogan purchased from John D. Flake the Kaladar and Northern

Telephone System, comprising 41 telephones.

The Municipality of Burpee (Manitoulin Island), purchased the telephone systems of the Elizabeth Bay Mutual Telephone Company (unincorporated) and the Evansville Mutual Telephone Company (unincorporated), comprising 33 telephones.

New systems were established, as follows:

By the Municipality of the Township of East Ferris, District of Nipissing, to be known as "The East Ferris Municipal Telephone System."

By the Municipality of the Township of Burpee, District of Manitoulin,

to be known as "The Burpee Municipal Telephone System."

The number of telephone systems within the jurisdiction of Ontario of which the Board has record is 608 operating approximately 115,000 telephones, and representing an investment of about \$10,400,000.

There are eleven systems owned and operated by municipalities under the provisions of Part I of the Act, viz.: the Cities of Fort William and Port Arthur, the Towns of Cochrane, Dryden, Fort Frances, Kenora, Keewatin and Rainy River, and the Townships of Alberton, Caledon and Hilliard.

One hundred and eighteen systems are now established and operating under Part II of the Act, and furnishing service in two hundred and sixty-seven towns,

villages and townships.

Detailed statistics and other information relative to these systems will be found in the Appendix to this Report, entitled "Telephone Systems, 1931."

Francis Dagger,
Supervisor of Telephone Systems.

#### **APPENDIX**

## Procedure Files A-1578, A-1628 and A-1629

In the matter of the application of the Corporation of the City of London, for an Order approving of By-laws of the City of London Numbered 8702-27, 8722-28, 8738-28, 8764-28 and 8767-28.

June 4th—Draft Order filed. June 17th—Order issued.

June 17th, 1930.

#### ORDER

Upon the application of the Corporation of the City of London, for the approval, under the provisions of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," of The Ontario Railway and Municipal Board of the following By-laws, namely: By-law

Number 8702-27 passed by the Council of the Corporation of the City of London on the sixth day of December, A.D. 1927; By-law Number 8722-28 to amend said By-law Number 8702-27, passed by the Council of the Corporation of the City of London on the third day of January, A.D. 1928; By-law Number 8738-28 to amend said By-law Number 8702-27, passed by the Council of the Corporation of the City of London on the seventh day of February, A.D. 1928; By-law Number 8764-28 to amend said By-law Number 8702-27, passed by the Council of the Corporation of the City of London on the nineteenth day of March, A.D. 1928, and By-law Number 8767-28 to amend said By-law Number 8702-27, passed by the Council of the Corporation of the City of London on the nineteenth day of March, A.D. 1928, and it having been proved that notice of the appointment of the Board for the hearing of the said application was duly given as required by the said Act, and the Board having heard the Council of the Corporation of the City of London and all other persons who attended and desired to be heard,

The Board orders that the said By-laws numbered 8702-27, 8722-28, 8738-28, 8764-28 and 8767-28 be, and they are hereby, approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

#### PROCEDURE FILE A-1772

Application by the City of Toronto, under Section 343 (3) of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 11716, amending its By-law No. 9416 by excluding therefrom certain lands at 210 Bloor Street East, on which encroach certain verandah piers.

March 20th. Hearing, pursuant to arrangement, 10.30 to 10.45 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Mr. Campbell (Assistant City Solicitor) states By-law No. 11716 has been repealed by Toronto City Council. Application dismissed by consent of Counsel. City to pay \$20.00 for Law Stamps.

April 3rd. Approved Draft Order filed. April 4th. Order issued.

March 20th, 1930.

#### ORDER

Upon the application of the Corporation of the City of Toronto, under subsection (3) of Section 343 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law Number 11716, and upon hearing Counsel for all parties on the 4th day of July, 1928, when the application was adjourned *sine die*, and upon the application of James Edward Squirrell on the 20th day of March, 1929, and upon hearing Counsel for the said James Edward Squirrell and the Corporation of the City of Toronto.

The Board orders that this application be and the same is hereby dismissed. And the Board makes no Order as to costs except that the Applicant, the Corporation of the City of Toronto, pay \$20.00 for Law Stamps, the Board's tariff fee herein.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

#### PROCEDURE FILE A-1782

Application by Town of Rainy River, under Section 20 of "The Municipal Act," for annexation thereto of part of the Township of Atwood.

November 28th. Further material filed. (No objections.)

November 28th. Draft Order filed.

November 28th. Order.

November 28th, 1930.

#### ORDER

1. Upon the application of the said Municipality, and upon reading the Declaration of Evander E. Jess, the Clerk thereof, and the Notice of this Application published in three issues of the Rainy River "Record," a newspaper published in the said Town of Rainy River, and upon reading the Consent of the Municipal Council of the Township of Atwood duly filed, and no objections to this Order having been filed, as appears by said Declaration of the said Evander E. Jess.

2. This Board orders and proclaims that the boundaries of the Town of Rainy River be extended by adding thereto the following land and land covered

with water:

Commencing at the southeast angle of the Incorporated Town of Rainy River, said angle being at the intersection of the east boundary of River Lot Number Twelve in the Township of Atwood with the water's edge of Rainy River; thence south along the production of the said east boundary, sixteen chains and fifty links, more or less to the International boundary between the Province of Ontario in the Dominion of Canada and the State of Minnesota, one of the United States of America. Thence westerly along the said International boundary to its intersection with the production, south of the west boundary of River Lot Number Twenty-six; thence north long the said production, twelve chains, more or less to the southwest angle of the said Incorporated Town, said angle being at the water's edge of Rainy River; thence, easterly upstream, following the said water's edge to the place of beginning,

as shown on a plan of the said Town of Rainy River hereto annexed, made by D. J. Gillon, Esquire, Ontario Land Surveyor, the said addition being shown

therein bounded by red.

And it is ordered, that the Board's tariff fee for Law Stamps herein is \$10.00.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

#### PROCEDURE FILE A-1843

In the matter of the Petition of A. H. Burrows and others, under Section 20 (1) of "The Municipal Act," for annexation to the Town of Timmins of part of the Township of Tisdale, being composed of part Lot 12, Concession II, containing 16 3/10ths of an acre, more or less, and shown on Plan M-60, Temiskaming, District of Cochrane.

March 10th. Draft Order filed.

March 12th. Order issued.

October 8th. Rehearing, 7.30 to 8.15 P.M., Town Hall, Timmins. Draft Order to be submitted.

November 29th. Approved Draft Order filed. December 1st. Order issued.

April 30th, 1929.

#### ORDER

Upon reading the petition of the municipal electors in the area to be annexed; the resolution of the Council of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins; the Surveyor's certificate and sketch; and the other material filed, and public notice of this application having been duly given as directed by the Board.

And upon hearing Counsel for the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins and for the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale and no one appearing to object to said annexation.

1. This Board doth order, proclaim and adjudge that:

All and singular that certain parcel or tract of land and premises as shown on Plan M. 60, Temiskaming and on file in the Land Titles Office at Cochrane and being composed of part of Lot Twelve in the Second Concession of the Township of Tisdale, in the District of Cochrane and in the Province of Ontario, containing by admeasurement Sixteen and Three-tenths acres more or less and which part or tract of land may be more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the westerly limit of said Lot Twelve and distant three thousand nine hundred and sixty-three feet and eight and onequarter inches (3,963' 81/4") more or less from the northwest angle of said lot; thence north eighty-nine (89) degrees and forty-three (43) minutes east one hundred and ninety-nine feet eight and a half inches (199' 81/2"); thence east astron, one thousand one hundred and eighteen feet (1,118') more or less to the westerly limit of the property shown on Plan M. 2, Temiskaming; thence north three (3) minutes west along said westerly limit two hundred and eighty feet and ten inches (280' 10") more or less to the southerly limit of property as shown on Plan M. 44, Temiskaming, thence west astron. three hundred and six feet (306') more or less; thence north three (3) minutes west along said property three hundred and thirty-six feet more or less (336' 0"); thence west astron, along the southerly limit of said property as shown on Plan M. 44, Temiskaming, a distance of one thousand and nine feet and ten and a half inches (1,009' 10½'') more or less to the westerly limit of Lot Twelve, thence south six minutes (6) west along said westerly limit six hundred and twenty-three feet three and a quarter inches (623' 31/4") more or less to the point of commencement. Be and the same are hereby annexed to the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins as and from the first day of January, 1929.

- 2. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the said town with the annexed territory hereinbefore mentioned shall continue to be known as Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins.
- 3. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins be and the same is hereby authorized to levy for the year 1929 taxes upon all taxable real property in the area hereby annexed and upon all taxable income of persons resident in said area;

Provided that the general rate for the said area for the year 1929 shall be the general rate for the Town of Timmins as constituted for the year 1929;

Provided that the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale be and the same is hereby authorized and directed to deliver to the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins the Assessment Roll for the said area prepared by the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale for the year 1929 forthwith after revision of same;

Provided that the said Revised Assessment Roll of the said area prepared for the year 1929 by the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale shall be the roll to be used for the purpose of the levy of taxes aforesaid.

- 4. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale be and the same is hereby authorized and directed to deliver to the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins a true and proper statement of all arrears of taxes for the area hereby annexed and the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins is hereby authorized to collect same and shall account for same to the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale if as and when same are collected.
- 5. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale do forthwith convey and transfer to the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins all lands in the area hereby annexed belonging to and/or the property of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale subject to Section 39 of "The Municipal Act," R.S.O. 1927, Chapter 233.
- 6. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins shall raise in each of the years 1929 to 1947, inclusive the sum of \$627.60 being a proportionate share of the amount required to be raised annually by the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale to retire the debenture debt created by the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale by By-law Number 351 of said township, being a By-law to provide for the borrowing of \$40,000.00 by the said township upon debentures to pay for the construction of certain extensions to the waterworks and sewage systems in said township, the said amount of \$627.60 being the amount required to be raised annually to pay for the cost of waterworks extensions in the area hereby annexed.

Provided that the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins shall raise and levy the said sum of \$627.60 annually as aforesaid by a special rate sufficient therefor over and above all other rates on all the rateable property in the said Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins at the same time and in the same manner as other rates and said sum of \$627.60 shall be paid yearly during the years aforesaid to the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale.

7. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that neither the said Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins nor the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale shall have any claim or demand against the other arising out of the cost of maintaining law and order or providing fire protection or snow plowing or assessing and preparing assessment roll or performing scavenger services in the area hereby annexed from the first day of January, 1929, to the date of the signing of this Order.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) A. B. INGRAM,

October 8th, 1930.

#### ORDER

This matter having come on for hearing at Timmins on Tuesday, the 30th day of April, A.D. 1929, and upon reading the Petition of the municipal electors in the area to be annexed; the Resolution of the Council of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins; the Surveyor's Certificate and Sketch; and the other material filed, and public notice of this application having been duly given as directed by the Board;

And upon hearing Counsel for the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins, and for the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale, and on one appearing to object to said annexation;

And an application having been made to reopen this matter and the said application having come on for hearing at Timmins this 8th day of October, 1930.

1. This Board doth order, proclaim and adjudge that:

All and singular that certain parcel or tract of land and premises as shown on Plan M. 60, Temiskaming, and on file in the Land Titles Office at Cochrane, and being composed of part of Lot Twelve in the Second Concession of the Township of Tisdale, in the District of Cochrane, and in the Province of Ontario, containing by admeasurement sixteen and three-tenths acres more or less and which part of tract of land may be more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the westerly limit of said Lot Twelve and distant three thousand nine hundred and sixty-three feet and eight and onequarter inches (3,963' 81/4") more or less from the northwest angle of said lot; thence north eighty-nine (89) degrees and forty-three (43) minutes east one hundred and ninety-nine feet and eight and a half inches (199' 81/2"); thence east astronomically one thousand one hundred and eighteen feet (1,118') more or less to the westerly limit of the property shown on Plan M. 2, Temiskaming; thence north three (3) minutes west along said westerly limit two hundred and eighty feet and ten inches (280' 10") more or less to the southerly limit of property as shown on Plan M. 44, Temiskaming; thence west astonomically three hundred and six feet (306') more or less; thence north three (3) minutes west along said property three hundred and thirty-six feet more or less (336' 0"); thence west astronomically along the southerly limit of said property as shown on Plan M. 44, Temiskaming, a distance of one thousand and nine feet and ten and a half inches (1,009'  $10\frac{1}{2}$ ") more or less to the westerly limit of Lot Twelve; thence south six minutes (6) west along said westerly limit six hundred and twenty-three feet three and a quarter inches (623' 31/4") more or less to the point of commencement, be, and the same are hereby annexed to the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins as and from the first day of January, 1929.

- 2. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the said town, with the annexed territory hereinbefore mentioned shall continue to be known as the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins.
- 3. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins be and the same is hereby authorized to levy for the year 1929 taxes upon all taxable real property in the area hereby annexed and upon all taxable income of persons resident in said area.

Provided that the general rate for the said area for the year 1929 shall be he general rate for the Town of Timmins as constituted for the year 1929.

Provided that the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale be and the same is hereby authorized and directed to deliver to the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins the Assessment Roll for the said area prepared by the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale for the year 1929 forthwith after revision of same.

Provided that the said Revised Assessment Roll of the said area prepared for the year 1929 by the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale shall be the roll to be used for the purpose of the levy of taxes aforesaid.

4. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge, that the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale be and the same is hereby authorized and directed to deliver to the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins a true and proper statement of all arrears of taxes for the area hereby annexed and the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins is hereby authorized to collect same and shall account for same to the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale if as and when same are collected.

5. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale do forthwith convey and transfer to the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins all lands in the area hereby annexed belonging to and/or the property of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale subject to Section 39 of "The Municipal

Municipal Act," R.S.O. 1927, Chapter 233.

6. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins shall raise in each of the years 1929 to 1947, inclusive, the sum of \$209.20, being a proportionate share of the amount required to be raised annually by the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale to retire the debenture debt created by the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale by By-law Number 351 of said township, being a By-law to provide for the borrowing of \$40,000.00 by the said township upon debentures to pay for the construction of certain extensions to the waterworks and sewage systems in the said township, the said amount of \$209.20 being the amount required to be raised annually to pay for sewers for which the area hereby annexed is liable.

Provided that the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins shall raise and levy the said sum of \$209.20 annually as aforesaid by a special rate sufficient therefor over and above all other rates on all the rateable property in the area hereby annexed at the same time and in the same manner as other rates and said sum of \$209.20 shall be paid yearly during the years aforesaid to the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale.

Provided that during the said years from 1929 to 1947, inclusive, the rate-payers in the area hereby annexed shall not be required to contribute to the cost of sewers constructed in any other part of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins, except for which the Town of Timmins at large would be liable to pay for in which case the ratepayers in the area hereby annexed shall be liable to contribute their proportionate share of the cost.

7. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins shall raise in each of the years 1929 to 1947, inclusive, from and out of the revenue derived from the waterworks system of the said Town of Timmins the sum of \$418.40, being a proportionate share of the amount required to be raised annually by the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale to retire the debenture debt created by

the said Township of Tisdale by the aforesaid By-law Number 351 of said township the said sum of \$418.40, being the amount required to be raised annually to pay for the cost of waterworks extensions in the area hereby annexed. The said sum of \$418.40 shall be paid yearly during the years aforesaid to the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale.

8. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that neither the said Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins nor the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale shall have any claim or demand against the other arising out of the cost of maintaining law and order or providing fire protection or snow plowing or assessing and preparing Assessment Roll or performing scavenger services in the area hereby annexed from the first day of January, 1929, to the date of the signing of this Order.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) A. B. Ingram, Vice-Chairman.

#### PROCEDURE FILE A-1849

In the matter of the Petition of J. Ostrowski and others, under Section 20 (1) of "The Municipal Act," for annexation to the Town of Timmins of the southeast quarter of the south half and the southwest quarter of the south half of Lot 12, Concession III of the Township of Tisdale.

March 10th. Draft Order filed.

March 12th. Order issued.

October 8th. Rehearing, 7.30 to 8.15 P.M., Town Hall, Timmins. Draft Order to be submitted.

November 29th. Approved draft Order filed.

December 1st. Order issued.

April 30th, 1929.

#### ORDER

Upon reading the Petition of the municipal electors in the area to be annexed; the Resolution of the Council of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins; the Surveyor's Certificate and Sketch; and the other material filed, and public notice of this application having been duly given as directed by the Board;

And upon hearing objections made by James P. Bartleman on behalf of himself and on behalf of J. P. McLaughlin and Rocco Banino, and Counsel for the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins and for the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale.

## 1. This Board doth order, proclaim and adjudge that:

All and singular these certain parcels or tracts of land situate lying and being in the Township of Tisdale in the District of Cochrane and being composed of the southeast quarter of the south half and the southwest quarter of the south half of Lot Number Twelve in the Third Concession of the Township of Tisdale, be and the same are hereby annexed to the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins as and from the first day of January, 1929.

2. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the said town with the annexed territory hereinbefore mentioned shall continue to be

known as the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins.

3. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins be and the same is hereby authorized to levy for the year 1929 taxes upon all taxable income of persons resident in said area;

Provided that the general rate for the said area for the year 1929 shall be the general rate for the Town of Timmins as constituted for the year 1929;

Provided that the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale be and the same is hereby authorized and directed to deliver to the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins the Assessment Roll for the said area prepared by the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale for the year 1929, forthwith after the revision of same;

Provided that the said Revised Assessment Roll of the said area prepared for the year 1929 by the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale shall be the roll to be used for the purpose of the levy of taxes aforesaid.

- 4. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale be and the same is hereby authorized and directed to deliver to the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins a true and proper statement of all arrears of taxes for the area hereby annexed and the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins is hereby authorized to collect same and shall account for same to the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale if as and when same are collected.
- 5. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale do forthwith convey and transfer to the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale all lands in the area hereby annexed belonging to and/or the property of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale subject to Section 39 of "The Municipal Act," R.S.O. 1927, Chapter 233.
- 6. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins shall raise in each of the years 1929 to 1947, inclusive, the sum of \$753.12, being a proportionate share of the amount required to be raised annually by the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale to retire the debenture debt created by the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale by By-law Number 351 of said township being a by-law to provide for the borrowing of \$40,000.00 by the said township upon debentures to pay for the construction of certain extensions to the waterworks and sewage systems in said township the said amount of \$753.12, being the amount required to be raised annually to pay for the cost of waterworks extensions in the area hereby annexed.

And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins shall raise in each of the years 1929 to 1940, inclusive, the sum of \$823.70, being a proportionate share of the amount required to be raised annually by the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale to retire the debenture debt created by the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale by By-law Number 310 of said township being a by-law to provide for the borrowing of \$125,000.00 by the said township upon debentures to pay for the construction of certain watermains and sewers in said township the said amount of \$823.70 being the amount required to be raised annually to pay for the cost of watermains and sewers in the area hereby annexed.

Provided that the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins shall raise and levy the said sums of \$753.12 and \$823.70 annually as aforesaid by a special rate sufficient therefor over and above all other rates on all the rateable property in the said Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins at the same time and in the same manner as other rates and said sums of \$753.12 and \$823.70 respectively shall be paid yearly during the years aforesaid to the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale.

7. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that neither the said Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins nor the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale shall have any claim or demand against the other arising out of the cost of maintaining law and order or providing fire protection or snow plowing or assessing and preparing assessment rolls or performing scavenger services in the area hereby annexed from the first day of

January, 1929, to the date of the signing of this Order.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) A. B. INGRAM, Vice-Chairman.

October 8th, 1930.

ORDER

This matter having come on for Hearing at Timmins on Tuesday, the 30th day of April, A.D. 1929, and upon reading the Petition of the municipal electors in the area to be annexed; the Resolution of the Council of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins; the Surveyor's Certificate and Sketch; and the other material filed, and public notice of this application having been duly given as directed by the Board;

And upon hearing objections made by James P. Bartleman on behalf of himself and on behalf of J. P. McLaughlin and Rocco Banino, and Counsel for the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins and for the Municipal

Corporation of the Township of Tisdale;

And an application having been made to reopen this matter and the said application having come on for hearing at Timmins this 8th day of October, 1930,

1. This Board doth order, proclaim and adjudge that:

All and singular those certain parcels or tracts of land situate, lying and being in the Township of Tisdale in the District of Cochrane and being composed of the southeast quarter of the south half and the southwest quarter of the south half of Lot Number Twelve in the Third Concession of the Township of Tisdale, be and the same are hereby annexed to the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins as and from the first day of January, 1929.

- 2. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the said town with the annexed territory hereinbefore mentioned shall continue to be known as the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins.
- 3. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins be and the same is hereby authorized to levy for the year 1929 taxes upon all the taxable real property in the area hereby annexed and upon all taxable income of persons resident in said area;

Provided that the general rate for the said area for the year 1929 shall be the general rate for the Town of Timmins as constituted for the year 1929;

Provided that the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale be and the same is hereby authorized and directed to deliver to the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins the Assessment Roll for the said area prepared by the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale for the year 1929, forthwith after the revision of same;

Provided that the said revised Assessment Roll for the said area prepared for the year 1929 by the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale

shall be the roll to be used for the purpose of the levy of taxes aforesaid.

4. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale be and the same is hereby authorized and directed to deliver to the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins a true and proper statement of all arrears of taxes for the area hereby annexed and the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins is hereby authorized to collect same and shall account for same to the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale if as and when same are collected.

- 5. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale do forthwith convey and transfer to the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins all lands in the area hereby annexed belonging to and/or the property of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale subject to Section 39 of "The Municipal Act," R.S.O. 1927, Chapter 233.
- 6. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins shall raise in each of the years 1929 to 1947, inclusive, the sum of \$251.04, being a proportionate share of the amount required to be raised annually by the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale to retire the debenture debt created by the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale by By-law Number 351 of said township, being a by-law to provide for the borrowing of \$40,000.00 by the said township upon debentures to pay for the construction of certain extensions to the waterworks and sewage systems in said township, the said amount of \$251.04, being the amount required to be raised annually to pay for the cost of sewers in the area hereby annexed.

And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins shall raise in each of the year 1929 to 1940, inclusive, the sum of \$274.57, being a proportionate share of the amount required to be raised annually by the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale to retire the debenture debt created by the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale by By-law Number 310 of said township, being a by-law to provide for the borrowing of \$125,000.00 by the said township upon debentures to pay for the construction of certain watermains and sewers in said township the said amount of \$274.57, being the amount required to be raised annually to pay for the cost of sewers in the area hereby annexed.

Provided that the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins shall raise and levy the said sums of \$251.04 and \$274.57 annually as aforesaid by a special rate sufficient therefor over and above all other rates on all the rateable property in the area hereby annexed at the same time and in the same manner as other rates and said sums of \$251.04 and \$274.57 respectively shall be paid yearly during the years aforesaid to the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale.

Provided that during the said years from 1929 to 1947, inclusive, the ratepayers in the area hereby annexed shall not be required to contribute to the cost of sewers constructed in any other part of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins except for which the Town of Timmins at large would be liable to pay for, in which case the ratepayers in the area hereby annexed shall be liable to contribute their proportionate share of the cost.

7. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins shall raise in each of the years 1929 to 1947, inclusive, from and out of the revenue derived from the waterworks system of the said Town of Timmins the sum of \$502.08, being a proportionate share of the amount required to be raised annually by the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale to retire the debenture debt created by the said Township of Tisdale by the aforesaid By-law Number 351 of said township the said sum of \$502.08, being the amount required to be raised annually to pay for the cost of waterworks extensions in the area hereby annexed.

And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins shall raise in each of the years 1929 to 1940, inclusive, from and out of the revenue derived from the waterworks system of the said Town of Timmins the sum of \$549.13, being a proportionate share of the amount required to be raised annually by the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale to retire the debenture debt created by the said Township of Tisdale by the aforesaid By-law Number 310 of said township the said sum of \$549.13, being the amount required to be raised annually to pay for the cost of waterworks extensions in the area hereby annexed.

The said sums of \$502.08 and \$549.13, respectively shall be paid yearly during the years aforesaid to the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale.

8. And this Board doth further order, proclaim and adjudge that neither the said Municipal Corporation of the Town of Timmins nor the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Tisdale shall have any claim or demand against the other arising out of the cost of maintaining law and order or providing fire protection or snow plowing or assessing and preparing assessment rolls or performing scavenger services in the area hereby annexed from the first day of January, 1929, to the date of the signing of this Order.

(Seal) (Sgd.) A. B. INGRAM,

Vice-Chairman.

#### PROCEDURE FILE A-2200

Application by the Township of North York, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its restricted area By-law No. 669, restricting that part of the said township known as "Kingsdale," to detached private dwellings, churches and schools.

May 26th. Appointment for Hearing cancelled by request of applicant's solicitor.

September 26th. Amended copy of By-law No. 669 filed.

October 6th. Hearing, 10 to 10.25 A.M., at Board's Chambers. By-law to be amended (as to half lot) (25 feet frontage) sold by Land Co. (West half Lot 14, Plan 1801); also two houses on two parcels, 60 feet, east 60 feet Lot 16, Plan 1801, Lots 239-240, Kingsdale Boulevard, and other cases to be notified to Council, and will then approve. (See Reporter's Notes.) Hearing adjourned to 19th November, 1930, at 10 A.M., at Board's Chambers.

November 19th. Hearing continued, 10 to 10.15 A.M. Amending By-law No. 1170 filed. By-law No. 669 approved as amended.

#### PROCEDURE FILE A-2228

Application by the Township of Sandwich West, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its Restricted Area By-law No. 836 (areas 1, 2 and 3, described in said by-law).

February 20th. Amendment By-law No. 855 filed, and draft Order filed. February 22nd. Order issued (approving By-law 836 as amended by 855).

September 21st, 1929.

#### ORDER

Upon the application of the said corporation, and the Board, pursuant to appointment, having heard this application at the Council Chamber, in the Township of Sandwich West, on Tuesday, the 28th day of May, A.D. 1929, in the presence of Counsel for the applicant, and the Board having reconsidered the matter on June 18th, A.D. 1929, at the City Hall, in the City of Windsor, at the request of the Thames Realty Company, Limited, in the presence of Counsel for the applicant and Counsel for the Thames Realty Company, Limited, and notice of the appointment having been duly given as required by the Statute and directed by the Board, and the Board having directed certain amendments to the said By-law Number 836, and By-law Number 855 having been passed and filed accordingly.

The Board orders, under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," that the said By-law Number 836 entitled "By-law Number 836 of the Township of Sandwich West. A by-law to regulate the use of lands or the erection or use of buildings within certain areas of the Township of Sandwich West," as amended by the said By-law Number 855, be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

#### PROCEDURE FILE A-2293

In the matter of the Petition of Alfred Gillies and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the construction by the City of Belleville of a proposed pavement on Dundas Street, in the said city.

January 28th. Approved draft Order filed.

January 29th. Order issued.

21st June, 1929.

#### ORDER

Upon the application of the above named petitioner and others, and upon reading the petition of the applicants herein, upon reading the material filed in support of said application, and upon hearing and considering what was adduced in evidence, and what was alleged by Counsel for the Applicants and by Counsel for the Respondents;

It is ordered—

(1) That the cost of the pavement be paid for as follows:

(a) Fifty per cent. (50%) of cost thereof by property owners chargeable, save and except statutory deductions;

(b) Fifty per cent. (50%) of cost thereof by the City of Belleville.

(2) Subject to the above the proposed cost of the said pavement in all other respects is hereby confirmed.

It is further ordered that the Respondents may proceed with the construction of the said work in the manner set forth in the report of its engineer and adopted

by Respondents' Council.

And it is further ordered that the Respondents pay this Board the sum of Fifteen Dollars (\$15.00) the Board's tariff fee for Law Stamp upon this Order, and that save as aforesaid this Board doth not see fit to make any Order as to the costs of this application.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

#### PROCEDURE FILE A-2311

In the matter of the application of the Corporation of the Township of Glenelg, for relief from its obligation to rebuild McNab's bridge on the base line at the rear of Lot 51, Concession III east of the Garafraxa Road, in the said township, and in the matter of the application of the said township for an amendment of the Board's Order herein, dated the 25th day of June, 1929.

February 28th Application for rehearing.

March 27th. Rehearing, 1.30 to 3 P.M., at Township Hall, Township of Glenelg. Order to be amended fixing allowance to Mrs. McNab herein at \$700.00 in lieu of \$300.00 previously allowed.

July 4th. Order issued.

July 4th, 1930.

#### ORDER

Upon the application of the said Corporation for a rehearing of this matter, and the Board having, pursuant to appointment, on the 27th day of March, A.D., 1930, at the Township Hall, in the Township of Glenelg, heard all parties interested.

The Board orders that the third paragraph of its Order, dated the 25th day of June, A.D. 1929, be and the same is hereby amended by striking out the words and figures "Three Hundred Dollars (\$300.00)," in the third and fourth lines thereof, and substituting in lieu thereof the words and figures "Seven Hundred Dollars (\$700.00)."

And the Board orders that there be no fee payable for Law Stamps on this Order.

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

(Seal)

Chairman.

#### PROCEDURE FILE A-2320

In the matter of the Petition of U. L. Cober, and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the construction by the City of Kitchener of a pavement on Samuel Street, between Frederick and Brubacher Streets.

April 10th. Draft Order filed.

April 10th. Order issued.

April 3rd, 1930.

#### ORDER

Upon the petition of U. L. Cober and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," for relief against a Resolution of the Municipal Council of the Corporation of the City of Kitchener, authorizing the paving of Samuel Street, between Frederick Street and Brubacher Street, under the provisions of "The Local Improvement Act," and the Board having on the 23rd day of July, 1929, pursuant to appointment, at the Council Chamber, in the City of Kitchener, heard the parties interested on behalf of the petition, and the representatives of the Corporation, the Board having directed the matters to stand over until this day for judgment, and the matter having come on this day for judgment.

The Board orders, under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," that the said Petition be and the same is hereby

dismissed.

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

(Seal)

Chairman.

#### PROCEDURE FILE A-2349

Application by the Township of Malden, in the County of Essex, under Section 12 of "The Planning and Development Act," for approval of the diversion of the River Front Road, in the said township, from the southerly limit of the Town of Amherstburg to the Beaudoin Side Road.

May 29th. Order settled, 10.30 to 11.30 A.M.

May 29th. Order (dated November 7th, 1929) issued.

November 7th, 1929.

#### ORDER

This matter coming on for Hearing before C. R. McKeown, Esq., K.C., Chairman, and A. B. Ingram, Esq., Vice-Chairman, of The Ontario Railway and Municipal Board at the Court House, Sandwich, on Tuesday and Wednesday the 27th and 28th days of August, 1929, in the presence of Counsel for the applicants and for the Town of Amherstburg, and for petitioners supporting and ratepayers opposing the application, judgment having been reserved until this day.

It is ordered and adjudged that the said application be and the same is hereby refused without prejudice to the Township of Malden to make a further application upon the terms and conditions, if any, set forth in the Board's judgment delivered herein.

And it is further ordered and adjudged that the said Township of Malden shall pay \$30.00, the Board's fee for Law Stamps herein.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

#### PROCEDURE FILE A-2349a

In the matter of the application of the Township of Malden, under Section 12 of "The Planning and Development Act," for approval of the diversion of the

River Front Road in the said township, from the southerly limit of the Town of Amherstburg to the Beaudoin Side Road.

September 4th. New application filed.

October 1st. Hearing, 9.30 A.M., Court House, Sandwich. Judgment reserved.

January 21st. Judgment delivered. Application dismissed. (Fee on Order, if taken out, to be paid by the township.

#### REASONS FOR JUDGMENT

On the first day of October, 1930, the Board attended at the Town of Sandwich for the purpose of hearing evidence and argument in connection with the application of the Township of Malden for the Board's approval of diverting the River Front Road in the said township from the southerly limit of the Town of Amherstburg to the Beaudoin Side Road.

This same application was heard by the Board on the 27th and 28th of August, 1929, when, after hearing evidence and argument, the Board was pleased to reserve its decision and subsequently saw fit to dismiss the application and refuse the approval asked for without prejudice to the Township of Malden to make further application on certain terms and conditions.

The Board sat at the Court House in the Town of Sandwich on the first of October, 1930, heard the evidence and argument, then offered in support of and in opposition to the application of the said township and subsequently viewed the roadway in question.

The Board sees no reason to alter the decision arrived at upon the former application and is still of the opinion that it should withhold its approval of the proposed diversion.

The application of the township is therefore dismissed.

There should be no costs in connection with this application save and except the Board's fee on the Order to be taken out which should be payable by the township.

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

Toronto, January 21st, 1931.

#### PROCEDURE FILE A-2451

In the matter of claim for compensation for injurious affection to certain lands in the Township of Pickering being part of Lot 16, in the First Concession of the said township, under and pursuant to Section 77a and amendments thereto of "The Highway Improvement Act," Chapter 54, R.S.O. 1927.

BETWEEN:

Henry Wade,

Applicant,

-and-

His Majesty, The King, represented by the Honourable the Minister of the Department of Public Highways of Ontario,

Respondent.

May 14th. Hearing, 10.30 A.M. to 4.45 P.M., at Board's Chambers. Adjourned to Wednesday, May 21st, 1931, at 10.30 A.M.

May 21st. Hearing continued, 10.30 A.M. to 3.45 P.M Adjourned for view

to 9.30 A.M., May 22nd, 1931.

May 22nd. View—one-half day. Judgment reserved.

June 16th. Judgment delivered.

August 26th. Approved draft Order filed.

August 26th. Order issued.

### JUDGMENT

The applicant is a farmer residing in the Township of Pickering and is the owner of part of Lot 16 in the First Concession of the said township.

The Respondent is the vested owner and has control over the highway known as "The Kingston Road" in the said township, which said highway forms the northerly boundary of the applicant's lands as described in the application herein.

In 1919 the Respondent commenced the construction of a steel bridge over Duffin's Creek to take the place of the bridge which was washed away. The easterly end of the new structure was raised two feet and the westerly end three feet two and one-half inches.

"The old and new bridge was struck for approximately the same level for the lower edge of the steel, but the new bridge is much heavier, wider, and heavier steel underneath, and they allowed about two feet so that they held the bridge to the same level at the bottom of the steel." It was a skeleton bridge in 1919. The floor was put on in the spring of 1920.

The roadway at the eastern end of the bridge was raised about two feet

and dropped to zero distant about 230 feet.

The fill at the westerly end of the bridge for the first 100 feet was raised 5 feet  $3\frac{1}{2}$  inches: the second 100 feet was raised 2 feet and the third 100 feet was raised 7 inches.

The lowest level west of the bridge is distant 280 to 300 feet.

There is a ditch running parallel with the highway on the north side which is intended to carry some of the flood waters into the creek and through underneath the bridge.

The applicant alleges that owing to the aforesaid construction his lands were injuriously affected by reason of the overflow of the waters of the Duffin's Creek in or about the month of March, 1929; that the overflow waters of the said creek are diverted several hundred feet westerly from their natural course; that by reason of this diversion some twenty acres of land were flooded and silt and debris to the depth of approximately one foot was deposited on the said lands making them wholly unfit for farming or any other purpose; that twenty-two apple and pear trees from twelve to fifteen years old growing on the said flooded area were destroyed by the said diversion of flood waters and that the value of the applicant's property as a whole has materially depreciated by reason of that part of the lands fronting on the highway having been rendered valueless as farm lands as aforesaid.

The applicant therefore claims:

- (a) Five Thousand dollars as damages.
- (b) The costs of this application.
- (c) Such further and other relief as to this Board shall seem meet.

Th

he	app	plicant filed further particulars herein, as follows:		
	1.	The value of $19\frac{1}{2}$ acres of land covered with silt at		
		\$200.00 per acre	\$3,850	00
	2.	Damages for the depreciation in value of the property		
		as a whole	710	00
	3.	Value of twenty-two fruit trees, twelve to fifteen years		
		old at \$20.00 each	440	00
			\$5,000	00

The Respondent apart from admitting the ownership of the land and of the Kingston Road, at this point as contained in paragraphs 1 and 2 of applicant's statement of claim herein denies all the others.

The Respondent further alleges that the applicant purchased his property in November, 1919, and knew at that time that Duffin's Creek habitually overflowed its bank at this point and having had full knowledge of all the facts is thereby estopped from claiming any damages as set forth in his Notice of Application.

Moreover, "From time immemorial the said Duffin's Creek has been overflowing its bank periodically, and that the soil of the applicant's property for some two or three feet in depth is made up of silt and other refuse deposited by the said creek upon the property of the said applicant."

Furthermore, that Duffin's Creek is joined by a tributary which flows on property . . . . . north of the Kingston Road, and at this junction point during spring freshets naturally backs up and overflows the surrounding land, including a portion of the Applicant's land and that the heavy downpour of rain which occurred on the 5th day of April, 1929, was such as to be beyond the control of the Respondent and for which the latter claims no responsibility.

The hearing of the evidence herein occupied the best part of two days and the third day the Board accompanied by the representatives of both parties had a view of the property in question.

Counsel for the Applicant did not make any effort to prove by evidence that the bridge or its approaches had been constructed in an unskilful or negligent manner, nor that such construction was unnecessary, but bent his efforts largely to prove that the higher embankment extending so far westerly prevented the flood waters from crossing the highway and entering the creek as it formerly had done nearer the bridge, and by reason of the first flood waters crossing over the highway at a point farther west caused great injury to the Applicant's property.

The evidence of Engineer Fulton, called by Counsel for the Respondent, testified that the water first crosses the highway at the time of flood 100 feet east of Cook's house, the location of which is shown on Exhibit 4 and 4a, and the evidence given by Mr. Wade, the applicant, fairly agrees with Mr. Fulton in so far as to where part of the first flood water crosses over the highway now. This conclusion is supported by other evidence and the Board is inclined to agree that this point is fairly established by evidence submitted by both parties.

More or less evidence was offered by Counsel for the Applicant to prove that this change of the first flood water over the highway was caused by the construction of the higher fill, or, in other words, the western approach to the bridge causing the flood waters to change their former course in a more

westerly and southerly direction, spreading over the western section of Mr. Wade's land doing injury to the wall of his house; flooding his cellar; injuring his furnace; killing his trees; lodging ice in his lane; removing his fence and covering fourteen and a half acres of his land with silt and other debris.

The evidence of the engineers called by Counsel for the Respondent contend that under flood conditions where Duffin's Creek is joined by its tributary there is a sharp elbow which decreased the velocity of the water thereby causing eddies which resulted in overflowing the land at this point, then flowing in a westerly and southerly direction towards the highway; the first flood water crossing over

the highway east of Cook's house.

In further proof of this see Exhibits Nos. 9 and 11 respectively, which show the entire flats north of the highway flooded; Exhibit No. 10 shows water in the millrace and Exhibit No. 12, looking south from behind the mill, shows a flooded condition north and south of the highway. (The Board understands that these photos were taken in March, 1930.) (Exhibit No. 13 shows Wade's house and No. 14 shows ice in Wade's lane. These were put in by Mr. Richardson.)

Counsel for the Respondent called Hamilton Calvert to prove that certain obstructions which were formerly located on the north side of the highway and running easterly paralleling it, such as the mill; coal shed; tight board fence; rail fence, cooper shop, barrel shed, barn, milk shed and row of trees have nearly all disappeared, thus making it easier for the first flood water to pass over the highway farther west than where it formerly did.

The fact of these obstructions having been largely removed may have had some effect in changing the course of the first flood waters to where they flow now over the highway. Assuming this to be true, then the change in the course of the flood waters cannnot be charged wholly against the construction of the embankment, or approach to the bridge.

It has been proven conclusively that flooding has occurred periodically on these flats for many years. In 1917, the Applicant's witness, Mr. Baker, stated that water was five feet deep in his house located 170 feet west of the bridge, and Mr. Nelson, witness called by the Respondent, stated that he remembered the Cook house being flooded in 1920, before the embankment leading to the bridge was constructed. The most dangerous floods appear to have occurred in 1926 and 1929. The latter is said to be the most serious, causing the most damage to its property.

The Board has endeavoured to solve and analyze the evidence submitted in this application; firstly, as to whether the Applicant is entitled to any damages at all, or not, and if so, is the damge for which he seeks compensation due to the construction of the bridge and its approach.

Secondly, is the aforesaid construction the only cause which contributed to the alleged flooding of the lands of the Applicant thereby spreading silt and other debris thereon, thus depreciating the value of his land.

Thirdly, was the said construction the only cause which led or contributed to the flooding of his property thereby injuring the wall of his house; flooding his cellar; injuring the furnace; destroying his trees; removing his fence and lodging ice in his lane.

Fourthly, was the said construction completed in a workmanlike and skilful manner and without showing any negligence in the completion thereof.

The Board in arriving at its conclusion believe the claim of the Applicant is entitled to some compensation for loss and injury to his property.

We do not believe, however, that the flooding of the land of the Applicant is entirely due to the construction of the bridge and its approach, as we are of the opinion that the real cause of the trouble emanated around the junction of the creeks at the elbow and from there in a westerly and southern direction along where the obstructions were located and over the highway onto the land of the Applicant. The latter cause in our opinion did most of the damage by flooding of the Applicant's property.

In arriving at the amount of compensation which should be allowed to the Applicant the Board can only use its best judgment as we are not aware of any method or rule by which we can measure up the volume of flooded water or silt or other debris that passed over the highway onto the lands of the Applicant through either the above mentioned causes and it would be very difficult indeed to determine or allot to each item in the statement of claim as well as those mentioned in the evidence given by the Applicant just what amount should be allowed for each.

We, therefore, allow the Applicant \$500.00 as compensation to cover the claims submitted herein as payment in full therefor, except an additional allowance of \$150.00 to cover the Applicant's law costs.

Dated, Toronto, June 16th, A.D. 1930.

(Sgd.) A. B. INGRAM,

I agree.

(Sgd.) J. A. Ellis Commissioner.

Vice-Chairman.

June 10th, 1930.

#### ORDER

This application coming on for trial on the 14th and 21st day of May, A.D. 1930, before this Board at the Sittings holden at the Board's Chambers, in the Parliament Buildings, in the City of Toronto, in the presence of Counsel for all parties, upon hearing read the pleadings and hearing the evidence adduced and what was alleged by Counsel aforesaid, and the Board having viewed the "locus," this Board was pleased to direct this application to stand over for judgment, and the same coming on this day for judgment:

1. This Board doth declare that the Applicant recover against the

Respondent the sum of five hundred dollars for damages.

2. This Board doth further declare that the Applicant be allowed the sum of one hundred and fifty dollars on account of costs of the said application.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) A. B. INGRAM,

Vice-Chairman.

#### PROCEDURE FILE A-2459

In the matter of the Appeal, under the provisions of "The Assessment Act," from the decision of His Honour the County Judge of the County of Welland.

BETWEEN:

Canadian National Railway and the International Bridge Company,

Appellants,

—and—

The Corporation of the Town of Bridgeburg,

Respondent.

April 11th. Hearing, pursuant to Appointment, 10.30 A.M. to 3.45 P.M., at Board's Chambers Hearing concluded. Judgment reserved.

at Board's Chambers. Hearing concluded. Judgment reserved.

April 17th. Copy of Agreement, dated December 1st, 1919, filed by

Canadian National Railway Co.

May 3rd. Judgment delivered. Appeal allowed.

May 14th. Approved draft Order filed.

May 14th. Order.

May 14th. Notoce of Appeal, from Board's Order, filed.

### JUDGMENT

R. E. Laidlaw for the Appellants; T. F. Hellmuth, K.C., for the Respondents. In the year 1929 the Corporation of the Town of Bridgeburg assessed that structure known as the International Bridge in the Town of Bridgeburg for the sum of Six Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$600,000.00). Against this assessment the Bridge Company appealed to the Court of Revision when the appeal was dismissed. A further appeal was taken to the County Judge of the County of Welland and the learned Judge dismissed the appeal and confirmed the assessment.

Under Section 83 of "The Assessment Act," the Appellants now appeal to The Ontario Railway and Municipal Board against the decision of His Honour the Judge of the County Court of the County of Welland.

By 32 and 33 Vict., Chapter 65, the International Bridge Company became consolidated as an incorporated body and received from the Dominion Government a franchise to construct a bridge over that part of the Niagara River reaching from Bridgeburg in the Province of Ontario to the international boundary, the said bridge to be for railway, vehicular and passenger traffic.

Previous to the construction of the said bridge the Grand Trunk Railway Company had been put to an annual expense estimated at Twenty Thousand Pounds (£20,000) sterling in transferring its cars, etc., across the said Niagara River and on the 30th June, A.D. 1870, an agreement was entered into between the International Bridge Company, of the first part, and the Grand Trunk Railway Company of Canada, of the second part, whereby the Grand Trunk Railway Company undertook to lease from the said Bridge Company, when completed, the bridge and all matters pertaining thereto for a period of 999 years and to pay therefor to the said Bridge Company the sum of Twenty Thousand Pounds (£20,000) sterling by half-yearly instalments to commence on the first day of January, 1872, which said agreement between the International Bridge Company and the Grand Trunk Railway Company was confirmed by statute of the Dominion Parliament, being 35 Vict., Chapter 63.

The said agreement by Section 11 thereof was to extend to the said Grand Trunk Railway Company, their successors and assigns, and the successor of the said Grand Trunk Railway Company is now the Canadian National Railway

Company, one of the Appellants hereto.

By Section 18 of the said agreement it is further set out that the Grand Trunk Company shall have the right to purchase the capital stock or shares of the Bridge Company and upon the said purchase by the Grand Trunk Railway Company all property, privileges, powers, rights and franchises of the Bridge Company shall be vested in the Grand Trunk Company and the undertakings of the two companies shall from henceforth be one undertaking.

The said bridge was then constructed but only for railway purposes and an action was commenced by the Attorney-General of the Province of Ontario

against the International Bridge Company because the bridge had not been constructed in conformity with the requirements of the Act of Parliament authorizing its construction. This case is reported in 6 Ontario Appeal Reports at page 537, and the action of the Attorney-General was dismissed and at page 544 the bridge is referred to as a "railway bridge," wherein the learned Judge in appeal stated: "That Parliament presumably with the knowledge that it was only completed for railway traffic has nevertheless recognized it, etc."

The Canadian National Railway, being one of the Appellants herein, now holds all the stock of the International Bridge Company, with the exception of a few shares that are allotted to certain directors of the Bridge Company to qualify them as directors, but which said stock is held by them in trust for the Canadian National Railway Company with the possible exception

of a few shares held by a man named Spragge in the City of Buffalo.

Following the decision in "The Municipal Corporation of the Township of Cornwall and the Ottawa and New York Railway Company," which reached the Privy Council and is reported in 1917 Appeal Cases at page 399, the bridge in

question over the Niagara River must be taken to be on railway lands.

The method of assessing railways is set out in Section 50 of "The Assessment Act," being Chapter 238, R.S.O. 1927, and after hearing the evidence and the argument on behalf of the Appellants and Respondents the Board is of opinion that the bridge in question is a structure on railway lands used exclusively for railway purposes or incidental thereto and is therefore not assessable.

This appeal must therefore be allowed and the assessment made by the Assessor of the Town of Bridgeburg in connection with the bridge in question struck off and the Assessment Roll of the said Town of Bridgeburg amended

accordingly.

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

Dated at Toronto, this 30th day of April, A.D. 1930.

I agree:

(Sgd.) A. B. INGRAM, Vice-Chairman.

(Sgd.) J. A. Ellis, Commissioner.

Toronto, April 30th, 1930.

#### ORDER

The appeal of the said Appellants from the decision of His Honour the County Judge of the County of Welland, dismissing the appeal of the said Appellants from the decision of the Court of Revision for the Town of Bridgeburg confirming the assessment for the sum of \$600,000, made in the year 1929 by the said Town of Bridgeburg, of the structure known as the International Bridge, having come on to be heard before this Board at a sittings holden at Toronto on the 11th day of April, 1930, in the presence of Counsel for the Appellants and Counsel for the Respondents, upon hearing the evidence adduced and what was alleged by Counsel aforesaid and judgment having been reserved until this day, and this Board finding that the said bridge is a structure on railway lands used exclusively for railway purposes or incidental thereto.

1. It is ordered that the said appeal be and the same is hereby allowed and that the assessment made by the Assessor of the Town of Bridgeburg for the said International Bridge be struck off and the Assessment Roll of the said Town of Bridgeburg amended accordingly.

2. And it is further ordered that the Respondents do forthwith pay \$15.00

in Law Stamps on this Order.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2483a

Application by the Town of Sandwich, under Section 26 (2) of "The Local Improvement Act," for approval of its By-law No. 1900, providing for the assumption by the Corporation of part of the owners' portion of the cost of paving Sandwich Street, from Detroit Street to Rosedale Avenue.

January 31st. Application for approval of By-law No. 1989, in lieu of By-law No. 1900, increasing Corporation's share of cost from \$12,389.72 to

\$13,808.56, filed.

February 7th. Application granted. Applicant's Solicitor to draft Order and have same approved by other Counsel for interested parties.

February 14th. Approved draft Order filed.

February 14th. Order issued.

February 7th, 1930.

## ORDER

Upon the application of the Municipal Corporation of the Town of Sandwich for approval under Section 26, subsection (3) of "The Local Improvement Act" of its By-law Number 1989 amending By-law Number 1900 which provided for the assumption by the town of the sum of \$12,389.72 part of the owners' share of the cost of paving Sandwich Street between Detroit Street and Rosedale Avenue and which was approved by the Board under the Order, dated the 23rd day of October, 1920 (P.F. A-2483), upon reading the affidavit of E. R. North and the material filed.

The Board, under and in pursuance of subsection (3) of Section 26 of "The Local Improvement Act" and the amendments thereto, approves of By-law Number 1989 of the Town of Sandwich amending By-law Number 1900 of the said town by changing the amount of the owners' share of the cost of the paving of Sandwich Street in the said Town of Sandwich between Detroit Street and Rosdale Avenue to be assumed by the town from the sum of \$12,389.72 to the sum of \$13,808.56.

And the Board makes no Order as to costs except that the Town of Sandwich pay \$5.00 for Law Stamps on this Order.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2486

In the matter of the application of the Corporation of the City of Toronto, under subsection (2b) of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of

its By-law No. 12347, as amended by By-law No. 12405, repealing By-law No. 8867 in so far as it prevents the conversion of the house at the northwest corner of Avenue Road and Bernard Avenue into a store and apartments.

January 3rd. Approved draft Order filed.

January 3rd. Order issued.

December 30th, 1929.

### ORDER

Upon the application of the Corporation of the City of Toronto for approval, pursuant to Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," being R.S.O. 1927, Chapter 233, of its By-laws Numbers 12347 and 12405, and upon considering the said by-laws, and upon hearing what was alleged this day by Counsel for the Applicant and by and on behalf of certain property owners affected, the other property owners affected not having appeared although duly notified of this application according to the direction of the Board and the requirements of the Statute aforesaid as by affidavits filed appears.

The Board orders, under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," that the said by-laws, being intituled "No. 12347. A By-law to repeal By-law No. 8867 in so far as it prevents the conversion of the house at the northwest corner of Avenue Road and Bernard Avenue into a store and apartments," and "No. 12405. A By-law to amend By-law No. 12347 respecting the conversion of the house at the northwest corner of Avenue Road and Bernard Avenue into a store and apartments," be and the same are hereby approved.

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

(Seal)

Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2487

BETWEEN:

The Corporation of the Township of Tisdale,

Appellant,

-and-

The Hollinger Consolidated Gold Mines, Ltd.,

Respondent.

Assessment Appeal (Buildings and Equipment, \$34,430.00)

October 8th. Hearing, 7 P.M.; 8.30 to 12 P.M., Town Hall, Haileybury. October 9th. Hearing continued, 9 to 11.45 A.M. Judgment reserved. October 29th. Judgment delivered.

## JUDGMENT

The property of the Appellants, The Hollinger Consolidated Gold Mines, Limited, having been assessed by the Assessor of the Township of Tisdale, the said Hollinger Consolidated Gold Mines, Limited, appealed against the said assessment to the Court of Revision for the said Township of Tisdale, and subsequently to His Honour, the District Judge of the District of Temiskaming,

and from the decision of the said Judge delivered on the 19th day of September, 1929, the said Hollinger Consolidated Gold Mines, Limited, now appeals to this Board on certain grounds set out in the Notice of Appeal filed by the said Appellants, and dated the 25th day of September, 1929.

By way of cross-appeal from the decision of His Honour, the said Judge of the District of Temiskaming, the Respondent herein, the Township of Tisdale, appeals to this Board in respect of certain findings set out in the Notice of Appeal filed by the said Respondent, and dated the 7th day of October, 1929.

The Board held a Hearing at the Town of Timmins on Wednesday and Thursday, the 8th and 9th days of October, 1930, received evidence and heard argument in connection with the matters in dispute, and as touching, first, the cross-appeal which by consent of all parties was confined to what is known as the "slime disposal plant," at what is known as the McKay Veteran property, and the pipe, conveyor pumps, etc., used in connection with this disposal. His Honour, the Judge of the District Court, held that this property, assessed at \$34,430.00, was not assessable under the Act, and with this decision the Board is in full harmony; confirms the finding and dismisses the cross-appeal.

Then as to the original appeal filed by the Appellants, the Board is of the opinion that the "change house," being required by Statute, is not assessable, and to the extent to which this "change house" has been assessed by the Assessor,

namely, \$2,900.00, the assessment must be reduced.

The same argument applies to the powder magazines required by Statute to be kept some distance from the mine buildings proper; and the electric heating system for the magazines, etc., and to the extent to which these have been assessed, \$7,278.00, the total assessment shall be further reduced.

The matter, however, discussed upon this appeal which has given the Board the greatest trouble is the question as to the right to assess what is known as the conveyor, and the towers, shovels, etc., connected therewith, used by the Hollinger Consolidated Gold Mines, Ltd., for the purpose of conveying sand or gravel from a distance some three miles or more from the mine proper to the mine, which said sand or gravel is used for filling purposes, and which it is contended is a necessary part of the mining industry, the witness, Mr. Stovel, Superintendent of the Dome Mines, stating under oath that the sole purpose of the conveying of sand or gravel as above mentioned, and for which the conveysystem is used, is to enable the company to extract ore from the mine, and that the mining could not continue without the filling.

This same appeal was before this Board in or about one year ago, and the decision then was that the conveyor system was properly assessable, but since that date a decision of the Supreme Court of Ontario has somewhat altered the situation. In a case of Ford Motor Company of Canada and the Town of Ford City, reported in 63 Ontario Law Reports at page 410, the court held, reversing the decision of The Ontario Railway and Municipal Board, that a certain gantry crane, being a structure used by the Ford Motor Company for the purpose of conveying coal or other material in cars of somewhat similar construction to the cars used by the Hollinger Mines, was not assessable.

On page 411, Mr. Justice Middleton says that "Prior to the passing of 'The Assessment Act' of 1904, all property, real and personal, was subject to assessment. By that Act personal property ceased to be liable for assessment, and in lieu of the assessment on personal property there was substituted a business tax, etc. The effect of this change was to exempt from taxation all machinery used in connection with a business carried on unless the machinery had become land for the purpose of assessment."

The conveyor above referred to, and being considered by the Board, is a means used by the Hollinger Consolidated Gold Mines, Ltd., to convey sand or gravel from a distance of between three and four miles from the mine proper to the mine itself for filling in purposes. It consists of a certain aerial transfer system; cement abutments are sunk in the ground at different points along the route between the mine and the pit, and upon these cement abutments towers are placed attached to the cement abutments by bolts which, according to the evidence, can be disconnected immediately upon notice and the towers entirely removed without any injury to the land.

Along these towers is run a continuous wire from the mine proper to the sand or gravel pit, and returning from the gravel pit to the mine, and on this continuous wire rest certain cars or buckets, which when empy are conveyed by the system to the sand or gravel pit where they are filled and returned to the mine on the reverse side of the towers, so that the buckets resting upon the wire are almost continually passing back and forth, being filled at the pit and auto-

matically emptied at the mine.

The Board is unable to distinguish a sufficient difference between the gantry crane in the Ford Motor case, and the conveyor system now under consideration, to warrant it in refusing to follow that decision. It is true that the one is in length comparatively short as compared with the other, but as the work done is practically the same, and as the construction of both is of a somewhat similar nature, and from the evidence now produced the conveyor being considered appears to be on mineral land, and as both are used for the purpose of carrying on the work with which they are connected, the Board feels it is bound by the case above referred to, and must therefore allow as exempt from taxation the conveyor system, the dredge and other chattels connected therewith, and accordingly the total assessment must be further reduced by \$140,389.00, the amount at which this system, etc., has been assessed.

In all other respect the decision of His Honour, the Judge of the said District

Court, is confirmed, and the appeal of the Appellants is dismissed.

There shall be no costs in connection with this application save and except the sum of \$20.00 for Law Stamp in connection with the Order to be issued herein, and to be paid by the Respondent, the Municipality of the Township of Tisdale.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

Dated at Toronto, the 28th day of October, A.D. 1930.

PROCEDURE FILE A-2487a

BETWEEN:

The Hollinger Consolidated Gold Mines, Ltd.,

Appellant,

-and-

The Corporation of the Township of Tisdale,

Respondent.

Assessment Appeal

Buildings and Equipment, \$147,614.00

October 8th. Hearing, 7 P.M.; 8.30 to 12 P.M., Town Hall, Haileybury. October 9th. Hearing continued, 9 to 11.45 A.M. Judgment reserved. October 29th. Judgment delivered. (See A-2487).

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2499

Application by the Village of Sturgeon Point, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its Restricted Area By-law No. 118, prohibiting the use of land or erection or use of any building in the area of the said village west of and including Lot 5, Plan 65, except for the purpose of a private detached dwelling.

May 28th. Copy of amendment to By-law No. 118 filed.

May 29th. Application granted.

May 29th. Order.

May 29th, 1930.

### ORDER

Upon the application of the said Corporation, and the Board having, pursuant to Appointment, heard this application on the 19th and 26th days of November, 1929, at its Chambers, in the Parliament Buildings, in the City of Toronto, when the application was granted subject to the by-law being amended to allow the erection or use of buildings for private garages, boathouses, ice houses or other necessary outhouses in connection with the ordinary use of a private dwelling, and the said By-law No. 118 having been so amended as appears from letter, dated the 27th day of May, 1930, from G. A. Lucas, Clerk, to this Board, and upon consideration of the material filed.

The Board orders, that the said By-law No. 118, as so amended, intituled "By-law No. 118, Sturgeon Point, Ontario. Being a By-law to limit the use of land or the erection or use of buildings within the Village of Sturgeon Point in the area described in this By-law to private detached dwellings," be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

### PROCEDURE FILE A-2539

Application by the Town of Cobourg, under subsection (2b) of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 1421, amending its By-law No. 1375 by eliminating therefrom lot owned by Miss Margaret Olley at the corner of King and Ontario Streets.

February 18th. Approved draft Order filed.

February 18th. Order issued.

February 18th, 1930.

#### ORDER

Upon the application of the said Corporation and having, pursuant to Appointment, heard on the 23rd day of December last past at the Council Chamber in the Town of Cobourg those appearing in support of and in opposition to the application, notice of such Hearing having been duly given as directed by the Board.

The Board orders, under and in pursuance of the provisions of subsection 2 (b) of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," R.S.O. Chapter 233, that the said By-law No. 1421 of the Corporation of the Town of Cobourg intituled "By-law No. 1421. A By-law to amend By-law No. 1375 of the Town of Cobourg," be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2573

Application by the City of Kitchener, under Section 398 (2b) of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-laws Nos. 2119, 2125 and 2126, amending its By-law No. 1823 (as amended by By-laws Nos. 1834-5) as follows:

(a) By-law No. 2119—Changing the corner of Strange and Cherry

Streets from residential to a business zone;

(b) By-law No. 2125—Changing part of Victoria Street (Lots 15 to 23) (both inclusive), of Mary E. Weber's Survey, east of St. Ledger Street and south of Victoria Street) from a residential to light industrial district; and

(c) By-law No. 2126—Changing part of Queen Street South from a

residential to a business zone.

January 7th. Hearing, 10 to 11 A.M., Council Chamber, City Hall, Kitchener:

- (a) Mr. Lips, City Clerk, to examine petitions for and against the by-law and report to City Solicitor. Matter to be brought up again if desired. Adjourned *sine die*.
  - (b) Application dismissed.

(c) Application granted.

January 10th. Draft Order re By-law No. 2126 (c), filed, and Order issued.

January 7th, 1931.

#### ORDER

Upon the application of the said Corporation, and upon reading the copy of the said By-laws and the other material filed, and the Board having, pursuant to Appointment on the 7th day of January, A.D. 1930, at the Council Chamber, in the City of Kitchener, heard evidence in support of and no one appearing in opposition to the application for approval of By-law No. 2126, and notice of such Hearing having been duly given as directed by the Board,

The Board orders, under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," that the said By-law No. 2126, intituled "By-law No. 2126 of the City of Kitchener. A By-law to amend the Zoning Ordinance," be

and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2578

In the matter of the Petition of Ada Thompson, and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act" against the opening and establishing of East 31st Street from its present northerly terminus in Mount Hamilton Gardens

Survey to Crockett Street and from its present northerly terminus in T. Livingstone Survey to Concession Street, in the City of Hamilton.

May 15th. Draft Order filed.

May 15th. Order issued.

December 20th, 1929.

### ORDER

Upon the Petition of Ada Thompson and others to this Board under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the opening and establishing (under the provisions of the said Act) of East 31st Street from its present northerly terminus in Mount Hamilton Gardens Survey to Crockett Street, and from its present northerly terminus in T. Livingstone Survey, to Concession Street, and upon hearing read the Petition and the Appointment for Hearing herein, and upon hearing the evidence adduced, and what was alleged on behalf of the Petitioners and the Corporation of the City of Hamilton, and the said Corporation consenting hereto,

This Board doth order that fifty per centum of the cost of the work or undertaking shall be specially assessed upon the lots abutting directly on the portions of the said "31st Street" to be opened and established, according to their respective frontages thereon pursuant to the provisions of "The Local Improvement Act," and that fifty per centum of the cost of the said work or

undertaking shall be borne by the City Corporation.

And this Board doth further order that there shall be no costs of this application to any party except that the Corporation of the City of Hamilton shall pay \$10.00 for Law Stamps on this Order, chargeable to the cost of the work.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) A. B. INGRAM,

Vice-Chairman.

### PROCEDURE FILE A-2579

In the matter of the Petition of B. Watters, A. G. Blayney and others, pursuant to Section 20 of "The Municipal Act," R.S.O. 1927, Chapter 233, for annexation to the City of Hamilton of that part of the Township of Ancaster hereinafter mentioned and described.

January 9th. 10.30 a.m. to 12 md. Minutes of Order settled.

January 15th. Order issued.

May 9th. Amending Order issued.

December 20th, 1929.

## Order

Upon the application of the above mentioned petitioners and upon reading the Petition of the Applicants herein filed with the Board, and the Resolution of the Council of the Corporation of the City of Hamilton, passed on the 10th day of December, 1929, declaring the expediency of such annexation upon the terms mentioned in said Resolution, and upon hearing representatives of the said petitioners and what was alleged by Counsel on behalf of the Corporation of the City of Hamilton, the Township of Ancaster, certain ratepayers therein opposing the application, and the County of Wentworth, and public notice of the Hearing having been given as directed by the Board;

This Board doth order and proclaim that the portion of the Township of Ancaster in the County of Wentworth, described as follows:

All and singular that certain parcel or tract of land and premises, situate, lying and being in the Township of Ancaster, in the County of Wentworth, in the Province of Ontario, being composed of part of Lots Fifty-six (56) and Fifty-seven (57) in the First and Second Concessions of the Township of Ancaster and part of the Gore of the Township of Ancaster, all of which may be more particularly described as follows, that is to say: Commencing at the intersection of the northern limit of the Hamilton and Brantford Provincial Highway (Provincial Highway No. 2) with the division line between the Townships of Barton and Ancaster, said point of intersection being in the present city limits, to the south of Westdale; thence westerly along the said northern limit of the Hamilton and Brantford Provincial Highway to its intersection with the division line between Lots Fifty-five (55) and Fifty-six (56) in the said Township of Ancaster; thence southerly along the said division line between Lots Fifty-five (55) and Fiftysix (56) and the production thereof southerly to its intersection with the northern limit of the right-of-way lands of the Brantford and Hamilton Electric Railway Company; thence easterly along the said northern limit of the right-of-way lands of the Brantford and Hamilton Electric Railway Company on the following courses and distances; easterly and parallel with the present tracks of the said Brantford and Hamilton Electric Railway Company, a distance of three hundred and sixty-four feet (364' 0") southerly and parallel with the said westerly limit of Lot Fifty-six (56), a distance of twenty-five feet and seven inches (25' 7") easterly and parallel with the present tracks, a distance of seven hundred and six feet (706' 0") northerly and parallel with the said westerly limit of Lot Fifty-six (56), a distance of seventy-six feet and ten inches (76' 10") easterly and parallel with the present tracks, a distance of two thousand two hundred and sixty-one feet and nine inches (2,261' 9") southerly at right angles to the last mentioned course, a distance of one hundred and seventeen feet (117' 0") easterly and parallel with the present tracks, a distance of eight hundred and forty feet (840' 0") more or less to a point where the said right-of-way lands intersects the said division line between the Townships of Barton and Ancaster; thence northerly along the said division line between the Townships of Barton and Ancaster to the place of beginning,

be, and the same is hereby annexed to the City of Hamilton, and the said annexation shall take effect on and from the 31st day of December, 1929, upon and subject to the following terms and conditions, namely:

- 1. That the taxes, assessments, rents, water, school and other rates in respect of the annexed district to be levied by the City of Hamilton in respect of the said territory, shall for the year 1930 and thereafter belong to "The Corporation of the City of Hamilton" and "The Board of Education for the City of Hamilton," respectively, and shall be on the same basis and payable at the same time and in the same manner as taxes, assessments, rents, water, school and other rates, levied and raised from time to time on the property within the old boundaries of the city, except that the assessments of the said territory by the Corporation of the City of Hamilton for the year 1930 may be taken by the City Assessors at any time after the date of this Order.
- 2. (a) All taxes imposed by the Township of Ancaster in the said annexed district for the year 1929 and any and all arrears of taxes to the 31st day of

December, 1929, in said district shall belong to the Township of Ancaster, and may be collected as provided by "The Municipal Act" or "The Assessment Act."

- (b) The Corporation of the Township of Ancaster shall forthwith prepare and furnish to the Corporation of the City of Hamilton a Special Collector's Roll, showing all arrears of taxes or special rates assessed against the lands in the annexed area up to the 31st day of December, 1929, and the persons assessed therefor.
- (c) That the said arrears of taxes according to said special rolls shall be collected by the Corporation of the City of Hamilton and that the right to collect same, including the right to distress for non-payment of said arrears, or if necessary, the right to sell the said lands, if any, for non-payment of such arrears shall be vested in the Corporation of the City of Hamilton, but the proceeds of the collection of such arrears or any part of same after deducting therefrom the proper costs and expenses in connection with the collection of same, shall be repaid by the Corporation of the City of Hamilton to the said Corporation of the Township of Ancaster on the first day of January and July after collection.

That the Corporation of the Township of Ancaster shall indemnify and save harmless the Corporation of the City of Hamilton from all loss, costs, charges and expenses arising from the collection or attempted collection of any arrears as shown on said special roll.

- 3. The Township of Ancaster shall furnish the Corporation of the City of Hamilton with certified copies of all special assessment rolls for local improvements in the said area, together with all by-laws for levying the special rates thereon, the collection of which special rates are to be made by the City of Hamilton, and shall at all reasonable times allow the Corporation of the City of Hamilton, its servants and agents access to the assessment rolls of the said portion of the said Township of Ancaster, and to all local improvement by-laws and local improvement assessment rolls, and also all plans, surveys and maps applicable to the said portion of the said township for the purpose of making copies of the same.
- 4. There shall be an adjustment of the assets and liabilities of the Hydro-Electric Commission of the Township of Ancaster which shall be subject to the approval of the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario at the present actual value, and "The Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario" shall be the sole arbiter as between "The Hydro-Electric Commission of the Township of Ancaster" and "The Hydro-Electric Commission of the City of Hamilton."
- 5. Where any work heretofore has been constructed in the said district and such work is defective or insufficient, the Corporation of the City of Hamilton may proceed with the construction of required works under the provisions of "The Local Improvement Act" notwithstanding the lifetime of the first mentioned work has not expired.
- 6. The residents of the district to be annexed shall be entitled to water from the Hamilton Waterworks upon the same terms and conditions as the residents of Hamilton.
  - 7. The said annexed territory shall form part of Ward No. 3 of the said city.
- 8. Notwithstanding anything hereinbefore contained all adjustments, pursuant to the provisions of Section 38 of "The Municipal Act," Section 37 of "The Public Schools Act," and "The Housing Adjustment Act," 1927, Statutes of Ontario, 17 Geo. V, Chapter 74, shall be made as of the 31st day of December, 1929, the date the annexation is deemed to have taken effect.
- 9. In the adjustment of the assets and liabilities as between the Township of Ancaster and the City of Hamilton, such adjustment shall be based on

the township assessment for the year 1929 as finally revised and the township assessment of the district annexed. The adjustment between the city and the county to be based upon the township's equalized assessment. The adjustments between the township, the county and the School Section respecting the debenture debts to be made as of the 31st December, 1929.

10. This Order shall come into force on the 31st day of December, 1929.

May 9th, 1930.

## AMENDING ORDER

In the matter of Order A-2579 of the said Board, dated the 20th day of December, 1929.

And in the matter of the application of the Corporation of the Township of Ancaster, pursuant to said Section 20 of "The Municipal Act," as amended by Statutes of Ontario, 20 Geo. V (1930), Chapter 44, Section 1, to amend the said Order A-2579.

1. Upon the application of the Corporation of the Township of Ancaster to amend the said Order A-2579 and upon reading the application herein filed with the Board and the consent of the Corporation of the City of Hamilton, and upon hearing Counsel for the said applicant;

2. This Board doth order and proclaim that the said Order of this Board, A-2579, dated the 20th day of December, 1929, be and the same is hereby amended by rescinding and striking out paragraph 2 thereof and inserting in lieu thereof the following paragraph namely:

- "2. (a) All taxes imposed by the Township of Ancaster in the said annexed district for the year 1929 and any and all arrears of taxes to the 31st day of December, 1929, in the said district shall belong to the Township of Ancaster.
- (b) The Corporation of the Township of Ancaster shall prepare and furnish to the Corporation of the City of Hamilton on or before the 2nd day of January, 1931, a special Collector's Roll for each year showing all arrears of taxes or special rates assessed against the said lands in the annexed area up to the 31st day of December, 1929, and the persons assessed therefor.
- (c) The Corporation of the Township of Ancaster shall up to and including the 31st day of December, 1930, have the right to collect all such arrears of taxes to the 31st day of December, 1929, owing in the said annexed area according to the Collector's Rolls for the years 1929 and prior thereto including the right to distrain for non-payment of such arrears or if necessary the right to sell the said lands, if any, for non-payment of such arrears as fully and effectually as if the said lands were still within the limits of the Township of Ancaster. After the 31st day of December, 1930, the Corporation of the City of Hamilton shall collect any and all such arrears of taxes owing up to the 31st day of December, 1929, and which might still be owing in the said annexed area according to the Collector's Roll, and shall have the right to distrain for non-payment of such arrears, and, if necessary, the right to sell the lands, if any, for non-payment of such arrears as fully and effectually as if the said taxes had been assessed and levied by the Corporation of the City of Hamilton; but the proceeds of the collection of such arrears or any part of the same, after deducting therefrom the

proper costs and expenses in connection with the collection of the same shall be repaid by the Corporation of the City of Hamilton to the said Corporation of the Township of Ancaster half-yearly on the 1st days of July and January in each year, provided the said Corporation of the City of Hamilton shall proceed to collect the said arrears of taxes in the same manner as if it had assessed and levied the same and for that purpose the said Corporation shall have all the rights and powers conferred upon municipalities by "The Assessment Act" or other Act in force regarding the collection of arrears of taxes in the annexed area, but the City Corporation shall not be responsible to the Corporation of the Township of Ancaster for any such arrears of taxes which it may be unable to collect.

3. This order shall take effect as of the 31st day of December, 1929.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2585

In the matter of the Petition of Mary E. McKinley, Wilfrid Soulliers and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the proposed opening of Ottawa Street, from Riverdale Avenue to the easterly limit of Farm Lot 138, in the Town of Riverside.

January 22nd. Hearing, pursuant to Appointment, 9.30 A.M.; 10.30 to 11 A.M., Court House, Sandwich. Petition allowed by consent—work not to be proceeded with. Leave to town to undertake work hereafter. (See Reporter's Notes.)

January 28th. Approved draft Order filed. January 29th. Order.

January 22nd, 1930.

#### ORDER

The Petition herein having come on for hearing by the Board on this date at the Town of Sandwich in the presence of Counsel for the Petitioners and Counsel for the Corporation of the Town of Riverside;

And it appearing that the Corporation of the Town of Riverside is unwilling to proceed with the work petitioned against in opposition to the desire of the petitioning ratepayers;

And Counsel for all parties consenting hereto.

The Board therefore orders and proclaims:

- 1. That the Board withholds its approval of the works known and described as Numbers One, Two, Three and Four according to By-laws Numbers "295C" and "295 DD" of the said Corporation of the Town of Riverside.
- 2. That the said works be not undertaken nor proceeded with by the Corporation of the Town of Riverside in pursuance of the said by-laws.
- 3. That this Order is made without prejudice to any future undertaking by the Corporation of the Town of Riverside of the said works or any part thereof in accordance with the provisions of "The Local Improvement Act" or other statutory authority.

4. And the Board makes no Order as to costs except that the Corporation of the Town of Riverside pay a fee of \$10.00 for Law Stamps herein.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2596

BETWEEN:

The Corporation of the City of Toronto,

Appellant,

-and-

The Toronto Terminal Railway Co.,

Respondent.

(Assessment Appeal)

January 15th. Hearing, pursuant to Appointment, 10.30 A.M. to 12.30 P.M., at Board's Chambers. Appellant's Solcitor asks for a stated case. Hearing concluded. Judgment reserved.

January 29th. Judgment delivered. Appeal dismissed.

# JUDGMENT

This is an application by way of appeal to this Board from the decision of His Honour, the County Judge of the County of York, in connection with the assessment of certain property of the Toronto Terminal Railway Company by the Appellant, the Corporation of the City of Toronto.

The assessor for the Corporation of the City of Toronto assessed an unfinished building belonging to the Toronto Terminal Railway Company, and used as a steam plant, for the sum of \$150,000, and against this assessment The Toronto Terminal Railway Company appealed to the Court of Revision for the said City of Toronto. The said Court of Revision allowed the appeal and struck out the assessment. Against this decision the City of Toronto appealed to the County Judge of the County of York, when the learned County Judge confirmed the finding of the Court of Revision, and held that the said building was not assessable under "The Assessment Act."

From this decision the said City of Toronto now appeals to this Board.

The method of assessing railways or railway property is set out fully in Section 5c of "The Assessment Act," and the contention of the solicitor for the said Toronto Terminal Railway Company is that unless the property of the company is assessable under said Section 5c it is not assessable at all.

Counsel for the City of Toronto contends that while the said Appellant has a right to assess under other sections they still are entitled to assess the property in question under subsection 2 (d) of Section 5c, which reads in part as follows:

"The Assessor shall assess . . .

(d) the real property not designated in Clauses (a), (b) and (c) of this subsection in actual use and occupation by the company, at its actual cash value as the same would be appraised upon a sale to another company possessing similar powers, rights and franchises."

Clause (a) mentioned in Clause (d) refers to the roadway or right-of-way; Clause (b) to vacant land, and Clause (c) to structures, substructures, etc., upon, in, over, under or affixed to any highway, street or road, etc., so that the steam heating plant not being a structure upon, in, over, under or affixed to any highway, street, road, etc., not being vacant land, and not being a roadway or right-of-way, might well come under real property not designated in Clauses (a), (b) and (c), especially as by the interpretation set out in Section 1 of the Act, real property shall include  $(h \ 4)$ , all buildings or any part of any building, etc., placed upon, in, over, under or affixed to land.

Counsel for The Toronto Terminal Railway Company, however, contends that under subsection (3) of Section 5c the property in question is included

and must be exempt; subsection (3) reading as follows:

"Notwithstanding anything in this Act contained the structures, substructures, superstructures, rails, ties, poles, wires, and other property on railway lands, and used exclusively for railway purposes or incidental thereto (except stations, freight sheds, offices, warehouses, elevators, hotels, round houses, machine repair and other shops), shall not be assessed."

It is contended by Counsel for the company that the steam plant in question cannot be included in any of the buildings bracketed in this clause as the exception from the exempted property inasmuch as it is not a station, freight shed, etc.

And it is further contended by Counsel for the company that it is a structure, that it is property on railway lands used exclusively for railway purposes or

incidental thereto, and being such cannot be assessed.

This Board entirely agrees that the statement made by His Honour, the Judge of the County Court of the County of York, that a taxing statute must be construed strictly and it cannot be presumed that a corporation has power to tax unless that power is expressly conferred by some statutory enactment.

The City of Toronto called no witnesses, and produced no evidence in connection with this appeal, relying entirely upon the argument advanced by

Counsel.

The Respondent, however, put in the evidence of Mr. John Ambrose, Chief Engineer of The Toronto Terminal Railway Company, and the evidence of Mr.

Ambrose was the only evidence placed before the Board.

It was strongly urged by Counsel for the City of Toronto that inasmuch as the steam plant in question provided heat for the Royal York Hotel, and heat for what is known as the Post Office in the Union Station, therefore it was supplying heat for buildings that were not railway buildings or incidental thereto, and could not come under the exempting clause.

The evidence, however, of Mr. Ambrose was first as to the question of the

post office, as follows:

Q.—What is the practice as to postal facilities in railway stations? Can you tell us first as to large centres, and then as to smaller places?

A.—Postal facilities are required at all railway stations. The facilities vary in size from the baggage truck to a ten or fifteen million dollar building. There always have to be some postal facilities in a railway station.

Q.—Why is that?

A.—The railway company has to arrange for the handling of the transfer mail, and the mail bags containing the mail, apart from what we call local city mail. We usually think of the post office as the place where we go to buy stamps, and mail letters; that is not exactly the sense in which I am referring

to the post office. In our case the post office, as the public understand it, is just incidental to the larger facilities that are provided. It is a railway mail post office, and all the mail which comes in on the trains, or the larger part of it, is rehandled and sent out on other trains; that is not the regular post office service as the public understand it.

Q.—What I understand you to say is that at the Union Station you have

facilities for handling mail in connection with the railway?

A.—Yes, sir, and you will find the same thing in New York in connection with the Pennsylvania Railway Station, and you will find the same thing at the the station in Chicago, where there is a very large mail outfit, and you will find the same thing at St. Louis where they have a very large building for the mail right in connection with the station. In fact, I do not know of any large centre that has not railway mail facilities.

Q.—On the railway premises?

A.—On the railway premises.

Q.—You spoke of a baggage truck as being an elementary part of the post office?

A.—That would be at a wayside station where there is sometimes only one bag of mail delivered in a day; the railway company has to provide a truck for the post office people to get that bag of mail.

Q.—The mail facilities in connection with the station vary with the

importance of the centre?

A.—Yes.

Q.—You find that to be the case everywhere?

A.—Yes, Sir.

Then as to the question of hotels in connection with railways the evidence of Mr. Ambrose was as follows:

Q.—What has been your experience in reference to hotels in connection with railways?

A.—From the very beginning of railways the companies have had to provide meals and sleeping accommodation for their passengers, particularly at junction points. The companies have also to provide facilities at points where the train stops at the noon hour, and where the train stops long enough for the passengers to have a meal. That was found necessary, and then later on on the more important routes, and on the important trains they have dining cars in order to avoid having to stop the train at the terminal. But the dining cars cannot provide all that is required. They have put on as many as three and four dining cars on some trains, and they simply cannot handle the traffic, and they have had to provide hotels, and hotel accommodation has grown up with the importance of the city. I have seen places in the West that started out with a lunch counter and ended up with a fairly good sized hotel.

Q.—You say the hotel accommodation varies with the size of the system, and the size and importance of the point?

A.—Yes, and the importance of the point.

Q.—Have you anything to say as to hotel accommodation being a feeder for the railway?

A.—Hotel accommodation must have something to do with competitive lines. Take for instance the Pennsylvania System in New York, they built a hotel there in order to compete with the New York Central which had a hotel on its property, and that is the way it goes. I do not know whether or not the hotel accommodation increases traffic or not, but it certainly is an accommodation for the customers of the railway.

Q.—And an inducement for passengers to visit certain points?

A.—Yes

Q.—In the present case, how is the Royal York Hotel related to the station structurally?

A.—There is an underground tunnel from the station to the hotel, and passengers can leave the station and be under cover all the time while they are going to the hotel.

Q.—That tunnel is directly connected with the station?

Ä.—Yes.

The said witness being asked:

Q.—What is the chief purpose of the steam plant, what does it do?

A.—Heating purposes.

Q.—What would you say was the basic purpose of the plant?

A.—Economy in heating. Q.—For railway purposes?

A.—Primarily for railway purposes, there is no question about that.

Q.—If the Royal York were not there, and there were no postal facilities, would the steam plant be necessary?

A.—Oh, absolutely.

From the above evidence, and the evidence of Mr. Ambrose is the only evidence before the Board, it would appear that the post office facilities referred to, and the Royal York Hotel, being owned and operated by the Canadian Pacific Railway Company, are incidental to the working of the railway system, and that the plant in question is a structure, or a property on railway lands, used exclusively for railway purposes or incidental thereto, thereby coming under subsection (3) of Section 50 of "The Assessment Act," being Chapter 238, R.S.O. 1927, and is therefore exempt from taxation.

The appeal must therefore be dismissed.

And the Board makes no Order for costs, save and except that the Appellant shall pay \$10.00 for the Law Stamps required for this Order.

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

I agree:

(Sgd.) A. B. INGRAM,

Vice-Chairman. .

Dated Toronto,

the 29th day of January, A.D. 1930.

PROCEDURE FILE A-2597

Between:

The General Accident Assurance Co. of Canada,

Appellant,

—and—

The Corporation of the City of Toronto,

Respondent.

(Assessment Appeal)

January 28th. Hearing, pursuant to Appointment, 10.30 to 10.35 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Adjourned by consent to Tuesday, February 4th, 1930, at 10.30 A.M.

February 11th. Hearing continued, pursuant to adjournment and post-ponement, 10.30 A.M. to 4 P.M. Hearing concluded. Judgment *viva voce* at conclusion of Hearing, allowing appeal and fixing assessment of building at \$300,000.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2598

BETWEEN:

The Guardian Realty Co. of Canada, Ltd.,

Appellant,

-and-

The Corporation of the City of Toronto,

Respondent.

# (Assessment Appeal)

January 28th. Hearing, pursuant to Appointment, 10.30 A.M. to 12.30 P.M., at Board's Chambers. Hearing concluded. Judgment *viva voce* at conclusion of Hearing, dismissing appeal. (See Reporter's Notes.)

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2603

Application by the City of Toronto, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 12447, restricting the area on either side of Whitehall Road, part of Summerhill Avenue, part of Douglas Drive, Gregory Avenue and Highland Avenue.

January 3rd. Application and material filed.

January 29th. Hearing, pursuant to Appointment, 10.30 to 11 A.M., at Board's Chambers. By-law to be amended excluding west end of Summerhill Avenue and to provide for duplexes and double duplexes on Summerhill Avenue, Whitehall Road and Highland Crescent (west side). (See Reporter's Notes.) Hearing adjourned to 19th February, at 10.30 A.M., at Board's Chambers.

February 19th. Hearing continued, 10.30 to 11 A.M. Adjourned at request of Applicant's Solicitor to 10.30 A.M., Thursday, 13th March, 1930.

March 13th. Hearing continued, 10.30 A.M. to 12.15 P.M. Hearing concluded. Judgment reserved. Parties and City Counsel to consider single duplexes.

June 21st. Amending By-law No. 12721 filed.

June 23rd. Board directs draft Order, filed by city, to be approved by Solicitors for several parties interested.

July 8th. Approved draft Order filed.

July 9th. Order, approving By-law No. 12447, as amended by By-laws Nos. 12508 and 12721, issued.

July 9th, 1930.

### ORDER

The application of the Corporation of the City of Toronto, for approval, pursuant to Section 398, subsection (2b) of "The Municipal Act," being R.S.O. 1927, Chapter 233, of its By-law No. 12447 having come on for hearing before this Board on the 29th day of January, A.D. 1930, in the presence of Counsel on behalf of the Applicant as well as in the presence of a number of property owners affected and their Counsel, when this Board having heard all parties was pleased to direct that the application stand over until the 19th day of February, A.D. 1930, and to recommend that in the meantime the said By-law should be reconsidered by the Council of the Corporation of the City of Toronto.

And the said application having come on again for hearing before this Board on the 19th day of February, A.D. 1930, and this Board having been pleased to direct that the application further stand over until the 13th day of March, A.D. 1930.

And the said application having come on again for hearing before this Board on the 13th day of March, A.D. 1930, in the presence of Counsel on behalf of the Applicant as well as in the presence of a number of property owners affected and their Counsel, and By-law No. 12508 of the Corporation of the City of Toronto, passed on the 24th day of February, 1930, and intituled "A By-law to amend By-law No. 12447 prohibiting the use of land or the erection or use of buildings for any other purpose than that of detached private residences on property abutting on Whitehall Road and neighbouring streets," having been laid by the Applicant before this Board for its approval along with the said By-law No. 12447, the Board having heard what was alleged by all parties and considerable difference of opinion having become evident, this Board was pleased to direct that the said application should stand over for judgment to enable the said owners and their Counsel to come to an agreement, and the said owners and their Counsel, or certain of them having now arrived at an agreement and By-law No. 12721 of the Corporation of the City of Toronto having in consequence thereof been passed on the 16th day of June, A.D. 1930, intituled "No. 12721. A By-law to amend By-law No. 12447 respecting the use of lands on Whitehall Road and other streets," and the said By-law No. 12721 having now been laid before this Board by the Applicant for approval together with the aforesaid By-laws Nos. 12447 and 12508, upon further considering the said by-laws.

The Board orders, under and in pursuance of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," that the said By-law No. 12447, being intituled "No. 12447. A By-law to prohibit the use of land or the erection or use of buildings for any other purpose than that of a detached private residence on property abutting on either side of Whitehall Road, part of Summerhill Avenue, part of Douglas Drive, Gregory Avenue and Highland Crescent," as amended by By-law No. 12508, being intituled "No. 12508. A By-law to amend By-law No. 12447 prohibiting the use of land or the erection or use of buildings for any other purpose than that of detached private residences on property abutting on Whitehall Road and neighbouring streets," and as further amended by the said By-law No. 12721, being intituled "No. 12721. A By-law to amend By-law No. 12447 respecting the use of lands on Whitehall Road and other streets," be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

PROCEDURE FILE A-2608

BETWEEN:

Caleb Green,

Appellant,

—and—

The Corporation of the City of Ottawa,

Respondent.

(Assessment Appeal)

(Property)

January 7th. Notice of Appeal filed.

January 31st. Hearing, pursuant to Appointment, 9.30 to 10.30 A.M., at the Council Chamber, Ottawa. Appeal dismissed.

PROCEDURE FILE A-2613

BETWEEN:

Fred R. Curelly, et al,

Applicants,

-and-

A. C. Beatty, M.D. (trading as The Beatty Telephone System),

Respondent.

Complaint under Section 90 of "The Telephone Act" as to service.

January 10th. Application filed.

February 3rd. Hearing, 11 A.M., Town Hall, Port Hope. (Chairman authorized under Section 8, Chapter 225, R.S.O.) Respondent directed to repair his line as soon as weather conditions permit. Board's Inspector to inspect system later and report. If Respondent then in default approval of rates may be cancelled.

April 24th. Inspector's Report filed.

October 24th. Inspector's Report filed.

November 11th. Inspector's Report filed.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2624

Application by the City of Stratford, under Section 398 (2b) of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 3315, amending its Restricted Area By-law No. 2740, exempting part Lot No. "F," Canada Company's Survey.

January 24th. Application and material filed.

February 18th. Order.

February 18th, 1930.

### ORDER

The application of the said Corporation having been heard by this Board at the Sittings held pursuant to the Appointment therefor given by this Board at Stratford on Tuesday, the 18th day of February, A.D. 1930, upon reading the said By-law and the statutory Declarations of Walter Herbert Dorland, Clerk of the said municipality, and James Morgan Riddell, filed, and the exhibits therein referred to, and it appearing that no person attended at the said Sittings interested in, opposing or promoting the said By-law No. 3315, except J. M. Riddell, Counsel for the Corporation of the City of Stratford.

1. The Board orders, under and in pursuance of subsection 2b of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," that the said By-law No. 3315 of the City of

Stratford, be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2649

Application by the City of Kitchener, under subsection (2b) of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 2134, amending its Zoning Ordinance By-law No. 1823 (as amended by 1834 and 1835), by adding to Division "B," Light Industrial Districts, Section 3—"Eleventhly—Lot No. 13, Registered Plan No. 334."

February 5th. Application and material filed.

March 5th. Hearing, pursuant to Appointment, 10 to 11 A.M., Council Chamber, Kitchener. Adjourned sine die.

#### PROCEDURE FILE A-2657

Application by the Board of Park Management of the City of Hamilton, under subsection (6) of Section 12 of "The Public Parks Act," for approval of its By-law No. 12, setting aside certain park lands, 6.12 acres ("Woodlands Park") for athletic purposes and for the purposes of sport, exhibitions and other lawful amusements or entertainments.

February 11th. Application and material filed.

March 11th. Hearing, pursuant to Appointment, 10.45 to 11.15 A.M., at Council Chamber, Hamilton. Application granted.

March 18th. Draft Order filed.

March 20th. Order issued.

March 11th, 1930.

### ORDER

Upon the application of the said Board of Park Management of the City of Hamilton for an Order pursuant to subsection (6) of Section 12 of "The Public Parks Act,"

(a) Approving of the setting apart of certain park lands, hereinafter referred to, purchased by the Corporation of the City of Hamilton for park purposes (the management, regulation and control of which are,

pursuant to Section 3, subsection (1) of "The Public Parks Act," vested in the said "Parks Board") for athletic purposes and for the purposes of sport, exhibitions, and other lawful amusements, such lands being set out in Schedule "A" hereto attached;

And (b) Approving of By-law No. 12 passed by the said Board of Park Management of the City of Hamilton on the 20th day of January, 1930, and the lands referred to in said Schedule "A," namely:

PARCEL ONE—Part of Chedoke Civic Golf Club lands;

PARCEL Two—The Stadium (formerly Scott Park);

PARCEL THREE—Victoria Park;

PARCEL FOUR-Woodland Park; and

PARCEL FIVE—Eastwood Park.

and Notice of Hearing of the said application having been duly given and published as directed by this Board for the 11th day of March, 1930, at the Council Chamber in the City Hall, in the City of Hamilton, and the Board having held Sittings at the said time and place and no one appearing in opposition to the application; and upon hearing what was alleged on behalf of the said Board of Park Management, and upon consideration of the evidence adduced by the Applicant, the reading of the said By-law and what was alleged by Counsel;

It is ordered under and in pursuance of Section 12, subsection (6) of "The Public Parks Act," that By-law No. 12 of the said Board of Park Management of the City of Hamilton, passed on the 20th day of January, 1930, a certified copy of which By-law and the Schedule "A" therein referred to, has been filed with this Board, be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2659

In the matter of the application of Thomas Stewart, Ethel Dodd and others, under Section 21 of "The Municipal Act," for detachment of certain farm lands from the Village of Port Perry and the annexation of same to the Township of Reach.

February 12th. Application filed.

April 16th. Hearing, pursuant to Appointment, 11 A.M. to 12.50 P.M., Council Chamber, Port Perry. Application refused except as to two parcels. Judgment reserved as to these pending settlement between parties.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2670

Application by the Township of Etobicoke, under "The Township of Etobicoke Act, 1923" (13-14 Geo. V, Chapter 62) and amendments, for approval of the setting aside of its Water Area No. 15, as designated by By-law No. 3488.

February 19th. Application and material filed.

March 18th. Hearing, 10.30 to 10.45 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Application granted. Applicant's Solicitor to draft Order.

March 21st. Draft Order filed.

March 22nd. Order issued.

March 18th, 1930.

## Order

Upon the application of the said Corporation, upon reading the Notice of Application and the other material filed, and public notice of the Hearing of such application having been duly given as directed by the Board, and upon hearing Counsel for the Applicant and no one appearing in opposition to the application, and it appearing that the said By-law describes an area which to this Board appears satisfactory.

The Board orders and certifies, under and in pursuance of the provisions of the said Act, being Chapter 62, 13-14 Geo. V, and amendments thereto, that the said By-law No. 3488, intituled "By-law No. 3488. A By-law of the Municipality of the Township of Etobicoke to set aside and designate a defined section or area in the Township of Etobicoke wherein to construct and extend a system of watermains and waterworks for the benefit of such defined area," be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2671

Application by the Township of Etobicoke, under "The Township of Etobicoke Act, 1923" (13-14 Geo. V, Chapter 62) and amendments, for approval of the setting aside of its Water Area No. 16, as designated by By-law No. 3489.

February 19th. Application and material filed.

March 18th. Hearing, 10.30 A.M.; 10.40 to 10.45 A.M., at the Board's Chambers. Application granted. Applicant's Solicitor to draft Order.

March 21st. Draft Order filed.

March 22nd. Order issued.

March 18th, 1930.

### ORDER

Upon the application of the said Corporation, upon reading the Notice of Application and the other material filed, and public notice of the Hearing of such application having been duly given as directed by the Board, and upon hearing Counsel for the Applicant and no one appearing in opposition to the application, and it appearing that the said By-law describes an area which to this Board appears satisfactory.

The Board orders and certifies, under and in pursuance of the provisions of the said Act, being Chapter 62, 13-14 Geo. V, and amendments thereto, that the said By-law No. 3489, intituled "By-law No. 3489. A By-law of the Municipality of the Township of Etobicoke to set aside and designate a defined section or area in the Township of Etobicoke wherein to construct and extend a system of watermains and waterworks for the benefit of such defined area," be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2673

Application by the City of Ottawa, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its Restricted Area By-law No. 6796, restricting Monkland Avenue to detached private dwellings.

February 20th. Application and material filed.

March 21st. Hearing, pursuant to Appointment, 9.30 to 10.30 A.M., Council Chamber, Ottawa. (Mr. Commissioner Ellis authorized under Section 8, Chapter 225, R.S.O.).

March 24th. Report of Mr. Commissioner Ellis filed and adopted.

Application granted.

March 28th. Draft Order filed.

March 28th. Order issued.

## REPORT OF MR. COMMISSIONER ELLIS

I heard this application at Ottawa, on the 21st instant.

By-law 6796 was passed for the purpose of continuing building restrictions contained in deeds of the lots covered by the By-law. These restrictions expired at the beginning of this year. There are thirty-eight property owners concerned and of these thirty had petitioned the City Council to pass the said By-law 6796. Two property owners appeared in opposition.

Under the circumstances I recommend that the By-law be approved.

# Respectfully submitted,

(Sgd.) J. A. Ellis,

Commissioner.

Toronto, March 24th, 1930.

Adopted:

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

(Sgd.) A. B. INGRAM,

Vice-Chairman.

March 24th, 1930.

#### ORDER

The application of the Corporation of the City of Ottawa, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 6796, being a By-law restricting the use of land and the erection and use of buildings on land abutting on Monkland Avenue in the said city, having come on to be heard before J. A. Ellis, Esquire, Commissioner, duly authorized as provided by Section 8 of Chapter 225 of the Revised Statutes of Ontario, at a special Sittings held in the City of Ottawa pursuant to Appointment on Friday, the 21st day of March, 1930, at the hour of half-past nine o'clock in the forenoon, upon hearing certain parties in support of the said By-law and certain other parties who appeared in opposition thereto, and it appearing that a copy of the said Appointment and by-law had been duly served upon all owners whose property would be affected

thereby and the said Commissioner having reported to the Board that the said By-law should be approved, and such report having been adopted as the basis of this Order;

The Board doth hereby approve of said By-law No. 6796;

And the Board doth direct that the Applicant Corporation do cause Law Stamps to the value of \$10.00 to be affixed to this Order in payment of the Board's tariff fee upon this application.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2674

Application of the Corporation of the City of Ottawa, under subsection (2b) of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 6797, being a by-law amending By-law No. 6237.

February 20th. Application and material filed.

March 21st. Hearing, 9.30 to 10.30 A.M., Council Chamber, Ottawa. (Mr. Commissioner Ellis authorized under Section 8, Chapter 225, R.S.O.).

March 24th. Report of Mr. Commissioner Ellis filed and adopted. Application granted.

March 28th. Draft Order filed.

March 28th. Order issued.

## REPORT OF MR. COMMISSIONER ELLIS

I heard this application at Ottawa on the 21st instant.

The purpose of the By-law is to remove from Residential Area By-law No. 6237, two lots for the purpose of erecting thereon a church. There was no opposition, and I recommend that By-law No. 6797 be approved.

Respectfully submitted,

(Sgd.) J. A. Ellis,

Commissioner.

Toronto, March 24th, 1930.

Adopted:

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

(Sgd.) A. B. INGRAM, Vice-Chairman.

March 24th, 1930.

#### ORDER

The application of the Corporation of the City of Ottawa, under subsection (2b) of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 6797 amending By-law No. 6237, having come on to be heard before J. A. Ellis, Esquire, Commissioner, duly authorized to hear and report upon the said,

application as provided by Section 8 of Chapter 225 of the Revised Statutes of Ontario, at a special Sittings held at the City of Ottawa, pursuant to Appointment, on Friday, the 21st day of March, 1930, at the hour of half-past nine o'clock in the forenoon, and it appearing that a copy of the said By-law and of the said Appointment had been served upon the owners of all property that would be affected by the passage of the said By-law No. 6797, and no one appearing in opposition thereto, and the said Commissioner having reported to the Board that the said By-law should be approved, and such report having been adopted as the basis of this Order.

The Board doth hereby approve of the said By-law No. 6797.

And the Board doth direct that the said Corporation do cause Law Stamps to the value of Ten Dollars (\$10.00) to be affixed to this Order in payment of the Board's tariff fee upon the said application.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2685

In the matter of the Petition of William Benjamin Halladay, under Section 20 of "The Municipal Act," for annexation to the Town of Weston of part of the Township of North York, being part Lot No. 8, Concession V, west of Yonge Street, in the said township.

February 24th. Petition, Resolution of Town Council and other material

filed.

March 6th. Application granted.

March 7th. Approved draft Order filed.

March 7th. Order issued.

March 7th, 1930.

#### ORDER

Upon the application of the Corporation of the Town of Weston for an Order annexing to the said Town of Weston the land described in the Resolution of the Council of the Town of Weston passed on the 27th day of January, 1930, in the presence of Counsel for the Town of Weston and the Township of North York, and the Board having considered the application and the plan showing the lands to be annexed, having heard read the said Resolution and the Petition of William Benjamin Halladay, the notice of such Resolution and Petition given by the Council of the Town of Weston to the Council of the Township of North York, and upon hearing what was alleged by Counsel aforesaid, and the owners of the lands described in the said Resolution of the Council of the Town of Weston consenting thereto, and the Corporation of the Township of North York also consenting thereto;

The Board orders and proclaims that the lands and premises in the Township of North York, in the County of York, mentioned in the said application,

and being described as:

All and singular that certain parcel or tract of land and premises situate lying and being in the Township of North York and Province of Ontario, and being composed of part of Lot Number Eight (8), Concession Five (5) west of Yonge Street, in the Township of North York, and being more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the southerly limit of said Lot Number Eight (8) where it is intersected by the centre line of Rosemount Avenue, in the Town of Weston, according to Registered Plan Number 1704, filed in the Registry Office for the Registry Division of the East and West Riding of the County of York; thence north twenty degrees west, four hundred and ninety-four feet three inches (494' 3"); thence north seventy-four degrees east, three hundred and eighty-eight feet six inches (388' 6"); thence south twenty degrees east, two hundred and seventy-six feet six inches (276' 6") to an iron bar planted; thence north seventy-four degrees east, four hundred and twenty feet three inches (420' 3") to an iron bar planted; thence south nine degrees east, two hundred and eighteen feet ten inches (218' 10") to a point on the southerly limit of the aforesaid Lot Number Eight (8); thence south seventy-four degrees west along the said southerly limit of Lot Number Eight (8) seven hundred and sixty-nine feet nine inches (769' 9") more or less to the point of commencement.

- 1. The said annexation shall take effect from and after the first day of January, 1930.
- 2. That the Corporation of the Township of North York shall forthwith prepare and furnish the Corporation of the Town of Weston with a Special Roll showing all arrears of taxes or special rates assessed against the lands above described up to the first day of January, 1930, and the persons assessed therefor.
- 3. That the Corporation of the Town of Weston shall have the right to collect all said arrears of taxes according to said Special Roll, including the right to destrain for non-payment of said arrears, or if necessary, the right to sell the said lands, if any, for non-payment of such arrears, as fully as if the said taxes had been assessed and levied by such Corporation, but the proceeds of the collection of such arrears or any part of same, after deducting therefrom the proper costs and expenses in connection with the collection of same as provided in "The Assessment Act," shall be repaid by the Corporation of the Town of Weston to the said Corporation of the Township of North York as and when collected, provided that the said Corporation of the Town of Weston shall proceed to collect the said arrears of taxes shown on the said Special Roll in the same way as if it had assessed and levied the same, but shall not be responsible to the Corporation of the Township of North York for any of such arrears of taxes which it may be unable to collect.
- 4. That the Corporation of the Township of North York shall indemnify and save harmless the Corporation of the Town of Weston from all loss, costs, charges and expenses arising from any act or omission of the Township of North York or their officials or servants in connection with the said Special Roll.
- 5. That from and after the first day of January, 1930, the said lands may be assessed in the usual way as part of the said Town of Weston.
- 6. The adjustments of assets and liabilities consequent upon the said annexation shall be made as of the first day of January, 1930, and in accordance with the provisions of Section 38 of "The Municipal Act."

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2689

Application by the Village of Forest Hill, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its Restricted Area By-law No. 436, restricting to detached or semi-detached residences, Lots 112, 113, 114, 115, 116 and 117, Plan 645E, Toronto, all as set out in Section 8 of Chapter 124, Ontario Statutes, 1929.

February 26th. Application and material filed.

March 18th. Hearing, 10.30 to 10.45 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Application granted. R. G. Hunter to approve draft Order. Order not to issue for one week.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2697

BETWEEN:

The Commissioners for the Telephone System of the Municipality of Tyendinaga,

Applicants,

-and-James V. Walsh,

Respondent.

Application under Section 94 of "The Telephone Act," for an Order fixing the terms and conditions for use by the Respondent of the poles of the Applicant System.

February 28th. Application filed.

March 14th. Hearing, pursuant to Appointment, City of Belleville. (Mr. Commissioner Ellis authorized under Section 8, Chapter 225, R.S.O.).

April 14th. Report of Mr. Commissioner Ellis filed and adopted.

April 14th. Order.

## REPORT

This application was heard by the undersigned, pursuant to Appointment, at Belleville on March 14th, 1930, the Board's Supervisor of Telephone Systems being also present. The Applicants were represented by R. F. Kinnear, Chairman of the Commissioners for the Telephone System of the Municipality of Tyendinaga and the Respondent by A. B. Collins, Counsel.

From the evidence adduced and from records on file with the Board it would appear that the telephone system of the Municipality of Tyendinaga was established in 1919 for the purpose of furnishing service to certain ratepayers who had petitioned for same, in accordance with the provisions of Part II of "The Ontario Telephone Act," the Respondent being one of the petitioners and

at that time Reeve of the Municipality.

The system, as constructed, provided for the furnishing of party line service to all subscribers, each subscriber agreeing to pay his share of the cost of establishing the system, either in cash or in ten annual payments of principal and interest to meet the instalments in repayment of the debentures in respect of such establishment. In addition to payment of the share of the cost of establishing the system each subscriber was required to pay his share of the cost of maintenance.

The Respondent, who lived approximately five miles from the Central Office of the system, desired to be furnished with service by means of an "individual line" in lieu of the "party line" service furnished to other subscribers, and, at his request, a by-law was passed by the subscribers to the system at a meeting held on January 27th, 1919, granting to the Respondent the right to erect, at his cost, a wire or wires upon the poles of the municipality and to pay for the privilege of attaching the said wire or wires to the said poles an annual rental of twenty-five cents. The by-law further provided that the Respondent:

1. Pay the cost of repairs and maintenance of the said wire or wires.

2. That he also pay in common with the other subscribers his equal share of the cost of establishing the system.

3. That in the event of the said line being offered for sale the munici-

pality should be given the first right to purchase same.

It is submitted by the Applicants that the charge for similar facilities as those enjoyed by the Respondent, i.e., for pin space rental, as generally in effect in the telephone business is ten cents per pole per annum, and that there is no reason why any exception to a practice so established should continue to be made in favour of the Respondent.

It is further submitted that there is considerable dissatisfaction among the subscribers by reason of the favoured terms which have been enjoyed by the

Respondent for the past ten years.

In the opinion of the undersigned it is doubtful whether "The Telephone Act" confers upon the subscribers authority to make any such bargain as that indicated in the by-law referred to herein. Whether this be so or not, any such bargain or arrangement involving, as it does, the terms upon which telephone service shall be furnished requires the approval of this Board before it has any validity. In this connection it is pointed out that the line erected by the Respondent, being admittedly his property and being used for the interchange of telephone messages and service passing over said line and the system of the Applicant, any agreement or arrangement for such interchange requires the approval of this Board, and Section 97 of "The Telephone Act" clearly states that no such agreement shall have any validity or effect until such approval is obtained. As no approval to the arrangement referred to herein between the Applicants and Respondent has ever been applied for or granted by this Board, it is my opinion that it is invalid.

In view of the foregoing it is only necessary for the Board to decide whether the charge for which approval is now asked is a reasonable one. The undersigned therefore recommends that this decision be rendered in the affirmative

and that this application be granted.

(Sgd.) J. A. Ellis,

Commissioner.

Toronto, April 14th, 1930.

Adopted as the basis of the Board's Order.

April 14th, 1930.

### ORDER

Upon the application of the above-named Applicants, upon reading the Report of J. A. Elis, Esquire, Commissioner, who pursuant to Appointment, heard the evidence adduced on behalf of the Applicants and Respondent, which Report has been adopted as the basis of this Order, and other material filed.

The Board orders that the rental charge for pin space occupied by the circuit of the Respondent upon the poles of the Telephone System of the Municipality of Tyendinaga shall be ten cents (10) per pole per circuit per annum, and that the said charge be and the same is hereby approved as from April 1st, 1930.

And it is further ordered that the charge herein provided shall apply to all circuits other than those of the Telephone System of the Municipality of Tyendinaga which may hereafter be attached to the poles of the said system.

And the Board makes no Order for costs or for Law Stamp fee in respect

of this Order.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2702

Application by the Corporation of the City of Ottawa, under subsection (2b) of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 6804, amending its Restricted Area By-law No. 5997 by eliminating therefrom Blocks Letters "A," "B" and "C" on the north side of Carling Avenue as shown on Registered Plan No. 71960.

March 5th. Application and copy of By-law No. 6804 filed.

March 21st. Hearing, 9.30 to 10.30 A.M., at the Council Chamber, City of Ottawa. (Mr. Commissioner Ellis authorized under Section 8, Chapter 225, R.S.O.).

March 24th. Report of Mr. Commissioner Ellis filed and adopted. By-law No. 6804 to be amended.

April 10th. Amending By-law No. 6816 filed.

April 15th. Draft Order filed.

April 16th. Order issued.

## REPORT OF MR. COMMISSIONER ELLIS

I heard this application at Ottawa, on the 21st instant.

By-law No. 6804 was passed to enable the Protestant Orphans' Home to erect a new Home on the land mentioned in the by-law.

A number of property owners appeared. They stated that they had no objection to the by-law provided it was made clear that the land mentioned therein could not be used for any purpose other than that for the erection of the Home mentioned.

I recommend that the by-law be approved subject to its being amended as above mentioned.

# Respectfully submitted,

(Sgd.) J. A. Ellis,

Commissioner.

Dated at Toronto, March 24th, 1930.

Adopted:

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

(Sgd.) A. B. INGRAM,

Vice-Chairman.

April 10th, 1930.

## Order

The application of the Corporation of the City of Ottawa, under subsection (2b) of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 6804 amending its Restricted Area By-law No. 5997 by eliminating therefrom Blocks "A," "B" and "C," on the north side of Carling Avenue as shown on Registered Plan No. 71960 having come on to be heard before J. A. Ellis, Esquire, a Commissioner duly authorized as provided by Section 8 of Chapter 225 of the Revised Statutes of Ontario, at a special Sittings held at the City of Ottawa pursuant to Appointment on Friday, the 21st day of March, 1930, at the hour of half-past nine o'clock in the forenoon, upon hearing certain persons in support of the said by-law and certain other persons who appeared in opposition thereto, and it appearing that a copy of the said Appointment and By-law had been duly served upon all owners whose property would be affected thereby and the said Commissioner having reported to the Board that the said by-law should be approved subject to its being amended by providing that the said Blocks Letters "A," "B" and "C" should not be used for any purpose other than for the erection of buildings for use for the purposes of an Orphans' Home or House of Refuge, and such report having been adopted as the basis of this Order, and the Applicant Corporation having subsequently passed By-law No. 6816 amending said By-law No. 6804, in accordance with the recommendation contained in the said Report,

The Board doth hereby approve of said By-law No. 6804 as amended by

said By-law No. 6816.

And the Board doth direct that the Applicant Corporation do cause Law Stamps to the value of \$10.00 to be affixed to this Order in payment of the Board's tariff fee upon this application.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-2720

In the matter of the Petition of William Arthur Bryanton, and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the type of pavement proposed to be constructed in the Town of Gravenhurst, on Bay Street, Austin Street, West Bay Street and Brock Street.

March 13th. Petition filed.

March 13th. Supplementary Petition of Jeanie A. McNeice filed.

April 24th. Hearing, 1.15 to 2.30 P.M., Council Chamber, Gravenhurst. Judgment reserved until April 28th, 1930.

April 28th. Settlement arranged.

May 2nd. Draft Order filed.

May 3rd. Order issued.

April 28th, 1930.

## Order

Upon the application of the said William Arthur Bryanton and others to this Board under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the type of pavement to be constructed by the Town of Gravenhurst on Bay, Austin, West Bay and Brock Streets in the said town, and upon hearing read the Petition herein, the Appointment for Hearing, and the evidence adduced and what was alleged by the Appellants and their Counsel and by Counsel for the Corporation of the town, and on consideration of the material filed and judgment having been reserved to this date.

This Board doth order that the Council of the said town may proceed with the construction of said pavements and that the annual sum of twenty-five cents per foot frontage shall be charged to the properties abutting upon each of said pavements during the period of twenty years for which the special assessment is to be imposed, and that the balance of the cost of each of said pavements shall be payable by the Corporation at large.

And this Board doth make no Order as to costs except that the Town of Gravenhurst pay \$15.00 for Law Stamps, the Board's tariff fee herein.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) A. B. INGRAM, Vice-Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2727

Application by the Township of Etobicoke, under Chapter 62 (13-14 Geo. V) and amendments, for approval of its By-law No. 3494, enlarging Water Area No. 7.

March 18th. Application and material filed.

April 15th. Hearing, pursuant to Appoint, 10.30 to 10.45 A.M., at the Board's Chambers. Application granted. Applicant's Solicitor to draft Order.

April 22nd. Draft Order filed.

April 22nd. Order issued.

April 15th, 1930.

#### ORDER

Upon the application of the said Corporation, upon reading the Notice of Application, the copy of the said by-law, the Declaration of Stephen Barratt and the other material filed, and no one appearing in opposition to the said application.

The Board orders and certifies under and in pursuance of the provisions of the said Act, being Chapter 62, (13-14 Geo. V), and amendments thereto, that the said By-law No. 3494, intituled "By-law No. 3494, A By-law of the Municipality of the Township of Etobicoke to extend or enlarge a definite section or area of the municipality known as Water Area No. 7, as established by By-law No. 1623 and enlargements thereof, by adding thereto the lands hereinafter described and defined and to construct and extend the system of watermains and waterworks in Water Area No. 7 into the area hereinafter described for the benefit of the said Area," be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2730

In the matter of Section 20 of "The Municipal Act," and in the matter of the application of the Corporation of the City of Toronto for annexation thereto of part of the Township of East York, being the westerly half of Bayview Avenue, from Moore Avenue to Eglinton Avenue, and in the matter of the application of the Corporation of the Town of Leaside for annexation thereto of part of the Township of East York, being the easterly half of that portion of Bayview Avenue from Moore Avenue to Eglinton Avenue.

March 20th. Application filed.

May 2nd. Approved draft Order filed.

May 2nd. Order issued.

May 2nd, 1930.

#### ORDER

Upon the application of the said Corporations, and upon reading the Affidavit of William H. Heaton, Clerk of the Township of East York, the copy of By-law No. 1809 of the Township of East York, the certified copies of the Resolutions of the Councils of the City of Toronto and the Town of Leaside, and the other material filed.

The Board orders, under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 20 of "The Municipal Act," and amendments, that that part of the adjacent Township of East York, more particularly described as follows: Commencing at the intersection of the centre line of Moore Avenue with the westerly limit of the allowance for road between Lots Numbers 11 and 20 in the Third Concession from the Bay, known as Bayview Avenue, being the southeasterly angle of the City of Toronto, as defined by an Order of the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board, dated May 1st, 1914; thence northerly, along the westerly limit of Bayview Avenue being the easterly limit of the City of Toronto, as defined by the aforesaid Order and also by the Order of the said Board, dated December 12th, 1912, to the northwesterly angle of Eglinton Avenue and Bayview Avenue; being the northeasterly angle of the lands described in the last mentioned Order; thence easterly, on the production easterly of the northerly limit of Eglinton Avenue, thirty-three feet to the centre line of Bayview Avenue; thence southerly, along the said centre line to the production easterly of the centre line of Moore Avenue; thence westerly along the last mentioned centre line thirty-three feet to the point of commencement, be and the same is hereby annexed to the City of Toronto.

The Board further orders under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 20 of "The Municipal Act" and amendments that that part of the adjacent Township of East York more particularly described as follows: All and singular that certain parcel or tract of land and premises situate, lying and being in the Township of York in the County of York, being composed of part of Bayview Avenue, being the road allowance in front of Lots 12, 13, 14 and 15 in the Third Concession from the Bay of said township and which said parcel is more particularly described as follows: Commencing at the southwesterly angle of the Town of Leaside, the said angle being in the easterly limit of Bayview Avenue; thence westerly, along the production westerly of the southerly limit of the said Town of Leaside thirty-three feet more or less to the centre line of Bayview Avenue; thence northerly, along the centre line of Bayview Avenue to the production easterly of the northerly limit of Englinton Avenue; thence easterly along the said produced limit thirty-three feet more or less to the westerly limit of the Town of Leaside; being the easterly limit of Bayview Avenue aforesaid; thence southerly, along the last mentioned limit to the point of commencement, be annexed to the Town of Leaside.

And the Board further orders that the said annexation shall take effect from the date of this Order.

And the Board further orders that the City of Toronto shall assume all liabilities with respect to the said lands annexed to it as and from the date of this Order.

And the Board further orders that the Town of Leaside shall assume all liabilities with respect to the said lands annexed to it as and from the date of this Order.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

PROCEDURE FILE A-2734

BETWEEN:

Edward Dawson, et al,

Applicants,

-and-

The Corporation of the Township of Cavan,

Respondent.

(Application under Section 17 of "The Telephone Act," for an Order granting the Municipality of South Monaghan the right to extend its Telephone System into the Township of Cavan.)

March 22nd. Application and material filed.

April 2nd. Hearing, 11 A.M. to 12 M.D., Town Hall, Port Hope. Permission given South Monaghan Municipal Telephone System to extend its lines into the Township of Cavan, to Lot 23, Concession VIII, for purpose of furnishing service to Percy Moncrieff and W. A. Wood.

April 3rd. Order.

April 3rd, 1930.

### ORDER

Upon the application of the above named Applicants, in the presence of the Applicants and Respondent represented by the Reeve and Councillors of the Municipal Corporation of the Township of Cavan, upon hearing the evidence adduced on behalf of the Applicants and Respondent and the Commissioners for the Telephone System of the Municipality of South Monaghan, and all parties consenting.

The Board orders, pursuant to Section 17 of "The Telephone Act" (R.S.O. 1927, c. 227), that the extension by the Municipality of South Monaghan of its Telephone System to Lot 23, Concession VIII, of the Township of Cavan for the purpose of furnishing service to Percy Moncrieff and W. A. Wood, be and the

same is hereby approved.

And it is further ordered that nothing herein contained shall be construed as conferring authority upon the said Municipality of South Monaghan to further extend its telephone system into the Township of Cavan, save and except as provided in By-law No. 496 of the Municipal Corporation of the said Township of Cavan, dated March 16th, 1908.

And the Board makes no Order for costs or for Law Stamps in respect of this Order.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2739

BETWEEN:

The Municipality of Village of Woodbridge,

Applicant,

-and-

The Woodbridge & Vaughan Telephone Co. Ltd.,

Respondent.

(Complaint, under Section 103 of "The Telephone Act," re toll charges between subscribers located in the Village of Woodbridge and Townships of Vaughan and King.)

March 24th. Application filed.

April 4th. Hearing, 10.30 to 11.30 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Adjourned to May 6th, 1930, at 10.30 A.M. Later, by consent, adjourned to May 20th, 1930.

May 20th. Hearing continued, 10.30 A.M., to 12 M.D., at Board's Chambers. Judgment reserved.

May 26th. Judgment delivered.

# JUDGMENT

This was an application made by the Applicants herein to have cancelled an Order issued by this Board on the 20th day of June, A.D. 1929, wherein, upon the application of the Respondent, The Woodbridge and Vaughan Telephone Company, Limited, it was ordered that the Tariff of Tolls, dated the 19th of June, 1929, and attached to said Order, should be approved, provided that the Board may at any time hereafter, of its own motion, or upon any application or complaint, rescind said Order and withdraw its approval of any of the said tolls.

The Woodbridge and Vaughan Telephone Company was originally organized with a Central Office at Woodbridge, but by the subsequent purchase of The King Telephone Company and by the extending of its subscribers, a Central Office was opened at Maple and a third Central Office continued at King City.

By virtue of the toll schedule referred to in the Order of June 20th, 1929, a charge of five cents (5c.) was made to subscribers between Maple and Woodbridge and a charge of ten cents (10c.) between King and Woodbridge and it is this charge that the Applicants ask to have removed.

From the evidence before the Board it appeared that before the inauguration of the toll system the business conducted by this telephone company increased to such an extent that it was almost impossible to give to the subscribers a good or reasonable service between the different switchboards, by reason of the congestion of the lines from Woodbridge to Maple and from Woodbridge to King, and to better the service and to give more satisfaction to its subscribers, the Directors of the company had to face some change in the system.

Two alternatives presented themselves—one the charge of a higher general fee to all the subscribers and with the increased revenue put in a number of extra lines between the different switchboards to enable them to give the service required by their subscribers and at the same time continue as a free service between the several switchboards. The second alternative was to charge a toll as between the different switchboards, thereby cutting out conversations that were not of sufficient importance to the subscribers themselves as to warrant the payment of the small toll that might be exacted. The Directors adopted the second alternative, established a system of tolls set out in the schedule, dated the 19th of June, 1929, and secured *ex parte* the Order of the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board, dated the 20th of June, 1929, approving of the said schedule.

It is with some considerable hesitation that this Board approves of a toll system being charged by rural lines between switchboards of the same company feeling that, if possible, the subscribers to any particular line should receive the benefit of full connection with all the subscribers of that particular line or system without additional charge over and above the annual rental fee. It has been suggested that even over the system of the Respondent this could be accomplished by eliminating the switchboard at Maple and the switchboard at King and having all the lines converge into one Central at Woodbridge, and, if in the near future construction work is being considered by the Woodbridge and Vaughan Telephone Company, this Board would suggest that the matter of ultimately getting its lines centered in one switchboard should be taken into consideration.

With the three Central Offices as at present established it would, perhaps, be impossible to give to the subscribers a reasonably satisfactory service without incurring a rather heavy expense in placing more lines between the different switchboards, and if the ultimate establishment of a single switchboard for the whole system is to be encouraged it would scarcely be advisable to suggest an increased expenditure in putting in additional lines between the present switchboards at Woodbridge and Maple and Woodbridge and King. With this fact before the Board there seems no other alternative for the present at least than to permit the continuance of the toll system now established, which, from the evidence before us, has had the effect of reducing the number of conversations over the system, which conversations of necessity make use of the lines running between the different switchboards, and inasmuch as the conversations eliminated by this toll system are in all probability the less important of the conversations passing over the lines of the company, the Board feels that it cannot at the present at least grant the prayer of the petitioners to set aside the Order of the 20th of June, 1929, above referred to.

We might at the same time call the attention of the Council of the Municipality of the Township of Vaughan to the fact that under "The Telephone Act" the township can, if it sees fit, establish a municipal system, take over the Woodbridge and Vaughan telephone lines at a price to be fixed or agreed upon

and then carry on the business of the company as a municipal system without charging any additional fee as far as the tolls are concerned.

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

Dated at Toronto, this 26th day of May, A.D. 1930.

I agree:

(Sgd.) A. B. Ingram, Vice-Chairman.

(Sgd.) J. A. Ellis, Commissioner.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2742

In the matter of the Petition of A. A. Maricle and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against a pavement proposed to be constructed as a local improvement on Dalhousie Street South in the Town of Amherstburg, in the County of Essex, from Gore Street to the southerly limits of the Government dock and from the southerly limits of the Government dock to the southerly limits of the said Town of Amherstburg.

March 27th. Petition filed.

April 29th. Hearing, 10 A.M. to 2 P.M., Court House, Sandwich. Judgment reserved.

May 15th. Judgment delivered. Petition dismissed on condition that Corporation pay fifty per cent. of total cost of the pavement on Dalhousie Street, from southerly limits of Government dock to southerly limits of Town of Amherstburg. As regards other portion of pavement the Board does not direct any change. Town Solicitor to draft Order.

May 26th. Approved draft Order filed.

May 28th. Order issued.

May 15th, 1931.

### ORDER

This Petition coming on for hearing before A. B. Ingram, Esquire, Vice-Chairman, and J. A. Ellis, Esquire, Commissioner, of The Ontario Railway and Municipal Board, at the Court House, Sandwich, on Tuesday, the 29th day of April, 1930, in the presence of Counsel for the petitioners and for the Town of Amherstburg and for private ratepayers supporting the Petition, judgment having been reserved until this day.

It is ordered and adjudged that the Petition be and the same is hereby dismissed on condition, however, that the Corporation pay fifty per cent. of the total cost of the said pavement from the southerly limits of the Government dock to the southerly limits of the Town of Amherstburg and so far as regards the other portion of the pavement, the Board does not direct any change.

And it is further ordered and adjudged that the Town of Amherstburg pay the Board's tariff fee of \$15.00 which may be charged to the cost of the work.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) A. B. Ingram, Vice-Chairman.

Application by the Township of Nepean, under Section 12, Chapter 108, Ontario Statutes, 1929, for approval of its By-law No. 1096, establishing Water Area No. 1.

April 4th. Application and copy of By-law filed.

April 25th. Hearing, 9.30 to 11 A.M., Township Hall, Westboro. By-law to be amended taking out McKellar Townsite from Water Area No. 1 and Sewer Area "A," but no decision to be formally given for two weeks, the Township Council in the meantime to make a canvas of McKellar Townsite property owners and if this materially differs from what appears at the Hearing to-day, a new Hearing may be held.

May 28th. Judgment (further deferred for additional two weeks) issued. McKellar Townsite and any adjacent land deemed advisable by township to be eliminated from Areas Nos. 1906 and 1097. By-laws Nos. 1098 and 1099

approved.

June 18th. Amendment By-law No. 1107 filed.

June 18th. Draft Order, approved by Solicitor for McKellar Townsite, filed.

June 19th. Order issued.

In the matter of the applications of the Corporation of the Township of Nepean, under Section 12, Chapter 108, Ontario Statutes, 1929, for approval of the following By-laws:

No. 1096, establishing Water Area No. 1;

No. 1097, establishing Sewer Area "A";

No. 1098, establishing Water Area No. 11; and

No. 1099, establishing Sewer Area "B."

## OPINION OF THE BOARD

These applications were heard at Westboro on the 25th April, 1930.

By-laws Numbered 1098 and 1099 dealt with comparatively small area and there was no objection to them. The Board therefore at the Hearing intimated that these By-laws would be approved.

By-laws Numbered 1096 and 1097 dealt with a very large area, commencing at the westerly boundary of the City of Ottawa and running for considerable distance westerly in the township. The most westerly part of the proposed area is what is known as "McKellar Townsite." This townsite is rather sparsely populated as compared with the balance of the proposed area. In McKellar Townsite it was stated that there are only forty-eight houses and that some thirty-eight owners were opposed. Only one appeared to be in favour of the by-laws. It was urged, on behalf of the township, that McKellar Townsite would, at all events, at some future date, have to come into the scheme, and that if it were taken out of the present scheme it would afterwards entail a larger expense for the property owners of McKellar Townsite to secure sewerage and water services.

At the request of the township the Board agreed to give no formal judgment for two weeks in order to enable the Township Council to see if they could meet, in any way, the objections of the McKellar Townsite property owners. These two weeks were afterwards extended to one month, and the Board now

undertstands that it has not been found possible to make any arrangement such as mentioned.

Under the circumstances the Board is of the opinion that By-laws Nos. 1096 and 1097 should be amended by excluding therefrom the property included in the McKellar Townsite and any other lands adjacent thereto which the township Council may think it advisable to also exclude by reason of McKellar Townsite being excluded.

The Board will therefore approve of the two last mentioned by-laws when

amended as directed.

Dated at Toronto, this 28th day of May, A.D. 1930.

(Sgd.) A. B. INGRAM, Vice-Chairman.

I agree:

(Sgd.) J. A. Ellis, Commissioner.

June 19th, 1930.

#### Order

Upon the application of the said Corporation, and upon consideration of said By-laws Nos. 1096, 1097, 1098 and 1099 at a meeting of the Board held at the Township Hall in the Village of Westboro on the 25th day of April, 1930, and the other material filed, and, after hearing all parties interested and part-cularly the objections made by the residents of that certain portion of the area described in said By-laws Nos. 1096 and 1097 and known as "McKellar Townsite," and after due consideration of all matters relevant to the said application and the Board having directed that the portion of the said areas known as McKellar Townsite be excluded from the areas to be established under said By-laws Nos. 1096 and 1097 and the said by-laws having been amended accordingly as appears from By-laws Nos. 1107 and 1108 amending the areas to be created and established by the said By-laws Nos. 1096 and 1097.

The Board orders under and in pursuance of the provisions of the said Act,

Section 12 of Chapter 108 of the Statutes of Ontario, 1929, as follows:

That said By-law No. 1096 of the Corporation of the Township of Nepean, being a by-law to establish Water Area No. 1 as amended by By-law No. 1107 passed by the said Corporation on the 16th day of June, 1930; By-law No. 1097, being a by-law to establish Sewer Area "A" as amened by By-law No. 1108 passed by the said Corporation on the 16th day of June, 1930; By-law No. 1098 establishing Water Area No. 2 and By-law No. 1099 establishing Sewer Area "B," be and the same are hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) A. B. Ingram, Vice-Chairman.

### PROCEDURE FILE A-2752

Application by the Township of Nepean, under Section 12, Chapter 108, Ontario Statutes, 1929, for approval of its By-law No. 1097 establishing Sewer Area "A."

April 4th. Application and copy of by-law filed.

April 25th. Hearing, 9.30 to 11 A.M., Township Hall, Westboro. By-law to be amended taking out McKellar Townsite from Water Area No. 1 and Sewer Area "A," but no decision to be formally given for two weeks, the Township Council in the meantime to make a canvas of McKellar Townsite property owners and if this materially differs from what appears at the Hearing to-day, a new Hearing may be held.

May 28th. Judgment (further deferred for additional two weeks) issued. McKellar Townsite and any adjacent land deemed advisable by township to be eliminated from Areas Nos. 1096 and 1097. By-laws Nos. 1098 and 1099

approved. (See A-2751.)

June 18th. Amending By-law No. 1109 filed.

June 18th. Draft Order, approved by Solicitor for McKellar Townsite, filed.

June 19th. Order issued. (See A-2751).

#### PROCEDURE FILE A-2753

Application by the Township of Nepean, under Section 12, Chapter 108, Ontario Statutes, 1929, for approval of its By-law No. 1098 establishing Water Area No. 2.

April 4th. Application and copy of by-law filed.

April 25th. Hearing, 9.30 to 11 A.M., Township Hall, Westboro. Water Area No. 2 and Sewer Area "B" approved, there being no opposition. Estimates of cost to be filed.

May 28th. Judgment delivered. (See A-2751.)

June 18th. Draft Order filed.

June 18th. Order issued. (See A-2751.)

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2754

Application by the Township of Nepean, under Section 12, Chapter 108, Ontario Statutes, 1929, for approval of its By-law No. 1099 establishing Sewer Area "B."

April 4th. Application and copy of by-law filed.

April 25th. Hearing, 9.30 to 11 A.M., Township Hall, Westboro. Water Area No. 2 and Sewer Area "B" approved, there being no opposition. Estimates of cost to be filed.

May 28th. Judgment delivered. (See A-2751.)

June 18th. Draft Order filed.

June 18th. Order issued. (See A-2751.)

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2755

Application by the City of Toronto, under Section 4 of "The Planning and Development Act," for approval of plans of Sections 25, 30, 55, 58, 61, 64, 83, 84, 104, 105, 106, 107, 111, 112, 113 and 118 of the City's General Plan.

April 4th. Application and copy of plan of each section filed.

May 28th. Hearing, 10.30 A.M.; 11.05 to 11.30 A.M., at the Board's Chambers. Plans approved except Section 30. Mr. Grant to notify Upper

Canada College. Hearing adjourned re Section 30 to Thursday, June 5th, 1930, at 10.30 A.M., at Board's Chambers.

June 5th. Hearing continued, 10.30 to 10.40 A.M Application granted.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2769

Application by the City of Kitchener, under Section 398 (2b) of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 2144, amending its Zoning Ordinance By-law No. 1823 (as amended by 1834 and 1835) as amended by By-law No. 2126.

April 10th. Application and material filed.

May 8th. Hearing, 10.00 to 10.30 A.M., Council Chamber, Kitchener. Application granted.

May 13th. Draft Order filed.

May 17th. Order issued.

May 8th, 1930.

#### ORDER

Upon the application of the said Corporation and upon reading the copy of the said by-law and the other material filed, and the Board having this day, pursuant to Appointment at the Council Chamber in the City of Kitchener, heard all parties interested, notice having been given pursuant to statute.

The Board orders under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act" that the said By-law No. 2144, be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

#### PROCEDURE FILE A-2770

Application by the City of Kitchener, under Section 398 (2b) of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 2145, amending its Zoning Ordinance By-law No. 1823 (as amended by 1834 and 1835) by adding certain area (lot on southerly corner of Duke and College Streets) to Division "C," Business Districts, Section 4.

April 10th. Application and material filed.

May 8th. Hearing, 10.00 to 10.30 A.M., Council Chamber, Kitchener. Application granted.

May 13th. Draft Order filed.

May 17th. Order issued.

May 8th, 1930.

#### ORDER

Upon the application of the said Corporation and upon reading the copy of the said by-law and the other material filed, and the Board having this day, pursuant to Appointment at the Council Chamber in the City of Kitchener, heard all parties interested, notice having been given pursuant to statute. The Board orders under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act" that the said By-law No. 2145, be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2782

Application by the Township of York, under Section 2, Chapter 139, Ontario Statutes, 1922, as amended by Section 2, Chapter 108, Ontario Statutes, 1926, for approval of its By-law No. 10509, consolidating Lambton Fire Area No. 2 and Humbercrest Fire Area No. 8, to be known as Humbercrest Fire Area No. 8.

April 22nd. Application and material filed.

May 27th. Hearing, pursuant to Appointment, 10.30 to 10.45 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Application granted, Applicant's Solicitor to draft Order.

May 28th. Draft Order filed.

May 28th. Order issued.

May 27th, 1930.

#### ORDER

Upon the application of the Corporation of the Township of York, upon reading the material filed, upon hearing what was alleged by Counsel for the Applicant and notice of the said application having been given as directed by the Board;

1. This Board orders that By-law No. 10509 passed by the Council of the Corporation of the Township of York consolidating Lambton Park Fire Area No. 2 and Humbercrest Fire Area No. 8 into one fire area to be known as Humbercrest Fire Area No. 8, be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

PROCEDURE FILE A-2785

BETWEEN:

Anson Kirkness, et al,

Applicants,

-and-

The Conn Telephone Co., Ltd.,

Respondent.

(Application under Sections 93 and 94 of "The Telephone Act" for an Order consenting to the paralleling of pole leads of the telephone system of the Respondent by The Mount Forest, Wellington and Grey Telephone Co., Ltd., for the purpose of furnishing Applicant with service.)

April 22nd. Application and material filed.

May 22nd. Hearing, 1 to 3 P.M., Town Hall, Mount Forest. (Chairman authorized under Section 8, Chapter 225, R.S.O.) Respondent to be given an opportunity to furnish applicants with Mount Forest service by direct party line. Board's Inspector to investigate cause of complaints as to long distance service. Application dismissed.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2795

Application by the City of Ottawa, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 6821, restricting to detached private dwellings the land bounded by Echo Drive, Clegg Street, Main Street and Riverdale Avenue.

April 25th. Application and material filed.

May 30th. Hearing, pursuant to Appointment, 9.00 to 10 A.M., Council Chamber, Ottawa. Adjourned to 20th June, 1930. In the meantime, By-law to be reconsidered by City Council.

June 20th. Hearing continued, 11.00 to 11.15 A.M., Council Chamber,

Ottawa. Adjourned to September 5th, 1930, at 10.00 A.M.

September 5th. Hearing continued, 10.00 to 11.00 A.M., Council Chamber, Ottawa. Application granted. By-law approved without amendment.

September 19th. Draft Order filed.

September 19th. Order issued.

September 5th, 1930.

## Order

The application of the Corporation of the City of Ottawa under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its Restricted Area By-law No. 6821, prohibiting the use of land and the erection and use of buildings for any purpose other than that of a detached private dwelling in the area bounded on the west by Echo Drive, on the north by Clegg Street, on the east by Main Street and on the south by Riverdale Avenue, having come on to be heard before the Board at a Special Sittings thereof held at the Council Chamber in the City Hall at the City of Ottawa at nine o'clock in the forenoon (Standard time) on Friday, the 30th day of May, 1930, in the presence of Counsel on behalf of the said Corporation, and on hearing representations made by certain interested parties opposing the approval of the said by-law, whereupon upon it appearing that the appointment for the said Hearing had been duly served upon all the owners of property affected, including the property owners on the opposite side of the boundary streets of the area in question pursuant to the direction of the Board, and the said application having stood over for further consideration until Friday, the 5th day of September instant, when the said by-law was approved by the Board.

The Board doth order and direct that the said By-law No. 6821 of the

said Corporation be and the same is hereby approved.

And the Board doth further order and direct that the Corporation of the City of Ottawa do pay the sum of \$20.00, the Board's tariff fee upon the said application.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

BETWEEN:

# A. Edgar Cudmore,

Applicant,

-and-

William Frayne (trading as The Thames Road Telephone System),

Respondent.

(Application under Sections 93 and 94 of "The Telephone Act" for an Order consenting to the paralleling of the pole lead of the telephone system of the Respondent by the Municipality of Tuckersmith, to furnish Applicant with telephone service.)

April 28th. Application and material filed.

June 16th. Hearing, 11.15 A.M. to 1 P.M. (Vice-Chairman authorized under Section 8, Chapter 225, R.S.O.). Application dismissed. (No fee unless Order taken out—when fee for Law Stamps would be \$10.00 on the Order.)

### PROCEDURE FILE A-2800

Application by the City of Ottawa, under Section 13, subsection (2), Chapter 93, Ontario Statutes, 1930, for distribution of cost of widening, etc., of that part of Beechwood Avenue forming the boundary line between the City of Ottawa and the Town of Eastview.

April 29th. Application filed.

May 30th. Hearing, 8.30 to 10.50 A.M., Council Chamber, Ottawa. City of Ottawa to pay seventy-five per cent. of the construction of the highway apart from widening, the Town of Eastview to pay five per cent., and the lands adjoining on each side to each pay ten per cent.

July 20th. Draft Order filed.

July 20th. Order.

July 30th, 1930.

## ORDER

The application of the City of Ottawa, under Section 13 of "The City of Ottawa Act, 1930" for the approval by the Board of the widening, paving and improving of that part of Beechwood Avenue which forms the boundary line between the City of Ottawa and the Town of Eastview, having come on to be heard before the Board at a special Sittings thereof held at the City of Ottawa on Friday the 30th day of May, A.D. 1930, at half-past eight o'clock in the forenoon (Standard time), in the presence of Counsel as well for the said Corporation as on behalf of the Corporation of the Town of Eastview, upon it appearing to the satisfaction of the Board that notice of the said hearing had been duly published, posted and served in accordance with the instructions of the Board, upon reading the provisions of the said section of the said Act, and upon hearing what was alleged as well by the Counsel on behalf of the Town

of Eastview as on behalf of the Corporation of the City of Ottawa and by other interested parties represented and present at the said hearing.

The Board doth order and direct:

1. That the Corporation of the said city may undertake as a local improvement under the provisions of "The Local Improvement Act," the widening, paving and improving of that part of Beechwood Avenue which forms the boundary line between the City of Ottawa and the Town of Eastview.

2. The cost of the widening of the said part of Beechwood Avenue shall be

borne and distributed as follows, that is to say:

Eighty-seven (87) per centum of the total cost of such widening shall be borne by the Corporation of the City of Ottawa and the remaining Thirteen (13) per centum of the cost of such work shall be specially assessed upon lands either abutting directly upon the said work or upon lands abutting directly upon the said work and lands which do not abut thereon, but will be immediately benefited thereby, by a special equal rate or rates per foot frontage in accordance with the provisions of "The Local Improvement Act," and no part of the cost of the said widening shall be assessed against the Corporation of the Town of Eastview or lands situate therein abutting directly on the said work or benefited thereby.

3. That the Corporation of the said City of Ottawa may undertake as a local improvement under the provisions of "The Local Improvement Act," the laying of an asphalt pavement and improving the said part of Beechwood Avenue and the cost of the said work shall be borne and paid in the following proportions:

Seventy-five (75) per centum of the cost of the said local improvement work shall be borne and paid by the Corporation of the City of Ottawa.

Five (5) per centum of the cost of the said local improvement work shall be borne and paid by the Corporation of the Town of Eastview, and the remaining

Twenty (20) per centum of the cost of the said work shall be borne and paid by the lands abutting directly thereon and situate within the limits of the Corporation of the City of Ottawa and the Corporation of the Town of Eastview respectively, in the manner provided by "The Local Improvement Act," and according to the extent of their respective frontages thereon, by an equal special rate per foot of such frontage sufficient to defray such part of the said cost.

4. That the Corporation of the City of Ottawa do pay the sum of \$15.00 the tariff fee of the Board upon this Order.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2801

In the matter of the Petition of D. C. McLaren, M.D., and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the proposed distribution of cost of proposed asphalt pavement on Laurier Avenue West, from Elgin Street to Kent Street, in the City of Ottawa.

April 30th. Petition filed.

May 30th. Hearing, 9.30 A.M., Council Chamber, Ottawa. City to amend its report; the city to pay for widening of pavement and ratepayers to pay their share of resurfacing present pavement.

June 30th. Draft Order filed.

July 2nd. Order issued.

May 30th, 1930.

## ORDER

The Petition of D. C. MacLaren and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the proposed construction of an asphalt pavement on Laurier Avenue West from Elgin Street to Kent Street, in the City of Ottawa, having come on to be heard before the Board at a special meeting thereof held at the City of Ottawa on Friday, the 30th day of May, 1930, at the hour of nine o'clock in the forenoon (Standard time), whereupon it appearing to the satisfaction of the Board that notice of the said Hearing had been published and served in accordance with the directions of the Board, upon hearing what was allleged on behalf of the petitioners and on behalf of the said Corporation respectively, and it appearing that the asphalt pavement proposed to be constructed under Local Improvement Report 456C of the said Corporation was designed to have a breadth in excess of the existing pavement upon the said portion of the said street, and that it was also proposed to break up and relay the existing concrete sidewalks on the said part of the said street, and it appearing to the Board inequitable that the cost of the additional breadth of the said pavement and the cost of breaking up and relaying the said concrete sidewalks should be borne by the properties abutting upon the said work.

1. The Board doth order and direct that the Corporation of the City of Ottawa shall assume and bear so much of the cost of the said local improvement asphalt pavement as is occasioned by the difference in breadth between the existing pavement on the said part of the said street and the pavement proposed to be laid under Local Improvement Report Number 456C and that the said Corporation shall also bear and pay the cost of breaking up and relaying the existing sidewalks on the said street and that the said report be amended so as

to give effect thereto.

2. The Board doth further order and direct that the Corporation do pay the sum of \$15.00, the tariff fee of the Board upon the said Hearing.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

### PROCEDURE FILE A-2808

In the matter of the Petition of Mrs. I. Graham, and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the construction of an asphalt pavement on Somerset Street west from Bay Street to Bronson Avenue, in the City of Ottawa.

April 30th. Petition filed.

May 30th. Hearing, pursuant to Appointment, 9.30 A.M., Council Chamber, Ottawa. Construction By-law to be amended and cost of work to be apportioned fifty per cent. each to ratepayers and city.

June 30th. Draft Order filed.

July 2nd. Order.

May 30th, 1930.

#### ORDER

The Petition of Mrs. C. I. Graham and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the proposed construction of a pavement on

Somerset Street west from Bay Street to Bronson Avenue in the City of Ottawa, under Local Improvement Report Number 454C of the City of Ottawa, having come on to be heard before the Board at a special meeting thereof held in the City of Ottawa, on Friday, the 30th day of May, 1930, at the hour of nine o'clock in the forenoon (Standard time), whereupon upon it appearing to the satisfaction of the Board that notice of the said Hearing had been published and served in accordance with the directions of the Board, upon hearing what was alleged on behalf of the petitioners and on behalf of the said Corporation, respectively, and it appearing that the said asphalt pavement was to be laid upon a street upon which the tracks of the Ottawa Electric Railway Company are situate and the cars of the said company are operated.

1. The Board doth order and direct that the said Local Improvement Report be amended in such manner that the Corporation of the said city shall assume and bear one-half of the total cost of the said local improvement pavement, which one-half is to include all such part of the said cost as the said Corporation is required by the provisions of "The Local Improvement Act"

to pay.

2. The Board doth further order and direct that the Corporation do pay the sum of \$15.00 the tariff fee of the Board upon the said Hearing.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

#### PROCEDURE FILE A-2809

Application by the City of Toronto, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its Restricted Area By-law No. 12604, restricting "Oriole Parkway District," to detached private residence or single duplex.

May 1st. Application and material filed.

June 5th. Hearing, 10.30 A.M.; 10.40 to 11.10 A.M., at the Board's Chambers. Amending By-law No. 12691 filed. Adjourned to June 24th, 1930, at 10.30 A.M., at Board's Chambers.

June 24th. Hearing continued, 10.30 A.M. to 12 M.D. By-law to be amended; Lambton Boulevard, Walmsley Bouelvard, Berwick Avenue and Baker Avenue to be omitted from residential area; By-law not to cover lands acquired or hereafter acquired for educational purposes by Toronto Board of Education while used for educational purposes.

Re Chaplin Realty Co. property. By-law remitted to City Council. Notice

to be given to Mr. Ino. S. McLaughlin.

Hearing adjourned to 25th September, 1930, at 10.30 A.M., at Board's Chambers. (See Reporter's Notes.)

September 25th. Hearing continued, 10.30 to 10.45 A.M. Adjourned at request of Counsel to Tuesday, 11th November, 1930, at 10.30 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Amending By-law No. 12691 (Ex. 1) filed.

October 30th. Copy of By-law No. 12832, further amending By-law No. 12604 filed.

November 11th. Hearing continued, 10.30 to 10.40 A.M. Counsel for city reports settlement pending. Hearing adjourned to 10.30 A.M, December 15th, 1930, at Board's Chambers. City to notify Counsel for all parties.

December 15th. Hearing continued, 10.30 A.M.; 11.05 to 11.10 A.M.. Adjourned to December 18th, 1930, at 10.30 A.M., at Board's Chambers.

December 18th. Hearing continued, 10.30 A.M.; 10.35 to 10.45 A.M. Adjourned to Tuesday, 17th February, 1931, at 10.30 A.M., at Board's Chambers.

Application by the Township of East York, under Section 398 (2b) of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 1859 amending its By-law No. 1243 (as amended by By-law No. 1847), by adding to Clause 1 (b) thereof Lots 19 and 20, Plan 704, at northeast and northwest corner of Aldwych and Woodycrest Avenues.

May 1st. Application and material filed.

May 28th. Hearing, 10.30 to 11.05 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Application granted. Applicant's Solicitor to draft Order.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2814

Application by the City of Toronto, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its Restricted Area By-law No. 12621, restricting St. Clair Avenue West, north side, from Avenue Road to Walmer Road, and south side from Avenue Road to 357 St. Clair Avenue West, to detached private residence or a single detached duplex.

May 3rd. Application and material filed.

June 10th. Hearing, 10.30 A.M.; 11.00 to 11.50 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Adjourned to Monday, 23rd June, 1930, at 10.30 A.M., at Board's Chambers.

June 23rd. Hearing continued, 10.30 to 11.00 A.M. By-law remitted to City Council for further consideration *re* apartment houses, etc. Hearing adjourned to Thursday, the 25th September, 1930, 10.30 A.M., at Board's, Chambers. (See Reporter's Notes.)

September 25th. Hearing continued, 10.30 to 10.45 A.M. Adjourned at request of Counsel to Tuesday, 11th November, 1930, at 10.30 A.M., at Board's Chambers.

November 11th. Hearing continued, 10.30 to 10.35 A.M. Counsel report settlement pending. Hearing adjourned to 2nd December, 1930, at 10.30 A.M., at Board's Chambers.

November 28th. Copy of amending By-law No. 12893 filed.

December 2nd. Hearing continued, 10.30 A.M.; 12.25 to 12.30 P.M. By-law approved as amended.

December 10th. Draft Order filed.

December 10th. Order issued.

December 2nd, 1930.

#### ORDER

The application of the Corporation of the City of Toronto, for approval of its above mentioned by-law, pursuant to Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," being R.S.O. 1927, Chapter 233, having come on for hearing before this Board on Tuesday, the 10th day of June, 1930, in the presence of a number of the property owners affected or their representatives, and the Board having heard the arguments then advanced having been pleased to adjourn the said Hearing, and the same coming on pursuant to the said adjournment and subsequent adjournments on the 23rd day of June, 1930, the 25th day of September, 1930, the 11th day of November, 1930, and this 2nd day of December, 1930, and it now appearing that the Council of the Corporation of the City of Toronto, on the 17th day of November, 1930, passed By-law No. 12893, to repeal in part the

aforesaid By-law No. 12621 (the said by-law having been passed to meet certain objections raised to the first mentioned by-law), upon considering the said by-law and upon hearing what was alleged on the last day mentioned by the parties then before the Board:

The Board orders, pursuant to the statute aforesaid, that the said By-law No. 12621, as amended by the said By-law No. 12893, be and the same is hereby

approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-2817

Application by the Town of Burlington, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its Restricted Area By-law No. 676, restricting areas therein defined to private residential purposes.

May 5th. Application and copy of by-law filed.

June 18th. Hearing, 10.00 A.M. to 1.30 P.M., Council Chamber, Burlington. Judgment reserved. Town Council to reconsider the matter.

October 25th. Application renewed by Resolution of Town Council, dated

October 23rd, 1930. Copy of Resolution and By-law filed.

October 27th. Application granted. Applicant's Solicitor to draft Order.

November 21st. Approved draft Order filed.

November 22nd. Order issued.

November 22nd, 1930.

#### ORDER

Upon the application of the said Corporation, and upon reading the material filed by Hughes Cleaver, Esq., Solicitor for the Applicant, and upon hearing T. H. Simpson, Esq., K.C., Counsel for residents supporting the by-law; Lloyd Westland, Esq., Counsel for residents opposing the by-law; M. C. Smith, Esq., W. D. Flatt, Esq., and others, appearing personally, the Board did refer By-law No. 676 back to the Council of the Town of Burlington for further consideration as to the objections to the by-law made by Counsel for residents opposing the by-law, and said by-law having been further considered by Council of the Town of Burlington, and further upon hearing read Resolution passed by the Council of the Town of Burlington on Thursday, the 23rd day of October, and what was alleged by Council for the Applicant;

The Board orders, under and pursuant to Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," being Chapter 233 of the Revised Statues of Ontario, 1927, that the said By-law No. 676 being a by-law to establish and define certain areas within the Town of Burlington for private residential purposes only, be and the same is

hereby approved.

And the Board doth make no Order as to costs excepting the payment by the Applicant, the Corporation of the Town of Burlington, of the tariff fee of \$15.00 for Law Stamps payable on the Order herein.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

In the matter of the Petition of N. D. Porter, and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against proposed distribution of cost of pavement on Dalhousie Street, between George and St. Patrick Streets, in the City of Ottawa.

May 8th. Petition filed.

May 10th. Certificate of City Clerk filed, that Petition sufficiently signed, but not filed in time.

May 15th. Letter from Secretary of Board of Control that new Notice of Intention will be published.

May 30th. Hearing, 8.30 to 9 A.M., Council Chamber, Ottawa. Petition dismissed.

June 30th. Draft Order filed.

July 2nd Order.

May 30th, 1930.

## ORDER

The Petition of N. D. Porter and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the distribution of the proposed cost of the paving of Dalhousie Street, between George and St. Patrick Streets, in the City of Ottawa, as a local improvement under the provisions of "The Local Improvement Act," as determined by By-law No. 6808 of the Corporation of the City of Ottawa, in accordance with Local Improvement Report No. 455C of the said Corporation, having come on to be heard at special Sittings of the Board held at the City of Ottawa on Friday, the 30th day of May, 1930, at the hour of half-past eight o'clock in the forenoon (Standard time), at the Council Chamber, in the City of Ottawa, upon it appearing to the satisfaction of the Board that due notice of the said Appointment had been published and served in accordance with the directions of the Board, and upon hearing what was alleged on behalf of the said petitioners and by the City Engineer of the said Corporation,

1. The Board doth order and direct that the said Petition be and the same

is hereby dismissed.

2. The Board doth further order and direct that the Corporation do pay the sum of \$15.00 the tariff fee of the Board upon the said Hearing.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

#### PROCEDURE FILE A-2837

Application by the City of Kitchener, under Section 12 of "The Public Parks Act," for approval of By-law No. 21 of the Board of Park Management of the City of Kitchener, setting aside part of Victoria Park for swimming pool.

May 15th. Application and material filed.

June 4th. Hearing, pursuant to Appointment, 10 A.M. to 12 M.D., Council Chamber, Kitchener. Judgment reserved.

June 6th. Judgment delivered. By-law approved.

June 13th. Order.

# JUDGMENT

The above application came before the Board for Hearing at the Council Chamber in the City of Kitchener on Wednesday, the 4th day of June, A.D. 1930.

Mr. George Bray appeared on behalf of the Applicant and Mr. J. C. Makins, K.C., opposing.

The evidence submitted by witnesses in favour of the approval of the by-law by the Board contended that the location of the Swimming Pool was justified on account of its convenience to the pavilion, the basement of which the Park Commission intended to fit up and use as dressing quarters for the bathers, and in this way save the city an expenditure of some \$5,000.

To locate the pool in any other location in the Park would make it more costly, as a building would require to be provided in order to accommodate anyone desiring to use the pool.

Mr. Makins called Mr. Norman Snider, a prominent citizen who resides at the corner of one of the most used streets facing the Park and proposed location for the swimming pool. The witness believed all parties would be better served if the pool had been located near the railway track at the other side of the Park; that the noise created by the bathers at all hours of the day (Sunday included) and late at night, would be very annoying to the families residing in the immediate vicinity of the pool; that a petition of some ninety persons petitioned against its location near the pavilion and comfort house; that its location and use would create an increase in the number of automobiles in that vicinity which means greater inconvenience to the residents in his neighbourhood who already have their traffic troubles on a narrow paved street.

Mr. Bray recalled evidence to show that there were other streets approaching the Park which were used and that there were a number of amusements carried on therein which created more or less noise and that the location of the pool had been considered and discussed from every angle by the Park Commissioners, City Council and citizens generally and they eventually decided to locate it near the pavilion and comfort house.

The Board had a view of the location and after considering the evidence as a whole have decided to approve the by-law.

(Sgd.) A. B. INGRAM, Vice-Chairman.

Dated at Toronto, the 6th day of June, A.D. 1930.

June 13th, 1930.

#### ORDER

Upon the application of the Board of Park Management of the Corporation of the City of Kitchener, upon reading the copy of the said by-law, and the other material filed, and the Board having, on the 4th day of June, 1930, pursuant to Appointment at the Council Chamber in the City of Kitchener, heard what was alleged by Counsel for the Applicant, and for those opposing the application, notice having been given pursuant to Statute, and the Board having had a view of the location of the swimming pool and having reserved its decision until this day.

The Board orders, under and in pursuance of the provisions of subsection (6) of Section 12 of "The Public Parks Act," that the said By-law No. 21, be, and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) A. B. Ingram, Vice-Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2841

In the matter of the Petition of S. K. Hisey, and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the construction of a concrete sidewalk on the south side of Glenwood Avenue, from Fairview Avenue to Kennedy Avenue, in the City of Toronto.

May 15th. Petition filed.

June 10th. Hearing, 10.30 to 11.00 A.M., at the Board's Chambers. Petition dismissed. City Solicitor to draft Order.

August 18th. Draft Order filed.

August 18th. Order issued.

June 10th, 1930.

#### ORDER

Upon the Petition of S. K. Hisey and others, pursuant to Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the construction of a concrete sidewalk on the south side of Glenwood Avenue, from Fairview Avenue to Kennedy Avenue, in the City of Toronto, upon hearing what was alleged by the petitioner and upon hearing Counsel for the Corporation of the City of Toronto and what was alleged.

The Board orders under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act" that the said petition against the work be dismissed and the Corporation of the City of Toronto be at liberty to proceed with the work.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) A. B. INGRAM,

Vice-Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2845

Application by the Township of Nepean, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its Restricted Area By-law No. 1105, restricting the erection, alteration and use of buildings and land within the area defined by the by-law.

May 19th. Application and material filed.

June 20th. Hearing, 9.00 A.M., Township Hall, Westboro. Adjourned to September 5th, 1930, at 9 A.M., Township Hall, Westboro.

July 5th. Amending By-law No. 1110 filed.

September 5th. Hearing continued, 9.00 A.M. to 10.00 A.M., Township Hall, Westboro. Application granted. By-law approved as amended.

September 29th. Approved draft Order filed.

September 29th. Order issued.

September 5th, 1930.

## ORDER

Upon the application of the said Corporation and, upon consideration of said By-law No. 1105 at a meeting of the Board held at the Township Hall in the Village of Westboro, in the said Township of Nepean, on Friday, the 20th day of June, 1930, and the further material filed, and upon hearing all parties then present and particularly considering objections made by certain property owners in that portion of the area described in said by-law and after due consideration of all matters relevant to the said application and the Board having directed that the application be adjourned to Friday, the 5th day of September, 1930, to permit the Corporation to further consider the question of amending the said By-law No. 1105 and the said by-law having been duly amended by By-law No. 1110 duly passed by the said Corporation on the 21st day of June, 1930, and this application having come on for further hearing at the adjourned meeting of the Board held on the said 5th day of September, 1930, and no further objections having been made to the said By-law No. 1105 as amended by said By-law No. 1110;

The Board orders, under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," that the said By-law No. 1105 as amended by the said

By-law No. 1110, be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-2848

In the matter of the Petitions of William Hay and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the construction by the City of Hamilton of certain local improvements on Cumberland Avenue, between Graham Avenue and Wexford Avenue:

(a) Sidewalk and curb (south side), and

(b) Roadway.

May 19th. Petitions filed.

June 18th. Hearings, 1.30 to 2.30 p.m., Council Chamber, Hamilton.

(a) Work to be proceeded with. Petition dismissed.

(b) Petition allowed to the extent of granting sixty feet flankage immediately next to Wexford Avenue, on Cumberland Avenue as produced. July 25th. Draft Order filed.

July 30th. Order issued.

June 18th, 1930.

#### ORDER

Upon the Petitions of the said William Hay and others to this Board under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the construction by the City of Hamilton of proposed sidewalk and curb on the south side of Cumberland Avenue from Graham Avenue to Wexford Avenue and an asphalt roadway on Cumberland Avenue from Wexford Avenue to Graham Avenue, and upon consideration of such Petitions and the other material filed, and hearing all parties interested, and upon consideration of the evidence adduced and what was alleged by Counsel for the petitioners and for the Corporation of the City of Hamilton.

1. This Board doth order that in addition to the share of the cost assumed by the City Corporation of sixty feet of flankage on Cumberland Avenue, providing for the extension southerly of Wexford Avenue from the southerly limit of Cumberland Avenue, there shall be included in the Corporation's portion of the cost of the above mentioned works, the cost of sixty feet of flankage on Cumberland Avenue extending sixty feet westerly from Wexford Avenue so produced; and in all other respects the said Petitions are hereby dismissed.

2. And this Board doth further order that there shall be no costs of the said application to any party, except that the Corporation of the City of Hamilton shall pay \$15.00 for Law Stamps on this Order, chargeable to the cost of the

work.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-2851

Application by the Village of Forest Hill, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 459, restricting to purposes of detached dwelling houses the area bounded by Burton Road, Dewbourne Avenue, Spadina Road and Bathurst Street.

May 20th. Application and material filed.

June 17th. Hearing, 10.30 to 11.30 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Hearing adjourned to July 8th, 1930, at 10.30 A.M. By-law to be amended *re* Deverell Avenue. (See Reporter's Notes.)

July 3rd. Amending By-law No. 479 filed.

July 8th. Hearing continued, 10.30 to 10.45 A.M. Application granted. Applicant's Solicitor to draft Order.

July 11th. Draft Order filed.

July 14th. Order issued.

July 8th, 1930.

### ORDER

Upon the application of the Corporation made to this Board on the 17th day of June, 1930, upon hearing read the material filed by Melville Grant, Esquire, Solicitor for the Applicant, upon hearing what was alleged by Counsel for the Applicant, and J. L. Shannon, a ratepayer, appearing, and no one else appearing to oppose said application, although notice of the Hearing of the same was duly given as required by the Statute, and an amendment having been suggested to the said by-law, which was duly made, as appears by By-law No. 479 of the said municipality, and the same coming on this day for final hearing.

The Board orders, under and in pursuance of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," being Chapter 233, Revised Statutes of Ontario, 1927, and Amendments thereto, that the said By-law No. 459, entituled "A By-law to place restrictions upon the lands in the Village of Forest Hill lying north of Burton Road, south of Dewbourne Avenue and west of Spadina Road and east of the rear of lots facing on Bathurst Street," as amended by By-law No. 479, be and the same is hereby approved.

And the Board makes no Order as to costs, except that the Corporation pay the Board's tariff fee of \$20.00 for Law Stamps on the Order herein.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

Application by the City of Toronto, under Section 398 (2b) of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 12640, repealing its Restricted Area By-law No. 11389 in so far as it prevents the erection of a single duplex dwelling at No. 130 Hammersmith Avenue.

May 21st. Application and material filed.

June 17th. Hearing, pursuant to Appointment, 10.30 to 10.50 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Adjourned to 24th instant, at 10.30 A.M.

June 24th. Hearing continued, 10.30 A.M., 10.40 to 10.45 A.M. Application

granted. Applicant's Solicitor to draft Order.

June 30th. Draft Order filed.

June 30th. Order.

June 24th, 1930.

## Order

The application of the Corporation of the City of Toronto, for approval, pursuant to Section 398, subsection (2b) of "The Municipal Act," being R.S.O. 1927, Chapter 233, of its By-law No. 12640 having come on for hearing before this Board on the 17th day of June, A.D. 1930, in the presence of Counsel for the Applicant and in the presence of one property owner, appearing in opposition to the said by-law, no one else appearing, notwithstanding service of notices upon all property owners affected according to directions of this Board, as by affidavits filed appears, upon considering the said by-law and hearing what was alleged by all parties before the Board, this Board was pleased to direct that this application should stand over until the 24th day of June, A.D. 1930, to give the owner of No. 130 Hammersmith Avenue an opportunity to present her views.

And this application having come on again for further hearing before this Board on the 24th day of June, A.D. 1930, in the presence of Counsel for the Applicant, and of a representative of the owner of No. 130 Hammersmith Avenue, upon hearing what was alleged by all parties before the Board, and upon further considering the said by-law, and it appearing that there was no opposition to the approval of the said by-law other than as aforesaid.

The Board orders, under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 398, subsection (2b) of "The Municipal Act," that the said by-law, being intituled "No. 12640. A by-law to repeal By-law No. 11389 in so far as it prevents the erection of a single duplex dwelling at No. 130 Hammersmith Avenue," be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2855

Application by the City of Toronto, under Section 398 (2b) of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 12641, repealing its By-law No. 11474 in so far as it prevents the conversion of No. 16 Rowanwood Avenue into a duplex dwelling.

May 21st. Application and material filed.

June 17th. Hearing, 10.30 to 10.40 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Application granted. Applicant's Solicitor to draft Order.

June 19th. Draft Order filed.

June 19th. Order.

June 17th, 1930.

#### ORDER

Upon the application of the Corporation of the City of Toronto for approval, pursuant to Section 398, subsection 2b of "The Municipal Act," being R.S.O. 1927, Chapter 233, of its By-law No. 12641, upon hearing what was alleged by Counsel for the Applicant, no one appearing in opposition to the said by-law notwithstanding service of notice upon all property owners affected according to directions of this Board, as by affidavits filed appears, upon considering the said by-law, and it appearing that there was no opposition to the approval of the said by-law.

The Board orders under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," that the said by-law, being intituled "No. 12641. A by-law to repeal By-law No. 11474 in so far as it prevents the conversion into a duplex dwelling of the building at No. 16 Rowanwood Avenue," be and the same

is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2857

Application by the City of Kitchener, under Section 398 (2b) of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 2154 amending its Zoning Ordinance By-law No. 1823 (as amended by 1834 and 1835) by adding at the end of Section 1, Division "C," certain business districts (paragraph "Thirty-secondly").

May 22nd. Application and material filed.

June 25th. Hearing, 10.00 to 10.30 A.M., Council Chamber, Kitchener. Application granted. Applicant's Solicitor to draft Order.

June 26th. Draft Order filed.

June 26th. Order.

June 25th, 1930.

### ORDER

Upon the application of the said Corporation, and upon reading the copy of the said by-law and the other material filed, and the Board having this day, pursuant to Appointment, at the Council Chamber in the City of Kitchener, heard Counsel for the Corporation, no person appearing to oppose the application, although notice thereof had been given pursuant to statute.

The Board orders, under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 398, subsection (2b) of "The Municipal Act," that the said By-law No. 2154, be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) A. B. INGRAM,

Vice-Chairman.

Application by the City of Ottawa, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its Restricted Area By-law No. 6839, restricting to single family or duplex dwelling and private garage, the area bounded on the east by Holland Avenue, on the north by the southerly limit of lots facing on south side of Wellington Street, on the west by the westerly city limit and on the south by the right-of-way of the Canadian National Railway; also the area bounded on the east by Holland Avenue, on the north by Scott Street, on the west by the westerly city limit and on the north by the northerly limit of the lots facing on the north side of Wellington Street (part of Elmdale Ward).

May 22nd. Application and material filed.

June 20th. Hearing, 11 A.M. to 11.30 A.M., Council Chamber, Ottawa. Application granted. City Solicitor to draft Order.

July 10th. Draft Order filed.

July 10th. Order.

June 20th, 1930.

## ORDER

The application of the Corporation of the City of Ottawa, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for an Order of the Board approving of its By-law No. 6839 restricting the use of land and the erection and use of buildings on land within those certain areas defined in the said by-law situate in Elmdale Ward, in the City of Ottawa, having come on to be heard before a special Sittings of the Board held at the City of Ottawa on Friday, the 20th day of June, A.D. 1930, upon it appearing that the Council had notified the owners to be affected by the said by-law in accordance with the provisions of Clause (c) of subsection (2) of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," as amended, and in accordance with the directions of the Board, and upon hearing persons supporting as well as persons opposing the approval of the said by-law.

The Board doth order and direct that the said By-law No. 6839 of the said

Corporation of the City of Ottawa, be and the same is hereby approved.

And the Board doth further order and direct that the said Corporation do pay the sum of ten dollars, the Board's tariff fee upon the said application.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

#### PROCEDURE FILE A-2861

Application by the City of Ottawa, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its Restricted Area By-law No. 6840, restricting to detached private dwellings, the area bounded by Maple Lane, Butternut Terrace, Rideau Terrace and Springfield Road (portion of "Rideau" Ward).

May 22nd. Application and material filed.

June 20th. Hearing, 11 A.M. to 12.50 P.M., Council Chamber, Ottawa. By-law as to Linden Lea approved. Amendment to be made as to other areas. Adjourned to 5th September, 1930, at 11 A.M.

September 5th. Hearing continued, 11 A.M. to 12 MD., Council Chamber, Ottawa. By-law to be amended to cover only Lindenlea District, viz.: Area bounded on north by Maple Avenue, on east by Lambton Road, on south by

Rideau Terrace and on west by Springfield Road. Application approved accordingly.

October 9th. Copy of By-law No. 6968 filed.

October 14th. By-law No. 6968 approved. City Solicitor to draft Order.

October 23rd. Draft Order filed.

October 23rd. Order issued.

October 14th, 1930

#### ORDER

Upon the application of the said Corporation, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of the said By-law No. 6840, and same having come on for Hearing at special Sittings of the Board held at the Council Chamber, in the City of Ottawa, on the 20th day of June, and the 5th day of September, 1930, and upon hearing what was alleged by Counsel for the Applicant, and no one appearing in opposition thereto, although notice of the Hearing of the application was duly given as directed by the Board, and the Board having directed certain amendments to the said By-law No. 6840, and upon consideration of By-law No. 6968, passed by the said Corporation on the 15th day of September, 1930, to comply with the directions of the Board herein.

The Board orders, under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," that the said By-law No. 6968, intituled "By-law No. 6968. A by-law of the Corporation of the City of Ottawa for prohibiting the use of land and the erection and use of buildings within the area herein defined for any purpose other than a detached private dwelling," be and the same is

hereby approved.

And the Board doth further order and direct that the Corporation do pay the sum of twenty dollars (\$20.00) in Law Stamps to be affixed to this Order, the fees of the Board herein.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-2861a

Application by the City of Ottawa, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its Restricted Area By-law No. 6968, restricting to the erection of detached private dwellings and semi-detached or duplex dwellings, the area described in the by-law.

October 9th. Application and material filed.

November 14th. Hearing, pursuant to Appointment, 9.00 to 9.15 A.M., Council Chamber, Ottawa. (Mr. Commissioner Ellis authorized under Section 8, Chapter 225, R.S.O. 1927).

November 17th. Report of Mr. Commissioner Ellis filed and adopted.

November 17th. Draft Order filed.

November 17th. Order issued.

# REPORT OF MR. COMMISSIONER ELLIS

I recommend that this by-law be approved.

(Sgd.) J. A. Ellis,

Commissioner.

November 14th, 1930.

Adopted as basis of Board's Order:

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

(Sgd.) A. B. INGRAM,

Vice-Chairman.

November 17th, 1930.

ORDER

The application of the Corporation of the City of Ottawa, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 6988, being a by-law for prohibiting the erection and use of buildings on land situate within the area described in the said by-law for any purpose other than that of a detached private dwelling, a semi-detached or duplex dwelling, having come on to be heard before J. A. Ellis, Esquire, Commissioner, duly authorized by the Board, under Section 8, Chapter 225, R.S.O. 1927, to hear and report upon the said application at a special meeting held at the City of Ottawa, pursuant to Appointment on Friday, the 14th day of November, 1930, at the hour of nine o'clock in the forenoon at the Council Chamber, in the City Hall, in the said city, whereupon upon it appearing that the owners of lands to be affected by the said by-law had been duly notified of the said hearing in accordance with the provisions of subsection 2 (c) of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," and upon reading the said by-law, and upon hearing what was alleged in support thereof, and no one appearing in opposition to the said application, and the said Commissioner having reported to this Board, and his report having this day been adopted by the Board as the basis for this Order.

The Board doth order and direct that the said By-law No. 6988 of the said

Corporation, be and the same is hereby approved.

The Board doth further order and direct that the said Corporation do cause to be affixed Law Stamps of a value of \$15.00 to this Order in payment of its tariff fee upon the said application.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-2865

In the matter of the Petition of J. H. Putman, and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the construction of a concrete sidewalk on the north side of Putman Avenue, between Champlain Avenue and Beechwood Avenue, in the City of Ottawa.

May 26th. Petition filed.

June 20th. Hearing, 11 A.M. to 12 MD., Council Chamber, Ottawa. Petition allowed. City and property owners to each pay fifty per cent. City Solicitor to draft Order.

July 10th. Draft Order filed.

July 10th. Order.

June 20th, 1930.

# ORDER

The Petition of J. H. Putman and others under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the proposed construction of a concrete sidewalk on the north side of Putman Avenue, between Champlain Avenue and Beechwood Avenue in the City of Ottawa, as a local improvement under the provisions of "The Local Improvement Act," having come on to be heard before the Board at a special meeting thereof held at the City of Ottawa on Friday, the 20th day of June, A.D. 1930, at the hour of eleven o'clock in the forenoon (Standard time) in the Council Chamber, in the said city, pursuant to an Appointment duly issued by the Board whereupon upon it appearing to the satisfaction of the Board that service of the said Appointment had been made in accordance with the direction of the Board, upon hearing what was alleged on behalf of the petitioners and on behalf of the said Corporation respectively.

1. The Board doth order that the Corporation of the said City of Ottawa shall bear in addition to such share of the cost of the said work as it would otherwise bear under the provisions of "The Local Improvement Act," fifty per

centum of the property owners' share of the cost of the said work.

2. And the Board doth further order and direct that the Corporation do pay the sum of ten dollars, the tariff fee for the Board upon the said Hearing.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2868

Application by the Township of Etobicoke, under "The Township of Etobicoke Act" (Chapter 62, 13-14 Geo. V, and Amendments) for approval of its By-law No. 3544, enlarging Water Area No. 7.

May 27th. Application and material filed.

June 24th. Hearing, 10.30 to 10.40 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Application granted. Applicant's Solicitor to draft Order.

July 5th. Draft Order filed. July 5th. Order issued.

June 24th, 1930.

### ORDER

Upon the application of the said Corporation, upon reading the Notice of Application and the other material filed, and public notice of the Hearing of such application having been duly given as directed by the Board, and upon hearing Counsel for the Applicant and no one appearing in opposition to the application.

The Board orders and certifies under and in pursuance of the provisions of the said Act, being Chapter 62, 13-14 Geo. V, and amendments thereto, that

the said By-law No. 3544, intituled "By-law No. 3544. A by-law of the Municipality of the Township of Etobicoke to extend or enlarge a definite section or area of the municipality known as Water Area No. 7, as established by By-law No. 1623 and enlargements thereof, by adding thereto the land hereinafter described and defined and to construct and extend the system of watermains and waterworks in Water Area No. 7 into the area hereinafter described for the benefit of the said area," be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2869

Application by the Township of Etobicoke, under "The Township of Etobicoke Act, 1923" (Chapter 62, 13-14 Geo. V, and amendments), for approval of:

(a) By-law No. 3545, extending Water Area No. 14, and

(b) By-law No. 3546, extending Water Area No. 14.

May 27th. Application and material filed.

June 12th. Two copies of By-law No. 3546, as amended, filed.

June 24th. Hearing, 10.30 to 10.40 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Application granted. Applicant's Solicitor to draft Order.

July 7th. Draft Order filed. July 7th. Orders issued.

June 24th, 1930.

# Order Re By-Law No. 3545

Upon the application of the said Corporation, upon reading the Notice of Application and the other material filed, and public notice of the Hearing of such application having been duly given as directed by the Board, and upon hearing Counsel for the Applicant and no one appearing in opposition to the application.

The Board orders and certifies under and in pursuance of the provisions of the said Act, being Chapter 62, 13-14 Geo. V, and amendments thereto, that the said By-law No. 3545, intituled "By-law No. 3545. A by-law of the Municipality of the Township of Etobicoke to extend or enlarge a definite section or area of the municipality, known as Water Area No. 14, established by By-law No. 3471 and enlargements thereof, by adding thereto the land hereinafter described and defined and to construct and extend the system of watermains and waterworks in Water Area No. 14, into the area hereinafter described for the benefit of the said area," be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

June 24th, 1930.

# Order Re By-Law No. 3546

Upon the application of the said Corporation, upon reading the Notice of Application and the other material filed, and public notice of the Hearing of such application having been duly given as directed by the Board, and upon

hearing Counsel for the Applicant and no one appearing in opposition to the

application.

The Board orders and certifies under and in pursuance of the provisions of the said Act, being Chapter 62, 13-14 Geo. V, and amendments thereto, that the said By-law No. 3546, intituled "By-law No. 3546. A by-law of the Municipality of the Township of Etobicoke to extend or enlarge a definite section or area of the municipality known as Water Area No. 14, established by By-law No. 3471 and enlargements thereof, by adding thereto the land hereinafter described and defined and to construct and extend the system of watermains and waterworks in Water Area No. 14, into the area hereinafter described for the benefit of the said area," be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-2870

Application by the Township of Etobicoke, under "The Township of Etobicoke Act, 1923" (Chapter 62, 13-14 Geo. V, and amendments), for approval of its By-law No. 3549, enlarging Water Area No. 15.

May 27th. Application and material filed.

June 24th. Hearing, 10.30 to 10.40 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Application granted. Applicant's Solicitor to draft Order.

July 7th. Draft Order filed.

July 7th. Order issued.

June 24th, 1930.

#### ORDER

Upon the application of the said Corporation, upon reading the Notice of Application and the other material filed, and public notice of the Hearing of such application having been duly given as directed by the Board, and upon hearing Counsel for the Applicant and no one appearing in opposition to the

application.

The Board orders and certifies under and in pursuance of the provisions of the said Act, being Chapter 62, 13-14 Geo. V, and amendments thereto, that the said By-law No. 3549, intituled "By-law No. 3549. A by-law of the Municipality of the Township of Etobicoke to extend or enlarge a definite section or area of the municipality known as Water Area No. 15 as established by By-law No. 3488 and enlargements thereof, by adding thereto the land hereinafter described and defined and to construct and extend the system of watermains and waterworks in Water Area No. 15 into the area hereinafter described for the benefit of the said area," be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2871

Application by the Township of Etobicoke, under "The Township of Etobicoke Act, 1923" (Chapter 62, 13-14 Geo. V and amendments), for approval of its By-law No. 3547, enlarging Water Area No. 16.

May 27th. Application and material filed.

June 24th. Hearing, 10.30 to 10.40 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Application granted. Applicant's Solicitor to draft Order.

July 7th. Draft Order filed.

July 7th. Order issued.

June 24th, 1930.

#### ORDER

Upon the application of the said Corporation, upon reading the Notice of Application and the other material filed, and public notice of the Hearing of such application having been duly given as directed by the Board, and upon hearing Counsel for the Applicant, and no one appearing in opposition to the application.

The Board orders and certifies under and in pursuance of the provisions of the said Act, being Chapter 62, 13-14 Geo. V, and amendments thereto, that the said By-law No. 3547, intituled "By-law No. 3547. A by-law of the Municipality of the Township of Etobicoke to extend or enlarge a definite section or area of the municipality known as Water Area No. 16 as established by By-law No. 3489 and enlargements thereof, by adding thereto the lands hereinafter described and defined and to construct and extend the system of watermains and waterworks in Water Area No. 16, into the area hereinafter described for the benefit of the said area," be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2879

Application by the Town of Fort Frances, under Section 44 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 1009, dividing the said town into six wards.

June 2nd. Application and material filed.

November 11th. Application granted (no objections filed).

November 19th. Draft Order filed.

November 19th. Order.

November 11th, 1930.

#### ORDER

Upon the application of the Municipal Council of the Town of Fort Frances, and upon reading a Resolution of the said Municipal Council passed on the 23rd day of May, 1930, the Declaration of John William Walker, dated the 4th day of November, instant, and the Exhibits therein referred to, including By-laws of the said Municipal Council numbered 1009 and 1019, and it appearing that notice of this application had been advertised for three successive weeks in the Fort Frances "Times," a weekly newspaper published in the said Town of Fort Frances, and no objections thereto by any ratepayer, person, firm or corporation having been filed with the clerk of the said town pursuant to said advertisement.

The Board orders that the area comprised within the limits of the Town of Fort Frances be divided into six wards and that the limits or boundaries of said wards be as follows:

Ward No. 1. Comprising that portion of the Town of Fort Frances north of the International boundary and north of the line joining the said boundary (south of Water Lot 5744) with the centre line of Scott Street at its junction with Front Street, and north of the said Scott Street at its junction with Mowat Avenue, and west of the said Mowat Avenue between Scott Street and Third Street; south of the said Third Street East produced west to Central Avenue; west of Central Avenue from Third Street to Fourth Street; south of Fourth Street from Central Avenue to Cornwall Avenue, and west of Cornwall Avenue;

Ward No. 2. That portion of the Town of Fort Frances east of Ward

Number One; west of Victoria Avenue, and north of Scott Street;

Ward No. 3. That portion of the Town of Fort Frances lying between Victoria Avenue and Crowe Avenue and north of Scott Street;

Ward No. 4. That portion of the Town of Fort Frances south of Scott

Street and west of Crowe Avenue;

Ward No. 5. That portion of the Town of Fort Frances lying between Crowe Avenue on the west and Frenette and Butler Avenues on the east;

Ward No. 6. That portion of the Town of Fort Frances lying east of

Frenette Avenue and Butler Avenue.

And this Board doth further order that By-law No 1009 of the said Municipal Council of the Town of Fort Frances, as amended by its By-law No. 1019, be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-2875

Application by the City of Toronto, under Section 398 (2b) of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its Restricted Area By-law No. 12665, restricting to detached residence or double duplex dwelling that portion of Avenue Road between Roselawn Avenue and Briar Hill Avenue.

May 29th. Application and material filed.

June 24th. Hearing, 11 A.M.; 12 M.D. to 12.15 P.M., at Board's Chambers. By-law not to affect lands for educational purposes of Toronto Board of Education while used for educational purposes. Hearing adjourned to 30th September, 1930, at 10.30 A.M., at Board's Chambers.

September 30th. Hearing adjourned to 14th October, 1930, at 10.30 A.M.,

at Board's Chambers.

October 14th. Hearing continued, 10.30 to 10.45 A.M. Adjourned to 20th November, 1930, at 10.30 A.M., at Board's Chambers, to hear opponents to by-law. Those supporting by-law to be heard at a later date if necessary. (See Reporter's Notes.)

November 14th. Hearing continued, 10.30 to 10.40 A.M. Adjourned at

request of Counsel to 10.30 A.M., December 18th, 1930, at Board's Chambers.

December 18th. Hearing continued, 10.30 to 10.35 A.M. Adjourned to Tuesday, the 17th February, 1931, at 10.30 A.M., at Board's Chambers.

#### PROCEDURE FILE A-2883

Application by The London Township Municipal Telephone System, under Section 27 of "The Telephone Act," for an Order authorizing the Township

of London to pass by-law providing for the purchase or erection of a building to be used as a Central Office, and for the issue of debentures, not exceeding \$5,000.00, therefor.

June 4th. Application and material filed.

June 16th. Hearing, 11.15 A.M. to 1 P.M., Court House, London. (Vice-Chairman authorized under Section 8, Chapter 225, R.S.O.). Recommended that application be enlarged. (See Board's letter of June 18th, 1930, to Applicant).

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2885

Application by the City of Belleville, under Section 20 of "The Municipal Act," for annexation thereto of part of the Township of Sidney.

June 5th. Application and material filed.

June 5th. Application granted. Applicant's Solicitor to draft Order.

December 19th. Approved draft Order filed.

December 19th. Order.

December 19th, 1930.

## ORDER

Upon the application of the Corporation of the City of Belleville, the same coming on for hearing the 5th day of June, 1930, upon reading the Petition of the Corporation of the City of Belleville, the written consents of the Corporation of the Township of Sidney and the Corporation of the County of Hastings filed, consenting to and concurring in the annexation by the Corporation of the City of Belleville of the lands hereinafter described, and it appearing that the said lands are now owned by, vested in and occupied by the Corporation of the City of Belleville and that there are no municipal electors or other persons residing upon the said lands and it also appearing that no adjustment of assets and liabilities, taxation, assessment, improvement or otherwise is required.

1. The Board orders, under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 20 of "The Municipal Act," being Chapter 233 of the Revised Statutes of Ontario, 1927, that, that part of the Township of Sidney adjacent to the City of Belleville, described as being:

All and singular that certain parcel or tract of land and premises situate, lying and being in the Township of Sidney, in the County of Hastings, in the Province of Ontario, containing by admeasurement fifty-nine onehundredths of an acre be the same more or less, being composed of a part of a parcel of land, conveyed to the Belleville Water Works Department by deed registered, October 23rd, 1901, being the southeast corner of Lot Number Thirty-eight, in the First and Broken Front Concession of said township, and as shown lettered "A" upon a sketch of survey of same, attached thereto, made by Ontario Land Surveyor, Fraser Aylsworth, and which may be more particularly described as follows, that is to say: Commencing where an iron bar has been planted, on the east boundary of said lot, distant, twenty feet south from, and at right angles to the centre line of the Canadian National Railway lying across said part of said lot, which said iron bar is also distant four hundred and forty-two feet, measured southerly along said easterly limit of said lot, from the south side of the Belleville-Trenton road; thence westerly, on a curve to the right, having a

radius of 5,750 feet, parallel to and always at a perpendicular distance of twenty feet from said centre line of said railway, a distance of three hundred and eighteen feet; thence south eighteen degrees and three minutes east, parallel to the easterly limit of said Lot Number Thirty-eight, one hundred and fifty feet and seven inches to the high water mark of the Bay of Ouinte: thence easterly following said high water mark, to its intersection with the said east limit of said lot; thence north eighteen degrees and three minutes west, a distance of one hundred and seventy feet to the place of beginning, as shown by blue print hereto annexed prepared by Fraser Aylsworth, P.L.S.

2. And the Board orders that the said lands shall be and form part of

Murney Ward of the said city.

3. And the Board further orders that neither the Corporation of the Township of Sidney, nor the Corporation of the County of Hastings has any claim in respect to assets, liabilities, taxation, assessment, improvements or otherwise with or in respect to the said lands.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2889

Application by the City of Toronto, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 12687, repealing its By-law No. 9651 to permit the conversion of building known as No. 185 Cottingham Street into a duplex dwelling house.

Application filed. June 5th.

Hearing, 10.30 A.M.; 10.40 to 10.45 A.M., at Board's Chambers. June 24th. Application granted. Applicant's Solicitor to draft Order.

Draft Order filed. June 30th.

June 30th. Order.

June 24th, 1930.

#### ORDER

Upon the application of the Corporation of the City of Toronto, for approval, pursuant to Section 398, subsection (2b) of "The Municipal Act," being R.S.O., 1927, Chapter 233, of its By-law No. 12687, upon hearing what was alleged by Counsel for the Applicant, no one appearing in opposition to the said by-law notwithstanding service of notice upon all property owners affected according to directions of this Board, as by affidavits filed appears, upon considering the said by-law and it appearing that there was no opposition to the approval of the said by-law.

The Board orders, under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 398, subsection (2b) of "The Municipal Act," that the said by-law being intituled "No. 12687. A by-law to repeal By-law No. 9651 in so far as it prevents the conversion into a duplex dwelling house of the building known as No. 185

Cottingham Street," be and the same is hereby approved.

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

(Seal)

Application by the Town of Port Colborne, under Section 8 and Schedule "A," Chapter 114, Ontario Statutes, 1927, for settlement of certain differences which have arisen under Agreement between the Applicant and the Village of Humberstone.

June 5th. Application and material filed.

June 23rd. Hearing, 11 to 11.30 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Judgment reserved on question of Board's jurisdiction. Notice of Application to be delivered by Applicant, reserving Respondent's rights re production, etc. Hearing adjourned sine die (subject to question of jurisdiction). Counsel to apply to Board when ready to proceed. (See Reporter's Notes.)

September 15th. Hearing continued, 10 to 10.30 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Hearing concluded. Application dismissed by consent. Solicitors to draft

Order; each party to pay \$10.00 for Law Stamps, the Board's tariff fee.

October 1st. Approved draft Order filed.

October 2nd. Order issued.

September 15th, 1930.

#### ORDER

This application having come on for Hearing before the Board on the 23rd day of June, A.D. 1930, at which time it was adjourned until the 15th day of September, A.D. 1930, upon which date, upon hearing Counsel for the Applicant and Counsel for the Respondent.

This Board doth order that the application of the Town of Port Colborne for an increase in the rates paid for water supplied by the said Town of Port Colborne to the Village of Humberstone, be and the same is hereby dismissed.

And the Board orders that the Applicant and the Respondent herein each pay \$10.00 for the Law Stamps (\$20.00) payable on this Order.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

#### PROCEDURE FILE A-2891

In the matter of the Petition of P. Mulholland, Harold Sanders, and others, under section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the construction as a local improvement of an 8-inch watermain on Dufferin Street, from the north limit of Plan 1928 to 20th Avenue West, in the Township of North York.

June 5th. Petition filed.

July 16th. Hearing, pursuant to Appointment, 10.30 to 11.30 A.M., at Board's Chambers. (Mr. Commissioner Ellis authorized under Section 8, Chapter 225, R.S.O.).

July 16th. Report of Mr. Commissioner Ellis filed and adopted. Petition

allowed.

#### REPORT OF MR. COMMISSIONER ELLIS

As you are no doubt aware I am just one member of the Board, and therefore all I can do is to recommend to the other two members of the Board what I think should be done in this matter.

I shall have to give effect to this Petition and recommend to the Board that it be allowed—for this reason—that there was a 2-inch pipe constructed only last year and that the township certainly knew of the construction of that pipe and allowed it to be constructed on private property, and they are supplying these people with water through that pipe. It would seem unreasonable that the parties who paid for that pipe and are taking water through it now, should be called upon to pay for a watermain as if the pipe had never been constructed.

If the Township Council is going to do anything later they might take into consideration whether these gentlemen are entitled to some consideration or not.

With regard to whether the water in this district is good, bad or indifferent, I might say that if it is unfit for domestic use, the township could get a mandatory Order from the Provincial Department of Health, but that is a matter which I am not called upon to deal with at this time. If the water is bad there is no doubt a mandatory Order could be secured and this main would then have to be constructed.

There is surrounding Toronto a number of townships that have special legislation under which they constitute Water Areas; there is one in North York, but this proposed main is outside of the water area. Usually these water areas are constituted unde the local improvement clauses of the Act, by a majority, of the parties interested asking for a supply of water. In this case it is proposed to put a watermain down against the desire of at least a majority of the rate-payers—I do not know how much a majority it is but the Clerk has certified that the Petition is sufficiently signed, and that means a majority both in number and assessment.

I do not know whether the Township Council will desire to take this matter up again, but I want to say that this will be no bar to a further application so far as the Board are concerned.

I think the Petition should be allowed, considering that only a year has elapsed since this 2-inch pipe was laid, and it would be a little hard on the parties who went to the expense of having that pipe laid to insist on their paying for a watermain. Sooner or later there is no doubt the main will have to be laid.

That will be my report.

(Sgd.) J. A. Ellis,

Commissioner.

Approved:

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-2894

Application by the City of Toronto, under subsection (2b) of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 12685, amending its Restricted Area By-law No. 9495 to permit the conversion of 108 Heath Street West into a duplex dwelling.

June 6th. Application and material filed.

June 24th. Hearing, 10.30 A.M.; 10.40 to 10.45 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Application granted. Applicant's Solicitor to draft Order.

June 28th. Draft Order filed.

June 28th. Order.

June 24th, 1930.

#### ORDER

Upon the application of the Corporation of the City of Toronto, for approval, pursuant to Section 398, subsection (2b) of "The Municipal Act," being R.S.O., 1927, Chapter 233, of its By-law No. 12685, upon hearing what was alleged by Counsel for the Applicant, no one appearing in opposition to the said by-law notwithstanding service of notice upon all property owners affected according to directions of this Board as by affidavits filed appears, upon considering the said by-law and it appearing that there was no opposition to the approval of the said by-law.

The Board orders, under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 398, subsection (2b), of "The Municipal Act," that the said by-law, being intituled "No. 12685. A by-law to repeal By-law No. 9495 in so far as it prevents the conversion into a duplex dwelling of the premises known in the year 1930 as

No. 108 Heath Street West," be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2900

In the matter of the application of the Corporation of the City of Toronto, under Section 469 (9) of "The Municipal Act," for relief from its obligation to rebuild "Huntley Street" Bridge, on Huntley Street, a short distance north of Bloor Street, in the said city.

June 12th. Application filed.

September 2nd—Hearing, 10.30 A.M., to 5 P.M., at the Board's Chambers. Adjourned to September 3rd, 1930, at 10 A.M.

September 3rd. Hearing continued, 10 A.M. to 1 P.M. Adjourned sine die.

### PROCEDURE FILE A-2901

Application by the Township of Nelson, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its Restricted Area By-law No. 896, restricting the areas defined in the said by-law to private residential purposes.

June 13th. Application and material filed.

June 30th. Hearing, 10 A.M., Council Chamber, Burlington. (Chairman authorized under Section 8, Chapter 225, R.S.O., 1927), Mr. Brown, owner of Lot 15, is covered by the by-law, and Lots 17, 18 and 19, and Lot 8, being Pine Cove Garage property, is not covered. By-law referred back to Council to remove any apparent discrimination.

September 3rd. Draft Order filed.

September 10th. Copy of amending By-law No. 897 filed.

September 12th. Order issued.

September 3rd, 1930.

## ORDER

Upon the application of the said Corporation, and upon reading the material filed by Hughes Cleaver, Esq., Solicitor for the Applicant, and upon hearing

what was alleged by Counsel for the Applicant, and upon hearing Russell Treleaven, Esq., Counsel for E. Dauphin, Lloyd D. Dingle, Esq., Counsel for George Brown, Sidney Morton, Lionel H. Millen, Frank Maw and others appearing personally, the Board did refer By-law No. 896 back to the Council of the Township of Nelson for further consideration as to the objections to the by-law made by Counsel for George Brown and Counsel for E. Dauphin, and further upon hearing read By-law No. 897, amending By-law No. 896, and what was alleged by Counsel for the Applicant.

The Board orders, under and pursuant to Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," being Chapter 233 of the Revised Stasues of Ontario, 1927, that the said By-law No. 896, as amended by By-law No. 897, being "A By-law to prohibit the use of land for other than detached private residences and to regulate the area and location of buildings within certain areas in the Township of Nelson,"

be and the same is hereby approved.

And the Board doth make no Order as to costs excepting the payment by the Applicant, the Corporation of the Township of Nelson, of the tariff fee of \$15.00 for Law Stamps payable on the Order herein.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2913

In the matter of the Petition of Erskine, Smith & Co., Ltd., and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the proposed distribution of cost of the proposed pavement on George Street, between Cumberland Street and King Edward Avenue, in the City of Ottawa.

June 20th. Petition filed.

July 11th. Hearing, 9 A.M.; 9.15 to 9.30 A.M., Council Chamber, Ottawa. Petition dismissed.

August 9th. Draft Order filed.

August 13th. Order.

July 11th, 1930.

#### ORDER

The Petition of Messrs. Erskine, Smith & Company, Limited, and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the distribution of the cost of the proposed pavement on George Street, between Cumberland Street and King Edward Avenue, in the City of Ottawa, having come onto be heard before the Board at a special Sittings thereof held pursuant to Appointment at the City Council Chamber in the City of Ottawa on Friday, the 11th day of July, 1930, whereupon it appearing to the satisfaction of the Board that notice of the said Appointment had been served and published in accordance with the requirements of the Board, and upon hearing what was said both on behalf of the said petitioners and on behalf of the Corporation of the City of Ottawa.

1. The Board doth order and direct that the said Petition be and the same

is hereby dismissed.

2. The Board doth further order and direct that the Corporation of the City of Ottawa do pay the sum of \$10.00 for Law Stamps, the Board's tariff fee on this Order.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Application by the City of Stratford, under subsection (2b) of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 3346, amending its By-law No. 2740 by eliminating therefrom Part Lot 12, in Wm. McKenzie's Survey of Park Lot No. 459 in Canada Company's Survey.

June 21st. Application and material filed.

July 15th. Hearing, 11 A.M.; 11.10 to 11.50 A.M., Council Chamber, Stratford. (Mr. Commissioner Ellis authorized under Section 8, Chapter 225, R.S.O.)

July 16th. Report of Mr. Commissioner Ellis filed and adopted. Applica-

tion granted.

July 21st. Draft Order filed.

July 21st. Order isued.

## REPORT OF MR. COMMISSIONER ELLIS

I recommend that the by-law be approved. Under the Statute an amending by-law cannot be approved by the Board unless some of the conditions that are laid down in the Act are complied with. One of the conditions is that some change has taken place in the locality since the original by-law was approved. That seems to be the case in this application. It has been shown that a store has been erected and also a coal office and coal storage and other coal sheds are now being erected.

Another condition in the Statute is that the Board must decide whether it is in the interests of the district affected and of the community at large that this amendment should be allowed. One of the methods by which the Board can decide whether the amendment is in the interests of the people in the district is by having a Petition circulared, and we think it is a pretty good rule to allow the majority to decide. The people in the district probably best know what they want.

I do not know that there will be any particular benefit to the district by the erection of this gasoline station, but undoubtedly there will be a great deal more light on this corner.

This particular piece of property in question fronts on Nile Street and abutts on Falstaff Street. There is a railway track on the other side of the latter street and a number of coal yards. Falstaff can hardly be called a residential street. Therefore I do not think any injury will be caused to any person by allowing this lot be removed from the restricted area, and I recommend that the by-law be approved.

(Sgd.) J. A. Ellis,

Commissioner.

Dated at Toronto, this 16th day of June, A.D. 1930.

Approved:

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

July 21st, 1930.

## ORDER

The application of the Corporation of the City of Stratford under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act" for approval of its By-law No. 3346, amending its Restricted Area By-law No. 2740, having come on for Hearing before Mr. Commissioner Ellis, duly authorized to consider the said application and to report to the Board thereon as provided by Section 8 of "The Railway and Municipal Board Act," at the Council Chamber in the City Hall, Stratford, on Tuesday the 15th day of July, A.D. 1930, pursuant to an appointment given by the Board and dated the 23rd day of June, A.D. 1930, and it appearing that notice of said Appointment had been duly served upon the owners of property affected thereby, by mailing thereto a copy of the said Appointment and of the said by-law by registered letter at least ten clear days before the date fixed for the said Hearing and upon hearing the Solicitor for the said Corporation and David Jacobs who appeared in opposition to the said application and the said Commissioner having reported to the Board under date of the 16th day of July, A.D. 1930, in favour of the granting of the said approval and the said report having been adopted as a basis of the Board's Order, therefor:

1. The Board hereby approves of said By-law No. 3346.

2. And the Board directs that the Corporation shall affix Law Stamps to the value of Ten Dollars (\$10.00) to this Order as the Board's fee upon the said Hearing.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2916

Application by the City of Stratford, under subsection (2b) of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 3349, amending its By-law No. 2740 (as amended by By-law No. 3051) by adding paragraph 3g of Schedule "A," part Lot 98.

June 21st. Application and material filed.

July 15th. Hearing, 11 to 11.50 A.M., Council Chamber, Stratford. (Mr.

Commissioner Ellis authorized under Section 8, Chapter 225, R.S.O.).

July 16th. Report of Mr. Commissioner Ellis filed and adopted. Application granted.

July 21st. Draft Order filed.

July 21st. Order issued.

#### REPORT OF MR. COMMISSIONER ELLIS

I recommend that this application be approved. July 16th, 1930.

(Sgd.) J. A. Ellis,

Commissioner.

Adopted:

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

July 21st, 1930.

## ORDER

The application of the Corporation of the City of Stratford under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act" for approval of its By-law No. 3349, amending its Restricted Area By-law No. 2740, having come on for Hearing before Mr. Commissioner Ellis, duly authorized to consider the said application and to report to the Board thereon as provided by Section 8 of "The Railway and Municipal Board Act," at the Council Chamber in the City Hall, Stratford, on Tuesday the 15th day of July, A.D. 1930, pursuant to an Appointment given by the Board and dated the 23rd day of June, A.D. 1930, and it appearing that notice of said Appointment had been duly served upon the owners of property affected thereby, by mailing thereto a copy of the said Appointment and of the said by-law by registered letter at least ten clear days before the date fixed for the said Hearing and upon hearing the Solicitor for the said Corporation and no one appearing in opposition to the said application and the said Commissioner having reported to the Board under date of the 16th day of July, A.D. 1930, in favour of the granting of the said approval and the said report having been adopted as a basis of the Board's Order, therefore:

1. The Board hereby approves of said By-law No. 3349.

2. And the Board directs that the Corporation shall affix Law Stamps to the value of Ten Dollars (\$10.00) to this Order as the Board's fee upon the said Hearing.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

## PROCEDURE FILE A-2918

In the matter of the Petition of Barrett Brothers, and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against proposed distribution of cost of pavement on Bank Street, between Gladstone Avenue and a point thirty two-feet north of Arlington Avenue, in the City of Ottawa.

June 23rd. Petition filed.

June 25th. Additional Petition filed.

July 11th. Hearing, 9 to 9.15 A.M., Council Chamber, Ottawa. Sixty per cent. to be paid by property owners and forty per cent. by the city of the entire cost of the work.

August 9th. Draft Order filed.

August 13th. Order.

July 11th, 1930.

#### ORDER

The petition of Messrs. Barrett Brothers and others under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the distribution of the cost of a proposed pavement on Bank Street in the City of Ottawa between Gladstone Avenue and a point thirty-two (32) feet north of Arlington Avenue, having come on to be heard before the Board at a Special Sittings thereof held pursuant to Appointment at the Council Chamber in the City of Ottawa on Friday, the 11th day of July, 1930, whereupon upon it appearing to the satisfaction of the Board

that notice of the said Appointment had been served and published in accordance with the requirements of the Board, and upon hearing what was said both by the

said petitioners and by the City Engineer of the said City of Ottawa.

1. The Board doth order and direct that forty (40) per centum of the total cost of the said local improvement pavement (in which percentage shall be included that part of the cost thereof which the said Corporation is by the provisions of "The Local Improvement Act," required to pay) shall be borne and paid by the Corporation of the City of Ottawa, and the remaining sixty (60) per centum of the cost thereof shall be assessed against the abutting properties in accordance with the provisions of "The Local Improvement Act."

2. The Board doth further order and direct that the Corporation of the City of Ottawa do pay the sum of \$10.00 for Law Stamps, the Board's tariff

fee on this Order.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-2926

Application by McKellar Townsite Co. Ltd., under Section 12 of "The Planning and Development Act," for amendment and alteration of Plan M. 29, Land Titles Office, Ottawa, by closing that part of Riverview Avenue, in the Township of Nepean, bounded on the east by the westerly boundary of Second Avenue, and on the south by the northerly boundary of Bay Avenue, as shown on the said plan.

June 20th. Application and material filed.

June 20th. Hearing, pursuant to arrangement, 9 A.M., Township Hall, Westboro. Application granted.

June 27th. Approved draft Order filed.

June 28th. Order.

June 20th, 1930.

### ORDER

Upon the application of McKellar Townsite Company, Limited, upon reading the Notice of Application and the Notice of Hearing, and the affidavits of service thereof, upon the Township of Nepean, and the Town Planning Commission of the City of Ottawa, and the consent of such Town Planning Commission of the City of Ottawa, the consents of Alfred H. Jarvis, Michael S. White, Catherine Grace Jarvis and Thomas Henry Martin, and upon perusing the said Plan M. 29 filed in the office of Land Titles at Ottawa, and upon hearing what was alleged by Counsel for the applicant and upon hearing Counsel for the Township of Nepean.

The Board orders, under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 111 of "The Land Titles Act," being Chapter 158 of the Revised Statutes of Ontario, 1927, and Section 12 of "The Planning and Development Act," being Chapter 236 of the Revised Statues of Ontario, 1927, that Plan M. 29 filed in the office of Land Titles at Ottawa be and the same is hereby amended and altered by closing that part of Riverview Avenue bounded on the east by the westerly

boundary of Second Avenue, and on the south by the northerly boundary of Bayview Avenue as shown on the said plan.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

### PROCEDURE FILE A-2928

Application by the City of Stratford, under Section 398 (2b) of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 3341, amending its Restricted Area By-law No. 2740 by the addition of Duke Street to Schedule "A" thereof. June 28th. Application and material filed.

July 15th. Hearing, 11 to 11.10 A.M., Council Chamber, Stratford. (Mr.

Commissioner Ellis authorized under Section 8, Chapter 225, R.S.O.).

July 16th. Report of Mr. Commissioner Ellis filed. Application granted.

July 21st. Draft Order filed.

July 21st. Order issued.

# REPORT OF MR. COMMISSIONER ELLIS

I recommend that this application be approved. 16th July, 1930.

Adopted:

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

(Sgd.) J. A. Ellis.

Commissioner.

July 21st, 1930.

### ORDER

The application of the Corporation of the City of Stratford under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act" for approval of its By-law No. 3341, amending its Restricted Area By-law No. 2740 to include Duke Street in the area thereby restricted to detached private residences, having come on for Hearing before Mr. Commissioner Ellis, duly authorized to consider the said application and to report to the Board thereon as provided by Section 8 of "The Railway and Municipal Board Act," at the Council Chamber in the City Hall, Stratford, on Tuesday the 15th day of July, A.D. 1930, pursuant to an Appointment given by the Board and dated the 23rd day of June, A.D. 1930, and it appearing that notice of said Appointment had been duly served upon the owners of property affected thereby, by mailing thereto a copy of the said Appointment and of the said by-law by registered letter at least ten clear days before the date fixed for the said Hearing and upon hearing the Solicitor for the said Corporation and no one appearing in opposition to the said application and the said Commissioner having reported to the Board under date of the 16th day of July, A.D. 1930, in favour of the granting of the said approval of the said report having been adopted as a basis of the Board's Order, therefore:

1. The Board hereby approves of said By-law No. 3341.

2. And the Board directs that the Corporation shall affix Law Stamps to the value of Ten Dollars (\$10.00) to this Order as the Board's fee upon the said Hearing.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-2936

Application by the Village of Forest Hill, under Section 398 (2b) of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 482, amending its Restricted Area By-law No. 285 to extend a portion of Spadina Road. (Lots on east side and south of north limit of Lots 45, Plan 758, and west side south of north limit of Lot 11, Plan M-70) for business purposes and erection of apartment houses.

July 5th. Application and material filed.

July 24th. Hearing, pursuant to Appointment, 10.30 to 11 A.M., at Board's Chambers. (Mr. Commissioner Ellis authorized under Section 8, Chapter 225, R.S.O.).

July 24th. Report of Mr. Commissioner Ellis filed, and adopted.

August 7th. Application granted. September 24th. Draft Order filed. September 25th. Order issued.

# REPORT OF MR. COMMISSIONER ELLIS

By consent of all parties it was agreed that the application be granted, but Order not to issue for two weeks. I therefore recommend that this course be adopted.

July 24th, 1930.

(Sgd.) J. A. Ellis, Commissioner.

Adopted:

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

August 7th, 1930.

### Order

Upon the application of the said Corporation, and Mr. Commissioner Ellis (authorized under Section 8, Chapter 225, R.S.O.), having, pursuant to Appointment, heard this application on the 24th day of July, A.D. 1930, at the Board's Chambers, in the Parliament Buildings, in the City of Toronto, and upon hearing read the material filed by Melville Grant, Esq., Solicitor for the applicant, in presence of Walter A. Strowger, Esq., representing the Suydam Realty Company, Limited, a ratepayer, upon hearing what was alleged by Counsel for the applicant, and no one appearing to oppose said application, although notice of the Hearing of same was duly given as required by the Statute, and it having been agreed at the aforesaid Hearing, by consent of all parties, that the application be granted, but that Order in respect of same should not issue for two weeks from that date, and Mr. Commissioner Ellis having so reported to the Board and recommending

that such course be adopted, and this report having been adopted by the Board

as the basis of this Order.

The Board orders, under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," being Chapter 233, Revised Statutes of Ontario, 1927, and amendments thereto, that the said By-law No. 482, entituled "A By-law to amend By-law No. 285 to extend the business area on Spadina Road," be and the same is hereby approved.

And the Board makes no Order as to costs, except that the Corporation pay

the Board's tariff fee of \$10.00 for Law Stamps on the Order herein.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

### PROCEDURE FILE A-2937

In the matter of the petition of E. McMahon, and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the construction of a concrete sidewalk on the east side of Sweetland Avenue, between Somerset Street and, Templeton Street, in the City of Ottawa.

July 8th. Petition filed.

July 25th. Hearing, 9 to 9.30 A.M., Council Chamber, Ottawa. (Mr. Commissioner Ellis authorized under Section 8, Chapter 225, R.S.O.). Matter to stand for one month. If city abandons the work no Order to be issued. If city decides to go on with the work Order to be made dismissing the Petition.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-2940

In the matter of the Petition of Thomas Lees and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the construction of a pipe sewer on Main Street, between Hess and Queen Streets, in the City of Hamilton.

July 10th. Petition filed.

July 23rd. Hearing, pursuant to Appointment, 10.45 to 11.45 A.M., Council Chamber, Hamilton, Petition dismissed.

August 14th. Draft Order filed.

August 14th. Order.

July 23rd, 1930.

# ORDER

Upon the application of the said Thomas Lees and others to this Board under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the construction by the Corporation of the City of Hamilton of pipe sewers on Main Street between Hess and Queen Streets in said city, and upon consideration of such Petition and the other material filed, and hearing all parties interested, and upon consideration of the evidence adduced and what was alleged by Counsel for the petitioners and for the Corporation of the City of Hamilton:

1. This Board doth order that the Petition be and the same is hereby

dismissed.

2. And this Board doth further order that there shall be no costs of the said application to any party, except that the Corporation of the City of Hamilton

shall pay \$15.00 for Law Stamps on this Order, chargeable to the cost of the work.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) A. B. Ingram, Vice-Chairman.

### PROCEDURE FILE A-2945

In the matter of a Notice or Objection under Section 6 of "The Local Improvement Act," by E. N. Saunders and others, respecting the work known as "Jarvis Street Extension," in the City of Toronto.

July 12th. Notice filed. (See A-2974 and A-2978).

September 2nd. Hearing, 10.30 A.M., to 5 P.M., at Board's Chambers. Adjourned to 3rd instant, at 10 A.M.

September 3rd. Hearing continued, 10 A.M. to 1 P.M. Hearing concluded.

Judgment reserved.

September 11th. Judgment delivered. Application dismissed. Board has no jurisdiction. (Law Stamps, \$30.00, to be paid by the city).

September 15th. Approved draft Order filed.

September 17th. Order issued.

October 8th. Notice of leave to appeal filed.

# REASONS FOR JUDGMENT

The Corporation of the City of Toronto having in view the very laudable object of relieving the congested condition of traffic on Yonge Street by the opening up of a through north and south thoroughfare, had its engineers make certain investigations as to a route east of and parallel with Yonge Street.

In the opinion of the engineers, to secure such a route it would be necessary to cut through that part of the City of Toronto known as Rosedale, and a number of alternate routes were suggested and examined with the above object in view. The route referred to as an extension of Jarvis Street, and being known as the Harris Wilson plan, was finally recommended to the City Council, and was adopted by the Board of Control and by the City Council of the said Corporation of the City of Toronto.

The said Council then commenced proceedings under "The Local Improvement Act," being Chapter 235, R.S.O., 1927, and had one of its officials make a report not only as to the properties immediately abutting upon the proposed work, but as to the properties that might be assessed for a part of the cost of

the work as being within the area immediately benefitted thereby.

Notice of the intended work, Exhibit 4d, was then sent to all parties against whose property a special assessment was proposed to be made, and the work was therein referred to as the extension of a street northerly from Bloor Street about opposite Jarvis Street to connect with Mount Pleasant Road at St. Clair Avenue.

The work being one the cost of which was estimated to exceed \$50,000, notice was served upon the Corporation of the City of Toronto within ten days of the receipt of such notice by Mr. Robertson, acting for certain persons whose land it was proposed to specially assess, which said notice objected to the work being undertaken upon the grounds set out in Section 6 of the said "Local Improvement Act."

The solicitor for the Corporation of the City of Toronto thereupon made application to The Ontario Railway and Municipal Board for its approval of the said work, which approval is required to be secured under Section 6 of the

said Act before the said work can be proceeded with.

It is not the policy of this Board, nor is it its present intention, to in any way interfere with the policy to be adopted by the said Council, and the question as to whether the route adopted is the best one that can be secured is a matter rather for the Corporation of the City of Toronto, relying upon its engineers, than for this Board to decide.

The question, however, now before this Board is one not devoid of difficulty, and Section 2, subsection (1) sets out the different works that may be undertaken

by a Corporation under "The Local Improvement Act":

(a) opening, widening, extending, grading, altering the grade of, diverting or improving a street;

(b) opening or establishing a new street;

(c) constructing a subway under a railway.

The Legislature appears to have drawn a distinction between the opening of a street and the opening of a new street, the one being referred to in Clause (a), subsection (1), and the other in Clause (b), subsection (1), as above mentioned.

Then Section 8, subsection (1) sets out the several works that may be undertaken as local improvements without petition, and among other works there is mentioned in the very words of Clause (a), subsection (1) of Section 2: "The opening, widening, extending, grading, altering the grade of, diverting or improving a street," but Clause (b) of subsection (1), being the opening or establishing of a new street, is not, in so many words at any rate, included in Section 8.

Under Section 6 this Board has jurisdiction to give or withhold its approval only if the work being undertaken, and for which that approval is asked, is the opening, widening or extension of a street, or the construction of a bridge, and

the cost of the work is estimated to exceed \$50,000.00.

Although the notice published in connection with this work refers to it as an extension of Jarvis Street, that notice so worded does not in itself make it simply an extension of Jarvis Street. The notice further, in setting out the work to be undertaken, refers to it in several places as a new street, as for instance in:

(c) the opening and grading of a new street;(e) the opening and grading of a new street, etc.

But this wording does not of itself make the undertaking of necessity a new street.

Taking everything into consideration it is therefore the duty of this Board to decide whether or not in its opinion the work is such as can be undertaken without petition under Section 8, and is such a work as comes under Section 6 of "The Local Improvement Act," for which the Board's approval may be asked.

The work is said to comprise:

(a) The opening and grading of a new street at a width of seventy-eight feet more or less, commencing at the north side of Bloor Street about opposite the northerly terminus of Jarvis Street, and extending in a north-easterly direction to a point on Huntley Street, the Rosedale Valley ravine to be spanned by a bridge;

(b) The widening and grading of Huntley Street, which street is at this particular point to be made use of for the proposed new street or

extension to South Drive;

(c) The opening up and grading of a new street from South Drive about opposite the northerly terminus of Huntley Street to Crescent Road about opposite Wrentham Place;

(d) The widening and grading of Wrentham Place;

(e) The opening and grading of a new street from the north side of Roxborough Street about opposite Wrentham Place in a northeasterly direction to connect with Rosehill Avenue, including the crossing of Park Drive reservation by a bridge, and crossing the C.P.R. right-of-way by a subway;

(f) Widening of Rosehill Avenue;

- (g) The opening and grading of a new street from the intersection of Inglewood Drive and Clifton Road in a northeasterly direction to Glenrose Avenue;
- (h) The opening and grading of a new street from about opposite the proposed new street as above described, and extending northerly from Glenrose Avenue to St. Clair Avenue about opposite the southerly terminus of Mount Pleasant Road; all of which works are referred to as an extension of Jarvis Street by a street seventy-eight feet in width from the northerly limit of Bloor Street to the southerly limit of St. Clair Avenue.

As this work is one of a very extensive nature, and may possibly be the fore-runner of other similar works, this Board would be very pleased indeed to have a definite interpretation of "The Local Improvement Act," Sections 3, 6 and 8 above referred to, by the Supreme Court of Ontario; but after giving the matter mature consideration the Board in itself is of the opinion that the work being undertaken as above set out, and for which this Board's approval is asked, is not "the opening, widening or extension of a street" as distinguished from "the opening of a new street," and is therefore not such a work as is contemplated by the wording of Section 6 of "The Local Improvement Act," and not being such a work this Board is of the opinion that it has no jurisdiction either to approve or disapprove, and must therefore dismiss the application.

And the Board makes no Order for costs save and except that the Corporation of the City of Toronto shall pay Thirty Dollars, the Board's fee

for Law Stamps on the Order.

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

Dated at Toronto, this 11th day of September, A.D. 1930.

September 11th, 1930.

### ORDER

Upon the application of the above-named Applicant in presence of Counsel for a number of property owners and of a number of property owners appearing in person, upon hearing the evidence adduced on behalf of the Applicant and Respondents, upon hearing Counsel for the Applicant and certain of the Respondents, and upon hearing certain Respondents in person and this Board being of the opinion that it has no jurisdiction to entertain this application, it therefore orders:

1. That the said application be and the same is hereby dismissed.

2. And it is further ordered that the Corporation of the City of Toronto shall pay Thirty Dollars, the Board's fee for Law Stamp on this Order.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

### PROCEDURE FILE A-2952

Application by the City of Toronto, under Section 398 (2b) of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 12760, repealing its Restricted Area By-laws Nos. 4469, 6109 and 9874 in so far as they prevent the erection of stores and apartments on the north side of Bloor Street, east of Walmer Road.

July 16th. Application and material filed.

August 12th. Hearing, 10.30 A.M., to 12 MD., at Board's Chambers.. Application granted. City Solicitor to draft Order.

August 18th. Draft Order filed.

August 18th. Order.

August 12th, 1930.

### ORDER

Upon the application of the Corporation of the City of Toronto for approval, pursuant to subsection (2b) of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," being R.S.O. 1927, Chapter 233, of its By-law No. 12760, upon hearing what was alleged by Counsel for the Applicant and by and behalf of the one property owner affected, the other property owners affected not having appeared although duly notified of this application according to the direction of the Board and requirements of the Statute aforesaid as by affidavits filed appears.

The Board orders under and in pursuance of the provisions of subsection (2b) of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," the said by-law being intituled "No. 12760. A By-law to repeal By-laws Nos. 4469, 6109 and 9874, in so far as they prevent the erection of stores and apartments on the north side of Bloor

Street east of Walmer Road," be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) A. B. INGRAM, Vice-Chairman.

### PROCEDURE FILE A-2955

Application by the City of Toronto, under Section 398 (2b) of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 12763 repealing its By-law No. 8866 in so far as it prevents the conversion into a duplex dwelling of the premises at No. 110 Bedford Road.

July 18th. Application and material filed.

August 12th. Hearing, pursuant to Appointment, 10.30 A.M. to 12 MD., at Board's Chambers. Application granted. City Solicitor to draft Order.

August 18th. Draft Order filed.

August 18th. Order.

August 12th, 1930.

### ORDER

Upon the application of the Corporation of the City of Toronto for approval, pursuant to Section 398, subsection (2b) of "The Municipal Act," being R.S.O. 1927, Chapter 233, of its By-law No. 12763, upon hearing what was alleged by Counsel for the Applicant, no one appearing in opposition to the said by-law notwithstanding service of notice upon all property owners affected according to directions of this Board, as by affidavits filed appears, upon considering the

said by-law, and it appearing that there was no opposition to the approval of the said by-law.

The Board orders, under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 398, subsection (2b) of "The Municipal Act," that the said by-law being intituled No. 12763. A By-law to repeal By-law No. 8866 in so far as it prevents the conversion into a duplex dwelling of the premises at No. 110 Bedford Road," be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) A. B. INGRAM,

Vice-Chairman.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-2958

Application by the City of Toronto, under subsection (2b), Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its Restricted Area By-law No. 12713, as amended by its By-law No. 12766, establishing a restricted district or zone in a portion of the city north of Roselawn Avenue and west of Rosewell Avenue and its production.

July 18th. Application and material filed.

September 30th. Hearing, 10.30 A.M. to 1 P.M., at Board's Chambers. All objections heard. Court reporter has noted objections to by-law to be considered by the Board. Hearing adjourned to 18th November, 1930, at 10.30 A.M.

October 30th. Copy of By-law No. 12835, repealing in part By-law No. 12713, filed. (Permitting erection of two dwellings on south side of Glenview Avenue, west of Rosewell Avenue, closer to south street line than twenty feet).

November 18th. Hearing continued, 10.30 to 11 A.M. Adjourned to 10.30

A.M., December 17th, 1930.

December 17th. Hearing continued, 10.30 to 10.45 A.M. By-laws Nos. 12713 and 12766 having been repealed, this application withdrawn. Copy of By-law No. 12931 filed, paragraph 6 of which repeals By-law No. 12713 and all by-laws amending same.

### PROCEDURE FILE A-2972

Application by the City of Kingston, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its Restricted Area By-law No. 31 (1930) to establish restricted districts or zones in the City of Kingston.

July 21st. Application and material filed.

September 12th. Hearing, 1 to 2.30 P.M., Council Chamber, Kingston. Application granted, subject to certain amendments to the by-law to be approved by opposing Counsel.

### PROCEDURE FILE A-3016

Application by The Home Telephone Co., Ltd., under Sections 93, 94 and 95 of "The Telephone Act," for an Order prescribing the terms and conditions upon which the Applicant shall furnish service to A. E. Ramsey, in the Village of Udora, and for that purpose, consent to the parallelling of the pole leads of The Sunderland Telephone Co., Ltd., or for such Order for joint use of such company's poles as the Board may deem expedient.

August 25th. Application and material filed.

September 18th. Hearing, 11 A.M. to 12 MD., at Town Hall, Sunderland. (Chairman authorized under Section 8, Chapter 225, R.S.O.).

September 25th. Report of Chairman filed and adopted. Application

dismissed.

September 25th. Order.

### REPORT

The undersigned, having heard the evidence adduced on behalf of all parties, and upon reading the Report of the Board's Inspector of Telephone Service, is of opinion that it is not desirable to permit any further duplication of telephone service in the Village of Udora and therefore recommends that this application be dismissed.

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

Adopted as basis of Board's Order.

(Sgd.) J. A. Ellis, Commissioner.

Toronto, September 25th, 1930.

September 25th, 1930.

### ORDER

Upon the application of the above-named Applicant, in the presence of the Applicant, and upon reading the Report of C. R. McKeown, Esquire, K.C., Chairman, who pursuant to Appointment heard the evidence adduced on behalf of the Applicant, The Home Telephone Company, Limited, The Sunderland Telephone Company, Limited, and A. E. Ramsey, and other material on file.

The Board orders that the said application be and the same is hereby

dismissed.

And the Board makes no order for costs or for Law Stamp fee in respect of this Order.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

PROCEDURE FILE A-3017

BETWEEN:

Fred Sills, et al,

Applicants,

—and—

The Ernestown Rural Telephone Co., Ltd.,

Respondent.

Application under Sections 93 and 95 of "The Telephone Act," for an Order prescribing the terms and conditions upon which the Applicant shall be furnished by the Respondent with service and for that purpose consent to the parallelling of the pole lead of The Perry Telephone System).

August 25th. Application and material filed.

September 26th. Hearing, 12.30 to 2 P.M., Court House, Napanee. (Mr. Commissioner Ellis authorized under Section 8, Chapter 225, R.S.O.). Board's Inspector to make inspection and report. Final decision reserved to December 31st, 1931, to allow Perry Telephone System to improve service.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-3018

BETWEEN:

The Municipal Corporation of the Village of Grand Valley,

Applicant,

-and-

The East Luther Telephone Co., Ltd.,

Respondent.

(Application under Section 90 of "The Telephone Act," for an Order requiring the Respondent to furnish continuous service).

August 25th. Application and material filed.

September 19th. Hearing, 11 A.M. to 12 P.M., at Carnegie Hall, Grand Valley. (Chairman authorized under Section 8, Chapter 225, R.S.O.).

September 24th. Judgment. (See Board's letter of September 24th, 1930,

to Respondent).

September 24th, 1930.

W. A. Wansborough, Esq.,

Secretary, The East Luther Telephone Co., Ltd., Grand Valley, Ont.

Re Continuous Service—(P.F.A-3018)

DEAR SIR:

I have to refer to the recent Hearing relative to this matter at which the

Chairman was present and I am instructed to advise you as follows:

Upon reference to the Board's files it would appear that in March, 1917, an application was made to this Board for authority to increase the rate for telephone service from \$5.00 per annum to the present charges. This application was heard on April 11th, 1917, and an Order approving of the increased charges was issued on April 16th, 1917. Paragraph 1 of this Order provides:

"That the tariff charges herein authorized shall only apply to those subscribers of the telephone system of the Applicant whose lines terminate at points where the Applicant is furnishing a continuous service, day and night, Sundays and holidays."

I am enclosing herewith a copy of this Order.

In August, 1926, a request was made to this Board for the approval of a charge of twenty-five cents per call on all calls other than those for medical veterinary or police aid or in case of fire after 10 P.M. and on Sundays except between 9 A.M. and 10 A.M. and 2 P.M. and 4 P.M. As the Board appreciated the fact that the object of this charge was to discourage social or unnecessary calls

at night and on Sundays, approval was granted by Order, dated August 5th, 1926. This Order,\* however, distinctly provides that:

"The Board may at any time hereafter on its own motion or upon any application of complaint, rescind this Order and withdraw its approva I to any of the said tolls."

In view of the foregoing the Board is of opinion that the company is under an obligation to provide continuous service day and night, Sundays and holidays included, subject only to the condition that a charge of twenty-five cents may be made after 10 P.M. and on Sundays, except between 9 A.M. and 10 A.M. and 2 P.M. and 4 P.M. on calls other than for medical, veterinary or police aid or in case of fire. This means that every call must be answered at the central office at any time day or night, Sundays and holidays included. During the hours between which the service is available for all calls which the Board understands is from 6 A.M. and 10 P.M. on week days and 9-10 A.M. and 2-4 P.M. on Sundays, an operator must be on duty at the switchboard. For the purpose of answering calls at other times a night bell must be so installed as to enable every call to be answered without regard to whether it is an emergency call or a social or ordinary business call, upon which a charge of twenty-five cents may be made in accordance with the Board's Order of August 5th, 1926.

In regard to long distance calls, arrangements must be made with the Bell Telephone Company whereby these calls will be received and transmitted at all hours without any charge other than the established long distance rates.

I am further instructed to say that unless the provisions of the Board's Order as indicated herein are observed, the Board will have no alternative but to cancel its Order of August 5th, 1926, and require the provisions of paragraph 1 of its Order of April 16th, 1917, to be carried out in its entirety.

Very truly yours,

(Sgd.) Francis Dagger,
Supervisor of Telephone Systems.

### PROCEDURE FILE A-3030

Application by the Township of North York, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of the following Restricted Area By-laws:

(a) By-law No. 866, Bayview and other streets;

(b) By-law No. 867, Bayview and other streets;

(c) By-law No. 868, 20th Avenue East, and other streets;

- (d) By-law No. 869, 150 feet east of Yonge Street in northerly limit of City of Toronto, etc.;
- (e) By-law No. 1096, First Avenue west of Yonge Street, etc.;

(f) By-law No. 1097, 30th Avenue West, etc.;

(g) By-law No. 1098, Brooke Avenue, etc.;

(h) By-law No. 1099, 150 feet north of Lawrence Avenue, etc.;

(i) By-law No. 1100, 150 feet southerly from Lawrence Avenue, etc.; All to detached private dwellings.

September 6th. Application and copy of each by-law filed. October 6th. Hearing, 10.30 A.M. to 12.30 P.M., at Board's Chambers.

(a) By-law to be reconsidered by Council as to stables, etc., for Country Clubs. Sunnybrook Park, used by city to be considered and By-law amended. Amendment also as to thirty acres south of Sunnybrook Park. Private stables to be allowed as outbuildings.

(b) By-law to be considered by Council to allow semi-detached houses

and exempt Englinton frontage.

(c) Council to consider restricting to 150 feet instead of 300 feet from

Yonge Street, and gift to cemetery.

(d) Council to consider allowing apartments or duplexes on Lawrence Avenue; also re Toronto Transportation Commission property and Miniature

Golf Course property.

(e) Council will consider Lot 13, Concession I west of Yonge Street, 103 acres (Sweeney Estate property). Livingstone Estate property may be sold for York Downs Golf Club purposes. Old "Golden Lion" Hotel property also to be considered by Council and two duplexes.

(f) Council to consider Wilson Avenue, both sides the same. Duplexes

on Avenue Road and Wilson Avenue.

(g) Council to consider Toronto Cricket Club expansion, etc., question of duplexes on Wilson Avenue and other streets.

(h) Duplexes to be considered by Council.

(i) Fifty feet frontage restriction to be amended where necessary to prevent hardship. Restrictions to run to Bathurst Street and apply to business fronting thereon. (Plan 1505.)

In all cases, see Reporter's Notes. Hearings adjourned to 19th November,

1930, at 10 A.M.

November 19th. Hearings continued:

- (a) 10 to 10.15 A.M. Adjourned to 10 A.M., 19th December, 1930, at Board's Chambers.
- (b) 10 to 10.20 A.M. Amending By-law No. 1172 filed. By-law No. 867 approved as amended.

(c) 10 to 10.30 A.M. Adjourned to 19th December, 1930, at 10 A.M.

Additional owners to be notified.

- (d) 10 to 10.30 A.M. Adjourned to December 19th, 1930, at 10 A.M. Additional owners to be notified.
- (e) 10 to 10.20 A.M. Amending By-law No. 1174 filed. By-law No. 1096 approved as amended.

(f) 10 to 10.15 A.M. Adjourned to December 19th, 1930, at 10 A.M. (g) 10 to 10.30 A.M. Adjourned to December 19th, 1930, at 10 A.M.

(h) 10 to 10.30 A.M. Amending By-law No. 1175 filed. By-law No. 1099 approved as amended.

(i) 10 to 10.30 a.m. Adjourned to December 19th, 1930, at 10 A.M. December 19th. Hearings continued:

(a), (c), (d), (f), (g) and (i). 10 to 10.10 A.M. At request of Counsel, Hearings adjourned to February 3rd, 1931, at 10.30 A.M.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-3034

Application by the Township of Etobicoke, under "The Township of Etobicoke Act, 1923" (Chapter 62, 13-14 Geo. V.) and amendments, for approval of its By-law No. 3632, enlarging Water Area No. 13.

September 8th. Application and material filed.

September 29th. Hearing, pursuant to Appointment, 10.30 to 10.40 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Application granted. Applicant's Solicitor to draft Order.

October 3rd. Draft Order filed.

October 3rd. Order.

September 29th, 1930.

### ORDER

Upon the Application of the said Corporation, upon reading the Notice of Application and the other material filed, and public notice of the Hearing of such application having been duly given as directed by the Board, and upon hearing Counsel for the Applicant and no one appearing in opposition to the

application.

The Board orders and certifies under and in pursuance of the provisions of the said Act being Chapter 62, 13-14 Geo. V., and amendments thereto, that the said By-law No. 3632, intituled "By-law No. 3632. A By-law of the Municipality of the Township of Etobicoke to extend or enlarge a definite section or area of the municipality known as Water Area No. 13, as established by By-law No. 3450 and enlargements thereof, by adding thereto the land hereinafter described and defined and to construct and extend the system of watermains and waterworks in Water Area No. 13 into the area hereinafter described for the benefit of the said area," be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-3045

In the matters of Sections 56 and 59 of "The Highway Improvement Act" (R.S.O., 1927, Chapter 54), and in the matter of Section 28 of "The Public Works Act" (R.S.O., 1927, Chapter 52), and in the matter of compensation to be paid to the owner, Marie Rosenfeld, in respect of certain lands, Lot 393, Registered Plan 1043, northwesterly side of the Lake Shore Road, Town of New Toronto, expropriated (and building removed therefrom) by the Department of Public Highways of Ontario.

September 12th. Reference and blueprint drawings (three) filed by the

Minister.

October 14th. Subpoena issued to claimant's Solicitors.

October 15th. Hearing, 10.30 to 11.15 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Adjourned *sine die* on question of jurisdiction and parties. (See Reporter's Notes.)

### PROCEDURE FILE A-3047

Application by The Essex Border Utilities Commission under Section 10 (2) of "The Consolidated Essex Border Utilities Act, 1929," for approval of its By-law No. 74, (\$30,000 for erection of laundry at the Metropolitan General Hospital).

September 16th. Application and material filed.

October 1st. Hearing, 9.30 to 9.45 A.M., Court House, Sandwich. Application granted.

October 3rd. Draft Order filed. October 6th. Order issued.

October 1st, 1930.

### ORDER

Upon the Application of The Essex Border Utilities Commission made to this Board at the Town of Sandwich on the first day of October, 1930, under Section 10 of "The Consolidated Essex Border Utilities Act, 1929," upon hearing read the proceedings herein and the evidence adduced, it appearing that the municipalities have been served with the copy of the Appointment herein, and upon hearing what was alleged on behalf of the Applicant, and no one appearing in opposition thereto.

The Board, under and in pursuance of Section 10 of "The Consolidated Essex Border Utilities Act, 1929," and amendments thereto, consents to the issuing by the Essex Border Utilities Commission of the debentures in the sum of Thirty Thousand Dollars (\$30,000.00) under provisional By-law No. 74, of the said Commission for the purpose of extending and improving the Metropolitan

General Hospital by erecting and establishing a laundry.

And the Board makes no Order as to costs except that the Commission shall pay \$15.00 for Law Stamps on this Order.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-3057

In the matter of the Petition of H. C. Tinline, and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the construction of an asphalt pavement, etc., on Indian Road, from Indian Road Crescent to 270 feet east, and brick block pavement from 270 feet east of Indian Road Crescent to Chelsea Avenue, in the City of Toronto.

September 18th. Petition filed.

October 14th. Hearing, 10.30 A.M.; 10.45 to 11.05 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Hearing concluded. Board directs that work not to proceed until 1st May, 1931. (See Reporter's Notes.)

October 21st. Approved draft Order filed.

October 21st. Order.

October 14th, 1930.

### ORDER

This Petition having come on for Hearing this day before this Board, in the presence of Counsel for the petitioners and for the Corporation of the City of Toronto, upon hearing read the said Petition and upon hearing the evidence adduced and what was alleged by Counsel aforesaid.

It is ordered that the said Petition be and the same is hereby dismissed subject to the condition that the work petitioned against shall not be commenced

prior to the first day of May, A.D. 1931.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-3057a

In the matter of the Petition of H. C. Tinline and others, against the construction of concrete curbing on the north and west sides of Indian Road, between the east limit of Lot 9, Plan M-345, and Chelsea Avenue, in the City of Toronto.

September 18th. Petition filed.

October 14th. Hearing, 10.30 A.M.; 10.45 to 11.05 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Hearing concluded. Board directs that work not to proceed until May 1st, 1931. (See Reporter's Notes.)

October 21st. Approved draft Order filed.

October 21st. Order.

October 14th, 1930.

### ORDER

This Petition having come on for Hearing this day before this Board, in the presence of Counsel for the petitioners and for the Corporation of the City of Toronto, upon hearing read the said Petition and upon hearing the evidence adduced and what was alleged by Counsel aforesaid.

It is ordered that the said Petition be and the same is hereby dismissed subject to the condition that the work petitioned against shall not be commenced

prior to the first day of May, A.D. 1931.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-3070

Application by the Township of York, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 10650, restricting to detached private dwelling houses and duplex houses, the district known as "Cedarvale," in the said township.

September 30th. Application and material filed.

October 21st. Hearing, 10.30 A.M. to 12.20 P.M., at the Board's Chambers. Council to consider amendment, dropping Lots 268 and 292, in ravine next to the new bridge, from residential area, and to consider the \$8,000 requirement. Hearing adjourned to 21st November, 1930, at 10.30 A.M., at Board's Chambers. (See Reporter's Notes.)

November 21st. Hearing continued, 10.30 to 11.35 A.M. Amending By-law No. 10692 filed. By-law No. 10650 approved as amended. Applicant's Solicitor

to draft Order.

November 24th. Draft Order filed.

November 24th. Order.

November 21st, 1930.

### ORDER

Upon the application of the Corporation of the Township of York, for approval of its By-law No. 10650, as amended by By-law No. 10692, upon reading the Declaration of John Harvey proving service of the Notice of Application by registered mail on all the owners affected by the said by-law

whose names appear on the last revised assessment roll of the municipality as required by the provisions of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," upon hearing the evidence adduced and what was alleged by Counsel for the Applicant and by

various property owners present or represented by Counsel.

1. The Board orders, under and in pursuance of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," that the said By-law No. 10650, intituled "A By-law No. 10650. To prohibit the erection of buildings other than detached private dwelling houses and duplex houses on any land fronting or abutting on certain defined highways in the district known as Cedarvale in the Township of York," as amended by the said By-law No. 10692, intituled "A By-law No. 10692 to amend By-law No. 10650, passed on the 25th day of September, 1930," be and the same if hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-3083

Application by the City of Kitchener, under Section 398 (2b) of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 2170, amending its Zoning Ordinance By-law No. 1834 (as amended by its By-laws Nos. 1834 and 1835), by the addition to Section 7, subsection 6, certain portions of King Street.

October 10th. Application and material filed.

November 12th. Hearing, 11.30 A.M. to 2.30 P.M., Council Chambers. City Hall, Kitchener. Application granted.

November 21st. Draft Order filed.

November 22nd. Order issued.

November 12th, 1930.

### ORDER

Upon the application of the said Corporation and upon reading the copy of each of the said by-laws and the other material filed and the Board having this day, pursuant to Appointment, at the Council Chamber, in the City of Kitchener, heard all parties interested, no one appearing in opposition to the application, although public notice of the hearing was duly given as directed by the Board.

The Board orders, under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," that the said By-law No. 2170, being a By-law to

amend the Zoning Ordinance, be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-3084

Application by the City of Kitchener, under Section 398 (2b) of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 2177, amending its Zoning Ordinance By-law No. 1823 (as amended by its By-laws Nos. 1834 and 1835), by the addition to "C," Business Districts, Section 4, the area bounded by West Avenue, Grand River Railway right-of-way and Wilmot Street.

October 10th. Application and material filed.

November 12th. Hearing, 11.30 A.M. to 2.30 P.M., Council Chamber, City Hall, Kitchener. Application granted.

November 21st. Draft Order filed.

November 22nd. Order issued.

November 12th, 1930.

### ORDER

Upon the Application of the said Corporation, and upon reading the copy of each of the said by-laws and the other material filed, and the Board having this day, pursuant to Appointment, at the Council Chamber, in the City of Kitchener, heard all parties interested, no one appearing in opposition to the Application although public notice of the Hearing was duly given as directed by the Board.

The Board orders, under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," that the said By-law No. 2177, being a by-law to amend the Zoning Ordinance, be and the same is hereby approved.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown. Chairman.

### PROCEDURE FILE A-3091

Application by the City of Toronto, under Section 398 (2b) of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 12808, repealing its By-law No. 9683 in so far as it prevents the conversion of No. 6 Crescent Road into a duplex dwelling house.

October 16th. Application and material filed.

November 13th. Hearing, 10.30 A.M.; 11 A.M. to 11.10 A.M., at the Board's Chambers. (See Reporter's Notes.)

December 15th. Hearing continued, 10.30 to 11 A.M. Adjourned sine die pending Petition for this amendment by a majority of owners on Crescent Road. (See Reporter's Notes.)

### PROCEDURE FILE A-3092

Application by the City of Toronto, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 12810, restricting to detached private residences only the land and buildings on Blythwood Road, from the rear limit of properties fronting on the east side of Yonge Street to the east end, except that portion between the rear limit of properties fronting on the east side of Mount Pleasant Road and the production northerly of the east street line of Beulah Street.

October 16th. Application filed.

November 13th. Hearing, 10.30 to 11 A.M., at Board's Chambers. By-law to be amended as desired by Toronto Board of Education. Property at corner of Yonge Street to be reconsidered with National Trust Company property. Taylor property to be considered. Hearing adjourned to 10.30 A.M., 15th December, 1930, at Board's Chambers. (See Reporter's Notes.)

December 15th. Hearing continued, 10.30 A.M.; 11 to 11.05 A.M. Adjourned

to 10th February, 1931, at 10.30 A.M., at Board Chambers.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-3094

Application by the City of Toronto, under Section 18 of "The Local Improvement Act," for approval of its By-law No. 12788, amending its By-law No. 12323, by abandoning work as to Nos. 7 and 114 Eglinton Avenue East, authorized thereunder.

October 17th. Application and material filed.

November 6th. Hearing, 10.30 to 11 A.M., at Board's Chambers. Adjourned sine die. City to apply for new Appointment of which notice to be given. (See Reporter's Notes.)

# PROCEDURE FILE A-3113

In the matter of the Petition of E. L. Laur, and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the construction of a watermain on that portion of Clarence Street, in the Village of Woodbridge, fronting on the property of the petitioners.

October 29th. Petition filed.

December 3rd. Hearing, 10.30 to 11 A.M., at the Board's Chambers. The Corporation to assume fifty per cent. of the cost.

December 3rd. Order.

December 3rd, 1930.

### ORDER

Upon the Petition of the said E. L. Laur, and others, under Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," against the extension northward of the watermains over that part of Clarence Street, in the said Village of Woodbridge fronting on their property, and the clerk of the said village having certified that the said petition was sufficiently signed and filed within the required statutory time, and the Board having this day, pursuant to Appointment, at its Chambers, heard all parties interested herein.

The Board orders, under and in pursuance of the provisions of Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act," that the Corporation of the Village of Woodbridge may proceed with such work on assuming such part of the owners' portion of the cost of the work as shall, together with the Corporation's portion of the cost under Section 23 of "The Local Improvement Act," amount to fifty per

cent. of the total cost of such work.

And the Board orders, that its tariff fee of Ten Dollars for Law Stamp on this Order shall be paid by the Corporation of the Village of Woodbridge, and charged to the cost of this local improvement work.

(Seal)

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown, Chairman.

### PROCEDURE FILE A-3114

Application by the City of Toronto, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its Restricted Area By-law No. 12833, restricting Heath Street East to detached private residences.

October 30th. Application and material filed.

December 2nd. Hearing, 10.30 A.M.; 10.40 to 11.25 A.M., at the Board's Chambers. Adjourned to February 10th, 1931, at 10.30 A.M., at the Board's Chambers, pending negotations.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-3115

Application by the City of Toronto, under Section 398 of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its Restricted Area By-law No. 12834, restricting Rosehill and Avoca Avenues to detached private residences.

October 30th. Application and material filed.

December 2nd. Hearing, 10.30 A.M.; 11.25 A.M. to 12.25 P.M., at Board's Chambers. Adjourned, pending consideration by City Council of adverse petition, to 10th February, 1931, at 10.30 A.M., at the Board's Chambers.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-3125

Application by the City of Toronto, under Section 398, subsection (2b) of "The Municipal Act," for approval of its By-law No. 12835, repealing its By-law No. 11961 (as amended by By-law No. 12163) in so far as it prevents the erection of apartment house at Nos. 40 and 42 Wells Street.

November 3rd. Application and material filed.

November 28th. Copy of amending By-law No. 12880 filed.

December 2nd. Hearing, 10.30 to 10.40 A.M., at Board's Chambers. At request of Council Hearing adjourned to 20th January, 1931, at 10.30 A.M., at the Board's Chambers.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-3151

BETWEEN:

John Douglas,

-and-

Applicant,

The Millbrook Rural Telephone Co. Ltd.,

Respondent.

(Application under Section 95 of "The Telephone Act," for an Order prescribing the terms and conditions upon which the Respondent shall furnish the Applicant with service.)

November 21st. Application and material filed.

December 8th. Hearing, 1.30 to 3 P.M., Court House, Peterborough.

December 16th. Judgment delivered. Application dismissed.

# REASONS FOR JUDGMENT

Pursuant to an Appointment issued herein the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board held a Sittings in the City of Peterborough on Monday, the

8th day of December, 1930, at one o'clock in the afternoon, when the application of Mr. John Douglas requesting the Board's permission to allow the Millbrook Rural Telephone Company, Limited, to furnish the said John Douglas with telephone service was heard.

For some time past and previous to 1929 the Millbrook Rural Telephone Company, Limited, with a Central Office at Millbrook, carried on a rural telephone business and served as telephone users some thirty-two persons in the Township of South Monaghan immediately adjoining the Township of Cavan.

Early in the year 1929, on a Petition presented to the Municipal Council of the Township of South Monaghan, the said township, acting under the authority conferred upon it by "The Telephone Act," organized and established a telephone system under the provisions of Part II of the said Act, known as "The South Monaghan Municipal Telephone System," and proceeded to set up a telephone system throughout the entire Municipality of South Monaghan. For this purpose the said municipality purchased the system theretofore giving service in the Township of South Monaghan and known as "The Russell Telephone System," with a Central Office at Bailieboro. With the desire to have but one system operating in the said Township of South Monaghan, the said Municipal System then offered to purchase from The Millbrook Rural Telephone Company, Limited, that portion of its line operating within the said Township of South Monaghan. Negotiations towards this end were carried on for some time but failed to reach a satisfactory conclusion, and the said Municipal System, or rather the Council of the Township of South Monaghan, passed a by-law under the provisions of "The Telephone Act," to expropriate all lines belonging to any other company and operating within the Municipality of South Monaghan.

Reference was made to the County Judge as the result of said expropriation to fix the price to be paid by the Municipality of South Monaghan to The Millbrook Rural Telephone Company, Limited, and that proceeding has not been as yet concluded nor has any judgment been delivered by His Honour the Judge of the County Court as to the amount to be paid by the Township of

South Monaghan in respect of the said expropriation.

This Board has been ever anxious to assist to the utmost of its ability in the establishment of Municipal Telephone Systems throughout the Province, and has only interfered to allow another company to transact business within the territorial jurisdiction of the municipal system under very especial circumstances and where no injury was being done to the telephone system established by such municipality.

Along the dividing line between two townships there is always a certain amount of inconvenience, and, perhaps, some little expense in that persons on one side of the dividing line cannot communicate with their friends on the other side of the line without passing through one or more particular switchboards and possibly without the necessity of paying a small toll. While these inconveniences exist the Board does not feel that it can very well permit the encroachment upon the territorial jurisdiction of a municipality, which encroachment might have the effect of injuring and possibly putting an end to the better development of its telephone system.

Such appears to be the case as between the Municipality of South Monaghan and The Millbrook Rural Telephone Company, Limited, and while this Board is very desirous to give to each individual that particular service which he desires, either from a social standpoint or for business reasons, yet we do not feel that we would be justified in permitting any encroachment which

would injure the proper growth and administration by the said municipality of its Telephone System.

The application of John Douglas for permission to be served by The Mill-

brook Rural Telephone Company, Limited, must therefore be dismissed.

There will be no costs save and except the fee of Ten Dollars for the issuing of the Order herein, payable by the said John Douglas.

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

Toronto, December 16th, 1930.

PROCEDURE FILE A-3155

BETWEEN:

S. D. and E. L. Sleeman,

Applicants,

—and—

A. C. Beatty, M.D. (trading as The Beatty Telephone System),

Respondent.

(Application under Section 95 of "The Telephone Act," for terms and conditions of telephone service.)

November 25th. Application and material filed.

December 8th. Hearing, 1 to 3 P.M., Court House, Peterborough. Agreement arranged between parties.

# PROCEDURE FILE A-3156

Application by the Township of Scarborough, under Chapter 88, 13-14 Geo. V; (being a special Act of the said township) for approval of its By-law No. 1751, setting apart certain defined area of the said township to be known as Water Area No. 3.

November 25th. Application and material filed.

December 12th. Hearing, 10.30 to 11.30 A.M., at the Board's Chambers. Adjourned to December 22nd, 1930, at 10.30 A.M., pending certificate *re* water by health authorities. (See Reporter's Notes.)

December 22nd. Hearing continued, 10.30 A.M.; 10.40 to 11.20 A.M. Judgment reserved, pending consideration by incoming Council. (See Reporter's Notes.)

# PROCEDURE FILE A-3173

In the matter of the application of the Corporation of the Village of Stouff-ville, under Section 16 of "The Municipal Act," for annexation thereto of part of the Township of Whitchurch (being part Lot 2, Concession IX), County of York, and part of the Township of Markham (being part Lot 34, Concession IX), County of York.

December 5th. Application, copy of Resolution and other material filed. December 22nd. Hearing, 10.30 to 10.40 A.M. Application granted. Applicant's Solicitor to draft Order.

# ANALYTICAL CLASSIFIED INDEX OF APPLICATIONS TO THE BOARD

# LIST OF APPLICATIONS RESPECTING PROVINCIAL RAILWAYS DURING 1930

Canadian Freight Classification No. 18, Supplement No. 1	Procedure File . 4170	Plan
Cornwall Street Railway Light and Power Co.—Approval siding on Second Street, immediately west of Pitt Street, City of Cornwall	. A-2933 . 4170 . A-2732 . A-2733	
Hydro Electric Power Commission of Ontario—Approval operation one-man car on its Amherstburg Division (Windsor)	. A-2740	
Yonge Street	. A-2842	,
North Yonge Street.  Richmond Hill, Village of, et al—Approval operation of one-man cars or	. A-2842	·
North Yonge Street	. A-2842	
(one Township and one County Road), Township Tudor, County of Hastings	f . A-2825	
Toronto, City of—Approval plan, etc., of Humber River Bridge, Lake Shore Road	. A-3067	605 606
Approval plans, etc., of tangent track and special track work on Bay Street, from Dundas Street to just north of College Street  Approval plans, etc., of special track work at—	A-2655	589
Adelaide Street and Bathurst Street, Hallam Street and Ossington Avenue,		
Adelaide and Church Streets, Hallam and Dufferin Streets, Lappin Avenue and Dufferin Street,		
Queen Street East and Broadview Avenue, Dundas Street curves at St. Patrick Street		590
Street, from Yonge to York Street, and Bay Street from Front Street to Lake Street	A-2725	591
Approval special track work, Bathurst Street at Wolseley Street	A-2786	593
Approval special track work, College and Bay Streets	A-2914	596
Avenue	A-2925	597a & 597b
Approval tangent track, Eglinton Avenue, from Yonge Street to Mount Pleasant Road	A-2802	594
Approval special track work, Front Street at Simcoe Street	A-2986	599
Approval special track work, Gerrard Street and Carlaw Avenue	A-3005a	600
Approval special track work, Gerrard Street, Carlaw Avenue to Pape	A-3005b	601
Approval realisment of track work, Yonge Street, between Carlton and College Streets	A-3012	602
Approval realignment of tracks through subway on Gerrard Street, from Carlaw Avenue to Pape Avenue	A-3036	603
Approval rearrangement of tracks on College Street, from Bay Street west to St. George Street	A-3041	604

	Procedure File	Plan
Approval special track work:  (a) Dundas and Elizabeth Streets,		
(b) Louisa and Elizabeth Streets,		
(c) Louisa and Bay Streets,		
(d) Louisa and James Streets, (e) Albert and Elizabeth Streets,		
(f) Albert and Bay Streets,		
(g) Albert and James Streets	A-3089	607
Approval rehabilitation of tracks on Dundas Street between Victoria and	A-3150	608
George Streets.	N-3130	008
Approval proposed temporary cross-over, Lansdowne Avenue, over C.P.R. tracks	A-3176	609
Vaughan, Township of, et al-Approval operation of one-man cars on North		
Yonge Street	A-2842	
York, Township of—Approval plans of abutments and superstructure, Eglinton	4 0000	<b>#</b> 00
Avenue bridge over Canadian National Railway	A-2939	598

(Note:-Abbreviation "I.C." means Irregularities cured; Abbreviation "I" means Irregularities Not Cured).

AFFLICATIONS TO THE BOARD FOR VALIDATION OF DI-LAWS UNDER SECTION 304 OF THE MUNICIPAL ACT

	RAILWAY AND MUNICIPAL BOARD FOR 1930 131	
Procedure File	A-2477 A-2967 A-3061 A-3062 A-3062 A-3077 A-2635 A-2635 A-2635 A-2636 A-2636 A-2636 A-2636 A-3180 A-3180 A-3181 A-2979 A-3000 A-3181 A-2979 A-2982 A-2982 A-2982 A-2982 A-2982 A-2982 A-2982	
Amount	\$1,800 00 70,000 00 93,000 00 724,000 00 (I.) 1,060 00 (I.) 1,060 00 (I.C.) 25,000 00 4,978 84 7,954 83 10,338 27 (I.C.) 17,833 94 (I.C.) 17,833 94 (I.C.) 17,833 94 (I.C.) 19,775 00 (I.C.) 40,600 00 (I.C.) 540,000 00 (I.C.) 30,000 00 40,000 00 (I.C.) 540,000 00 (I.C.) 540,000 00 (I.C.) 36,695 00 (I.C.) 27,000 00 (I.C.) 27,000 00 (I.C.) 5,500 00 (I.C.) 6,300 00 (I.C.) 6,300 00 (I.C.) 5,500 00 (I.C.) 6,300 00 (I.C.) 5,500 00 (I.C.) 39,699 58 (I.C.) 39,699 58 (I.C.)	
Purpose	Fire Engine.  High School purposes.  Sewer System.  Sewage Disposal Plant.  Local Improvements—Drain.  Extension of Municipal Telephone System.  Extension of Municipal Telephone System.  Local Improvements—Pavements  Storm Sewer.  Storm Sewer.  Storm Sewer.  Local Improvements—Pavements and Sewers  Suburban Roads.  Local Improvements—Pavements  Local Improvements—Pavements  Local Improvements—Sewers, etc.  Local Improvements—Sewers, etc.  Local Improvements—Pavements  Local Improvements—Sewers, etc.  Completion of erection and equipment of Collegiate Institute and Vocational School  ed) Waterworks Extensions, etc.  Local Improvements—Permanent Roads and Pavements  Local Improvements—Permanent Roads and Pavements  Local Improvements—Permanent Roads and Pavements  Local Improvements—Sewers, etc.  Local Improvements—Sewers,  Local Improvements—Sewers,  Local Improvements—Sewers,  Local Improvements—Sewers.  Local Improvements—Sewers.	
By-law No.	460 455 456 457 468 48 (1929) H 780 (amended) I 774 (amended) I 912 925 (Con.) S 925 (Con.) I 2943 (Con.) I 2943 (Con.) I 645 (Con.) I 2177 (amended) V 2246 (Con.) I 1018 (Con.) I 1029 (Con.) I 1045 (Con.) I 1045 (Con.) I 1045 (Con.) I 1046 (Con.) I 1046 (Con.) I	
Municipality	Alexandria, Town of Almonte, Town of Alliston, Town of Alliston, Town of Alston, Town of Alston, Town of Blanshard, Township of Beverley, Township of Beverley, Township of Brampton, Town of Brampton, Town of Brampton, Town of Brackville, Town of Bridgeburg, Town of Carleton Place, Town of Dryden, Town of Duryden, Town of Duryden, Town of Durdas, Town of Dundas, Town of Beast York, Township of East York, Township of	

# APPLICATIONS TO THE BOARD FOR VALIDATION OF RV.I AWS HNDER SECTION 304 OF "THE MINICIPAL ACT"

A-3022	A-3085	A-3086	A-3087	A-3158	A-2741	A-3187	A-3188	A-3200	A-3201	A-2661	A-2723	A-2724	A-2822	A-2823	A-2838	A-2839	A-2840	A-2934	A 3105	A-3136	A-3148	A-2772	A-2778	A-2793	A-2813	A-3080	A-3090	A-3120	A-3128	A-3145	A-3154	A-2605	A-2731	A-2831	A-2975	A-3023	A-3147	A-3202	A-2699	A-2991	A-2992
40,000 00 (I.C.)	27 (1.	31 (L	00	33 (I.	00 (I.		00 (T)	00 (T)	00	35 (I.	00 (I.	00 (I.	00 (I.	39,010 00	.T.:	11,600 00 (I.C.)	: 38	38	36,000 00 (1.C.)			140,353,58	00 (I.		28 (I.	05	000 000 (I.	610 56 (I.	000	16 (I.	$421 \ 31 \ (1.$	.T) 00 000	94 000 00 (T C.)	30	741	776 45 (I.	190,000 00 (I.C.)	j.	30	17.500 00 (I.C.)	000 000 (I.
Balance of Highway Construction for 1929.	Water Main.	Water Main	0		Public School purposes	S		Local Improvements—Sewers		Improvements—		Improvements—	Local Improvements—	Local Improvements—	Local Improvements—Bridge	Local Improvement—	Water works Systems	Fytongions in Flortrical Area No. 1	, ,-	' '	Local Improvements—Sanitary Sewers.	Local Improvements—Pavements	Road-making Machinery	Collegiate Institute and Technical School purposes	Local Imp	Local Improvements—Sidewalks	Public School purposes	Local Improvements—Sewers.			Doct Improvements—Favements	County's share of cost of Construction of Provincial	1928–9	Highway Construction	Local Improvements—Pavements and Storm Drains	Local Improvements—Pavements, etc	Guarantee of Hospital		County's share of cost of Provincial Highways in 1020	Doses	Public School purposes
540	200	10/	18		(1930)	50		41	01		59 (Con.)			58 (Con.)		1002 (000 00 dod)		4	861(amended)	7	S	-	7	11		(Con.)	00 <u>1</u>	Ç.		<i>y</i> c	_	(1929)		4(amende	1348	S		4(amended)	. 0	· —	2
Ind Addington, County of	Township of	٠	٠	London, I ownship of	Swinship of	Lown of	Town of								North Vorly Township of				4			53	_		7	Oakville, Town of				Orangeville Town of		Peel, County of 733	٥	•		٠		Rainy Rivar Town of		Rockcliffe Park, Village of 71	Rockcliffe Park, Village of

66		Procedure File	A-3009 A-2641 A-2644 A-2644 A-2644 A-2644 A-2644 A-2644 A-2682 A-2736 A-2737 A-2811 A-2812 A-2812 A-2916 A-3025 A-3025 A-3126 A-3126 A-3126 A-2610 A-2126 A-3033 A-3033 A-3033 A-2611
304 OF "THE MUNICIPAL ACT"	ot Cured)	Amount	41,740 20 (I.C.) 90,000 00 259,439 00 (I.C.) 29,938 24 (I.C.) 4,000 00 (I.C.) 35,770 24 (I.C.) 11,000 00 (I.C.) 19,428 70 36,935 68 149,034 65 (I.C.) 30,682 43 (I.C.) 30,682 43 (I.C.) 35,280 42 (I.C.) 35,280 42 (I.C.) 35,280 42 (I.C.) 35,280 40 (I.C.) 35,280 40 (I.C.) 42,408 40 (I.C.) 42,408 40 (I.C.) 42,408 40 (I.C.) 438,907 40 (I.) 996,172 99 (I.C.) 90,172 99
OF BY-LAWS UNDER SECTION —Continued	(Note:—Abbreviation "I.C." means Irregularities Cured; Abbreviation "I." means Irregularities Not Cured)	aw Purpose	78 (Con.) Local Improvements—Drains, etc.  1173 (amended) School purposes.  10002 (Con.) Local Improvements—Savers and Watermains  432 (1930) Local Improvements—Savers and Watermains  1275 Local Improvements—Savers  1275 Local Improvements—Pavement, etc.  1276 Local Improvements—Pavements  1721 Local Improvements—Pavements  1722 Local Improvements—Pavements  1723 Local Improvements—Pavements  1734 Local Improvements—Pavements  1735 Local Improvements—Pavements  1736 Local Improvements—Pavements  1737 Local Improvements—Pavements  1738 Local Improvements—Pavements  174 (amended) Repairs, etc., to Casgrain Drain  175 Local Improvements—Pavements  176 Construction of McImnis Bridge  177 Construction of McImnis Bridge  177 Remodelling of Savage Disposal Plant  178 Electrical Extensions, Area No. 1  178 Electrical Extensions of Pavements  178 Electrical Extension of Pavements  178 Electrical Extension of Rire System  178 Reconstruction of Rodes, Bridges and Culverts  178 Reconstruction of Rodes, Bridges and Culverts  178 Local Improvements—Ornamental Street Lighting  178 Ecoal Improvements—Roadways  179 Local Improvements—Roadways  178 Local Improvements—Pavements  178 Local Improvements—Pavements
DARD FO	ation "I.C	By-law No.	78 1173(2002 1998 1998 1275 1275 1775 1735 1735 1735 1735 1735 1735 17
APPLICATIONS TO THE BOARD FOR VALIDATION	(Note:—Abbrevia	Municipality	Rockcliffe Park, Village of Sudbury, Town of Sandwich, Town of Sandwich, Town of Sandwich, Township of Sandwich East, Township of Sandwich East, Township of Stamford, Township of Stamford, Township of Scarborough, Township of Sandwich East, Township of Sandwich East, Township of Sandwich, Township of Sudbury, City of Sudbury, City of Toronto, City of Whitby, Township of Windsor, City of Windsor, City of Windsor, City of Windsor, City of Whartley, Yownship of Wheatley, Village of York, Township of

		NAIL	VVAX	ANL	) 1V	IUN	VIC.	IFAI	> D(	JAKI	) 1	UK	1930	
Procedure File	A-2714	A-2835 A-3193 A-3178 A-2872	A-2846 A-3015	A-3031 A-2634 A-2843	A-3051 A-3052	A-2994 A-2747	A-2796 A-2876	A-2966 A-3024	A-2660 A-2959	A-2963 A-2996 A-3029	A-3069	A-2629 A-2669	A-2961 A-2962 A-3134 A-2749	
Amount	\$41,250 00	25,000 00 20,000 00 100,000 00 5,000 00						6,000 00 25,000 00 25,000 00					1,433,000 00 228,000 00 233,000 00 20,000 00	
Purpose	Improvements to Hydro-Electric SystemAdditional cost of Extensions and Improvements to Gas	Works Waterworks Extensions Extensions to Hydro-Electric Power Distribution System Waterworks purposes		Hydro-Electric Extensions	Hydro-Electric Extensions	Extension of Gas Mains	Hydro-Electric Improvements, etc	Waterworks Extensions	Waterworks Improvements and Electric Power System Hydro-Electric Extensions.	Waterworks Extensions, etc	Completion of Sewage Disposal Plant.	Waterworks Extensions, etc. Electric Extensions in Area No. I.	Hydro-Electric Extensions	
By-law No.	2220 2946	686 2359 P-144	3993 4022 560	561 942 1839	1084 1877	2022 1340	1044	741 83 (1930) 89 (1930)		1208 3924 1209	358 3356	12464 1088	12737 12844 1347	
Municipality	X Brantford, City of	Burlington, Town of	Hamilton, City of. Hamilton, City of. Hespeler, Town of.	Hespeler, Town of Niagara, Town of Niagara Falls, City of	Niagara Falls, City of	Oshawa, City of Pembroke, Town of	Preston, Town of	Penetanguishene, Town of Port Colborne, Town of Port Colborne, Town of	Rainy River, Town of Scarborough, Township of	Strathroy, Town of St. Catharines, City of Strathroy, Town of	Swansea, Village of Stratford, City of	Toronto, City of Toronto, Township of		

# MISCELLANEOUS MATTERS

ANNEXATIONS	_
	Procedure File
Weston, Town of	A-2685
Toronto, City of	A-2730
Leaside, Town of Annexation to of easterly portion of Bayview Avenue, between Moore Avenue and Eglinton Avenue, Town-	A-2730
ship of East York	
Hamilton, City of	A-2885
2, Con. III (Petition V. Duffin)	A-2893
2, Con. II and III (Petition Ed. J. Cooper, et al)  McIrvine Township of Annexation to of part Indian Reserve No. (I), District	A-2941
Rainy River (Petition M. A. Malone, et al)  Stouffville Village ofAnnexation to of part Lot 2, Con. IX, Township	A-2985
Whitchurch, County of York	A-3173
ARBITRATIONS	
Department of Public Works and Highways, vs. Colonel F. O. Burgess—Compen-	
sation re lands taken (0.7 acres, Lot 3, Con. 11, Township Zorra, County of Oxford)	A-2853
for lands taken (Lot 393, registered plan 1032, northwest side of Lake Shore Road Town of New Toronto), removal of building	A-3045
Niagara Parks Commission vs. Thos. Burke—Compensation re expropriation of part Lot 10, Township of Willoughby, County of Welland	A-3096
Compensation for removal of poles and lines on south side of Richmond Road, between Island Park Driveway and Village of Westboro'	A-3142
York	A-3170
ASSESSMENT APPEALS	Procedure
Green, Caleb, vs. City of Ottawa (property)	File A-2608
Bridgeburg, Town of, vs. International Bridge Co., and Canadian National Railways (International Bridge)	A-2997
BILLS (FINANCIAL)	
(Under Rule 72 of the House)	
Thorold, Town of       Bill No. 16 of 1930         Deseronto, Town of       Bill No. 36 of 1930         Perth, Town of       Bill No. 28 of 1930         Almonte, Town of       Bill No. 40 of 1930	A-2662 A-2672 A-2707 A-2708
BRIDGES—RELIEF FROM REBUILDING	
(Section 469 (9) of "The Municipal Act" Chapter 233, R.S.O. 1927)	
Toronto, City of—Huntley Street Bridge, on Huntley Street, north of Bloor Street. Plympton, Township of—Bridge over Bear Creek, at Lots 27 and 28	A-2900 A-3203

# DETACHMENT OF FARM LANDS FROM TOWN OR VILLAGE

(Section 21 of "The Municipal Act," Chapter 233, R.S.O. 1927)

Procedure File

Port Perry, Village of—Detachment from of farm lands of Thomas Stewart, et al, and annexation of same to Township of Reach.....

A-2659

# EXTENSION DEBENTURE ISSUE PERIOD

(Section 296 (11) and (12) of "The Municipal Act," Chapter 233, R.S.O. 1927)

Municipality		w Purpose	Amount	Procedure File
	No.			rne
Windsor, City of	3912	Local Improvement, Ornamental	\$10.006.7E	A-2609
		street lighting	\$19,906 75	A-2616
Windsor, City of		Purchase of land for park purposes.	685,442 00	A-2010
Beverley, Township of	774 (as		7.054.02	A 2622
	amended)	Drainage purposes	7,954 83	A-2633
Owen Sound, City of	416	Extension to Hospital	50,000 00	A-2658
East York, Township of	1266	Sewer construction	4,620 00	A-2767
Oshawa, City of	1810	Pavilion in "Lakeview Park"	17,000 00	A-2781
Sandwich, Town of		Local improvement, ornamental	44 625 02	4 0700
,		street lighting	11,635 02	A-2799
London, City of	5181	Purposes of London Railway Com-	101 000 00	A 0004 -
	(amended)	mission	101,000 00	A-2804a
London, City of	6891	Collegiate Institute	17,000 00	A-2804b
	(amended)			4 2004
London, City of		School site	14,400 00	A-2804c
	(amended)			4 2004 1
London, City of		War Memorial Hospital	50,000 00	A-2804d
20114011, 0117, 0117, 0117	(amended)			
London, City of		Waterworks extensions	85,000 00	A-2804e
20114011, 010, 01111111	(amended)			
London, City of		Purposes of Public Utilities Com-		4 00046
Zondon, Crey Creek	(amended)	mission	100,000 00	
London, City of		Collegiate Institute	35,000 00	
London, City of		Equipment for Collegiate Institute.	25,000 00	
London, City of		School improvements	12,000 00	A-2805c
London, City of		Purposes of London Railway Com-		
London, City of		mission	350,000 00	A-2805d
London, City of	8320	Provincial Highway construction	201,803 18	
London, City of		Waterworks extensions	12,000 00	
London, City of		Waterworks extensions	48,000 00	A-2805g
London, City of		Bridge over Thames River at Ridout		
Edition, City of	, 0010	Street	75,000 00	
London, City of	8015	Addition to Home for Incurables	75,000 00	A-2805i
London, City of		Purposes of London Health Associa-		
London, City or	, 0100	tion	25,000 00	A-2805j
London, City of	5157	School	29,000 00	
London, City of		Sanitary trunk sewer	50,000 00	A-28051
Belleville, City of		Extension, etc., to gas works	185,000 00	A-2836
Napanee, Town of		Waterworks System	70,000 00	A-2840b
Napanec, Town of	(amended)			
Belleville, City of		Extension to gas works	185,000 00	A-2836a
Westminster, Twp. of.		Drainage work	17,324 00	
York, County of	4-4-0-0	Construction East York-Leaside	,	
Tork, Country of	, 1000	Viaduct	100,000 00	A-3001
Grantham, Twp. of	. 507	Water Supply System	12,382 50	
Oakville, Town of		Local Improvement, pavement	22,500 00	A-3079
Oakville, Town of	Annual An	Local Improvement, sidewalks	6,500 00	
North Bay, City of		Bridge and Street construction	36,000 00	
Troitin Day, City of	(amended)			
Tarentorus, Twp. of		Electric Power Distribution System	16,000 00	A-3184
raicitorus, rwp. or	(amended)		,	
Midland, Town of		Local Improvement, sewers	13,000 00	) A-3200a
wildiand, Town Or	. 1411			

# EXTENSION OF TIME TO PASS BY-LAWS

(Section 288 (5) o	of "The N	Municipal Act''—Chapter 233, R.S.	S.O. 1927)	
Municipality	By-law No.	Purpose	Amount	Procedure File
Fort Frances, Town of. Gananoque, Town of Gananoque, Town of Hamilton, City of Hamilton, City of Hamilton, City of 3 Hamilton, City of	702 Pu 942 Pu 859 Pe 861 Fir 929 Sto 930 Po 931 Fir 262 Au	rchase of power and electric discribution plant rchase of lands for park purposes rmanent pavements re fighting equipment re fighting equipment re Station re Station re Station re Station re Station re Station re fighting agreement re acquisition of portion of Metropolitan Division of Toronto & York Radial	\$26,000 00 5,000 00 20,000 00 22,000 00 412,000 00 160,000 00 75,000 00	A-2667 A-2746 A-2783 A-2784 A-2896 A-2897 A-2898
Port Hope, Town of 1 Midland, Town of 1 Huntsville, Town of	184 Wa 393 Bri 216 Per 508 Ere	Railway	44,140 00 7,000 00 15,000 00 11,300 00 40,000 00	A-2927 A-3053 A-3118 A-3132 A-3149 A-3152
	HI	GHWAYS—NARROW		
(Section 490 (2)	of "The	Municipal Act"—Chapter 233, R	a.S.O. 1927)	
Municipality	By-law	Highway		Procedure
York, Township of	No. 10480	Extension of Amherst Street at	width of 50	File
Medora & Wood, Twps. of.		feet Opening of Hammill's Point Roa	id at width	A-2664
York, Township of	10496	of 36 feet	idth of ap-	A-2706
Oshawa, City of Oshawa, City of Oshawa, City of Oshawa, City of	2009 2010 2011 2012	proximately 50 feet	th of 49' 6" th of 53' 9" th of 50' varying 50'	A-2713 A-2777a A-2777b A-2777c
Oshawa, City of	2013	to 60' Extension of Olive Avenue at wice	th varving	A-2777d
Forest Hill, Village of	443	from 49' 6" to 55'		A-2777e
Peterborough, City of	<del></del>	bourne Avenue at width of 60' Extension of Queen Street from Sir		A-2844
Toronto, City of		to C.P.R. freight sheds at widt Widening of St. Nicholas Street	h of 48′ 4′′	A-2895
Hamilton, City of	4066	Avenue to 126' northerly at wid Establishment, etc., of Universit from Hamilton-London Highwa	th of 40'	A-2904
		Street, at width of 41'	······	A-3003
	HIGH	HWAYS—SUBURBAN		
	(Esta	blishing, Closing, Etc.)		
(Section :	12 of "Th	ne Planning and Development Act	.''')	
Family 17'11 True		pter 236, R.S.O. 1927)		
Forest Hill, Village of  McKellar Townsite Co.,Ltd.	443	Opening of Glenayr Road norther, bourne Avenue at width of 60	feet	A-2844
Townsite Co.,Ltd.		Act"), Ottawa—Closing portion	and Titles	
Malden, Township of		Diversion of River Front Road f limit of Town of Amherstburg to	rom south	A-2926
		Sideroad		A-2349a

### INTEREST INCREASE BY-LAWS

(Approval of, under Section 300 of "The Municipal Act")

(Chapter 233, R.S.O. 1927)

Deber	1-	nterest			
Municipality ture By-l	aw Purpose I	ncrease	Rate	Amount Pr	cocedure
No.		By-law	,		File
St. Catharines, City of . 3807	Street paving	. 3938 ·	4½% to 5%	\$30,000 00	A-2643
St. Catharines, City of . 3832	Carlton Street sewer.	. 3939	do	10,000 00	A-2644
St. Catharines, City of . 3842	Public School purposes	s 3940	do	110,000 00	A-2645
Haileybury, Town of 714	High and Technica	1			
	School purposes	. 752	5% to 6%	55,000 00	A-3066
Tarentorus, Twp. of 283	Electric Power Distri	-			
*	bution System	. 303	$5\%$ to $5\frac{1}{2}\%$	16,000 00	A-3185

### INTEREST DECREASE BY-LAWS

(Approval of under Section 300 of "The Municipal Act")

(Chapter 233, R.S.O. 1927)

		(Chapter 200)				
	Debentur	Purpose	Interest	n .	A ( D	. 1
Municipality	By-law No.	Purpose	Decrease By-law	Rate	Amount P	rocedure File
London, City of	5181 L	ondon Railway C	lom-		*	
		mission	. D-32-100 5	½% to 5% do	\$101,000 00 17,000 00	
London, City of London, City of	6891 C	chool Site	D-34-101	do	14,400 00	
London, City of	6291 W	Var Memorial			50,000,00	A 0002.1
London, City of		Hospital Vaterworks Exter		6% to 5%	50,000 00	A-2803d
London, City of	0100 V	sions		do	85,000 00	A-2803e
London, City of	6043 P	ublic Utilities Co		do	100,000 00	A 2803f
Napanee, Town of	1092 V	mission Vaterworks Syste		6% to 5%	70,000 00	
Brantford, City of		C.N.R. Subway	at			
Durational City of	2177 V	West Street Vaterworks Exter		5% to 4½%	75,000 00	A-3107
Brantford, City of	2111 V	sions, etc		do.	540,000 00	A-3108
Toronto, City of	12746 E	Extensions to Ele	ctric	FOT 4 . 41/07	1 122 000 00	A 2122
Bradford, Village of.	548 V	Distribution Sys Vaterworks System	tem. 12845 m 556	5 % to 4 ½ % 5 ½ % to 5 %	40.000 00	A-3142 A-3146
Bradford, Village of.	548 V	Vaterworks Syste	m 559	do	40,000 00	A-3146a
		LEGISLATION	N (SPECIA)	L)		
Township of York (	Fire Areas	) Amalgam	ation of La	mbton Fire	Area No. 2	
-		and H	umbercrest I	Fire Area No.	8 (By-law	A 0700
Ottawa, City of		10509)- Distribut	—(P. 595)	of widenin	g. etc., of	A-2782
Ottawa, City of		Beechv	vood Avent	ie (that pai	rt forming	
				ween City an		A-2800
Port Colborne, Villa	ge of, and V		ew)			A-2000
of Humberstone		Settleme		differences un		A 0000
Essex Border Utilitie	as Commis	ment	petween Par Ry-law No.	rties	of laundry	A-2890
Essex Dorder Othitic	co Commis	to Met	ropolitan G	eneral Hospit	al	A-3047
			•	•		

### LICENSE FEE

(Section 411 (9) of "The Municipal Act") (Chapter 233, R.S.O. 1927)

St. Catharines, City of—Approval By-law 3970—Bicycle licenses...... A-3099

# LOCAL IMPROVEMENTS—OBJECTIONS AGAINST (Section 6 of "The Local Improvement Act")

(Chapter 235, R.S.O. 1927)

Toronto, City of Petition of E. N. Saunders, and others, against extension	
of Jarvis Street	A-2945
Toronto, City ofPetition of Annabel Sommerville, and others, against	
extension of Jarvis Street	A-2974

### LOCAL IMPROVEMENTS—PETITIONS AGAINST

(Section 8 of "The Local Improvement Act") (Chapter 235, R.S.O. 1927)

Municipality	Petitioners	Work	Procedure
Forest Hill, Village of	.R. E. Laidlaw, et al	.Extension of Glenayr Avenue to	File
		connect with Dewbourne Ave. Extension of Glenayr Avenue to	A-2628
		connect with Dewbourne Ave. Construction of pavement on	A-2629
	· A MOON II. IXIIIQI · · · · ·	Keene Street between Milverton	1 2620
Port Stanley, Village of	.F. B. McLaughlin, et al	Blvd. and north City limit Extension of Edith Cavell Blvd	A-2630 A-2654
		Sewer on Queen Street between Thurber Street and Lorne Street	A-2703
		Type of pavement on Bay, Austin, West Bay and Brock Streets.	A-2720
Annerstonig, Town of	. A. Maricle, et al	Pavement from Gore Street to south limit of Government Dock	
Guelph, City of	.Wm. Boles, et al	—thence to south limit of Town.  Pavement on King Street from	A-2742
		Eramosa Road to Arthur Street. Pavement on King Street, from	A-2744
		Arthur Street to Eramosa Road Pavement on Mills and Strange	A-2745
		Streets, from London Road to	A-2748
Windsor, City of	Acek Davis, et al	Widening of London Street, from Victoria Avenue to Alley west	
Ottawa, City of	D. C. McLaren (M.D.).	of Bruce Avenue	A-2760
		from Elgin Street to Kent Street .Widening of Laurier Avenue, west	A-2801
		from Bank Street to Kent Street Paving of Laurier Avenue, west	A-2806a
Ottawa, City of	D. M. McDougall, et al	from Bank Street to Kent Street Pavement on east side of Bronson	A-2806b
		Avenue from Gladstone Avenue	A-2807
Ottawa, City of	Mrs. I. Graham, et al	Pavement on Somerset Street West, from Bay Street to Bron-	11-2001
Ottawa, City of	N. D. Porter, et al	son Avenue	A-2808
		from George Street to St.	A-2824
Toronto, City of	S. K. Hisey, et al	Sidewalk on south side of Glen- wood Avenue from Fairview	11-2021
Kitchener, City of	Amelia Asmussen, et al.	Avenue to Kennedy Avenue Pavement on Albert Street, south	A-2841
		from Courtland Avenue to Mill	A-2847
riammon, City or	wm. Hay, et al	StreetSidewalk and curb on Cumber- land Avenue, between Graham	11-2017
Hamilton, City of	Wm. Hay, et al	and Wexford Avenues	A-2848a
		between Graham and Wexford Avenues	A-2848b
			11-20100

# LOCAL IMPROVEMENTS—PETITIONS AGAINST—CONTINUED

Municipality	Petitioners	Work	Procedure File
		Sidewalk south side of Putman Avenue from Springfield Road to Chapleau Avenue Sidewalk on north side Putman	A-2864
		Avenue from Champlain Ave. to Beechwood Avenue Concrete walk on Glendonwynne Road, west side, from north limit Lot 240, Plan M. 135 to Glenlake Avenue	A-2865 A-2878
Toronto, City of	E. D. Gooderham, et al	Pavement, etc., on Teddington Park Avenue, easterly limit of Riverview Drive to easterly limit of Teddington Park Avenue	A-2881
Toronto, City of	St. Clair Investments,	<u> </u>	
North York, Township	of P. Mulholland, et al	Extension of Church Street Watermain on Dufferin Street from north limit of Plan 1928 to 20th Avenue West	A-2884 A-2891
Ottawa, City of	Erskine, Smith & Co., Ltd., et al	Distribution of cost of pavement on George Street between Cumberland Street and King Edward Avenue	A-2913
Ottawa, City of	Barrett Bros., et al	Distribution of cost of pavement on Bank Street from Gladstone Avenue to point 32' north of Arlington Avenue	A-2918
Guelph, City of	Lucy Johnston, et al	Pavement on Strange Street from Clarence Street to Clark Street	
Ottawa, City of	E. McMahon, et al	Sidewalk east side of Sweetland Avenue between Somerset and Templeton Streets	A-2937
Hamilton, City of	Thos. Lees, et al	. Pipe sewer on Main Street between Hess and Queen Streets	A-2940
Belleville, City of	Barton Asselstine, et al.	Pavement on North Front Street from Moira Street to C.N.R. Bridge	A-2953
Toronto, City of	et al	"Jarvis Street Extension"	A-2978
Ottawa, City of	T. J. Drummond, et al.	Sidewalk on 5th Avenue, south side (Lots 13, 14, 15 and 16), proposed division of cost	A-2988
Hamilton, City of	C. A. Birge Estate, et al	.Asphalt Roadway, Mountain Blvd between James and John Streets	A-3050
Toronto, City of	H. C. Tinline, et al	Asphalt pavement on Indian Road from Indian Road Crescent to Chelsea Avenue	A-3057
Toronto, City of	H. C. Tinline, et al	Curbing north and west sides of Indian Road between Lot 9, Plan M, to Chelsea Avenue	A-3057a
Hamilton, City of	Westdale Properties, Ltd	.Asphalt roadway, University Entrance Street between Hamilton & London Highway and King	
Woodbridge, Village o	fE. L. Laur, et al	Street	
Sandwich, Town of	P. M. Mullen, et al	.Water Main on Euclid Avenue and McKee Road	

### LOCAL IMPROVEMENTS—PART ONLY OF WORK

(Section 18 of "The Local Improvement Act") (Chapter 235, R.S.O. 1927)

Municipality	Amending By-law	Original By-law	Procedure File
Windsor, City of	39542	3893 ·	A-2617
Toronto, City of	12484	11985	A-2693
Toronto, City of	12485	11798	A-2694
Toronto, City of	12486	11921	A-2695
Toronto, City of	12497	12318	A-2721
East York, Township of	1849	1660	A-2771
Toronto, City of	12652	12494	A-2877
Scarborough, Township of	1708	1664, 1665, 1662,	A-2882
		1689 and 1696	A-2882
York, Township of	10586	9825	A-2938
Fort William, City of	3158	3043	A-2980
Fort William, City of	3159	3018	. A-2981
Swansea, Village of	335	153	A-3035
Toronto, City of	12788	12323	A-3094
Rockcliffe Park, Village of	93	92	A-3106
Toronto, City of	12863	12318	A-3162
Tweed, Village of	352	326	A-3168
York, Township of	10699	10684	A-3190

# LOCAL IMPROVEMENT—DEVIATION IN COURSE OR LOCATION OF HIGHWAY

(Section 19 of "The Local Improvement Act") (Chapter 235, R.S.O. 1927)

Toronto, City ofAmendment to By-law 12478—Diversion, etc., of lane	
between Brock and Margeuretta Streets, north of	
Bloor Street	A-2886
Toronto, City ofAmendment to By-law 12658—Widening of College	
Street, from Bay Street to a point 199 feet 10 inches	
east of St. George Street	A-2903

# LOCAL IMPROVEMENTS—APPORTIONMENT OF COST

(Section 26, subsection (3), of "The Local Improvement Act") (Chapter 235, R.S.O. 1927)

	(Chapter	200, K.S.O. 1927)	
Municipality 1	By-law No.	Purpose	Procedure File
Sandwich, Town of	1989	Paving of Sandwich Street, from Rose-	
Hanover, Town of	444	dale Avenue to Detroit Street	A-2483a
Hanover, Town of	456	Payement on Durham Street	A-2679
Owen Sound, City of	506	Pavement on Durham Street Pavement on 16th Street East	A-2680
Brockville, Town ofB. 1		d avenient on form Street East	A-2709
	в. 1673	Pavements on East Market Street,	
·		Church Street, Pearl Street and Garden	
Cobourg, Town of 141	3. amended	Street	A-2687a
b	y 1432	Pavement on College Street	A-2758
Cobourg, Town of 141		Dy	11-2750
Calcara Tarana f	7 and 1432	Pavement on University Avenue	A-2758a
Cobourg, Town of 141	5, amended		
Cobourg, Town of 141	y 1432	Pavement on Ontario Street	A-2758b
cobourg, fown of 141	by 1432	Payament on Pall Chart	4 0550
Cobourg, Town of 141	9, amended	Pavement on Ball Street	A-2758c
	by 1432	Pavement on Chapel Street	A-2758d
Sandwich, Town of	2027	Widening of pavement on Huron Church	A-2/30U
		Line Road, from Mill Street to north	
		limit of Lot 44, Plan 1139	A-2779

# LOCAL IMPROVEMENTS—APPORTIONMENT OF COST—Continued

Municipality	By-law No.	Purpose	Procedure File
Southampton, Town of	785	Paving of Albert Street	A-2832
Ottawa, City of	6837	Pavement on Bronson Avenue, from	A 0050-
Ottawa, City of	6855	Gladstone Avenue to Powell Avenue Pavement on Bronson Avenue, from	A-2858a
Strawa, City of	0000	Powell Avenue to O. I. C. Driveway	A-2858b
Ottawa, City of	6838	Pavement on Pretoria Avenue from Bank Street to O. I. C. Driveway	A-2859
Brampton, Town of	940	Concrete sidewalks on Beech and other	
W	0.60	Streets	A-2942
Wiarton, Town of	268	Roadway on Frank Street and other Streets	A-3032
Kincardine, Town of	979, 982, 983,	Streets	11-3032
,	996, 997 & 998	Pavements	A-3046a,
			b, c, d, e and f
Ottawa, City of	6960	Asphalt pavement on Main Street, from	4 20 7 4
Zinggali an The Color	077	Hawthorne Avenue to Havelock Ave.	A-3054
Kincardine, Town of Ottawa, City of	977 6997	Pavement on Queen and other Streets Resurfacing pavement on Carling Avenue	A-2419a
Strawa, City of	0771	from Bank Street to Percy Street	A-3141
Oshawa, City of	2041	Pavement on portion of Simcoe Street	A-3186
Midland, Town of	1210, 1233, 123	6,	
	1239 & 1240	Local Improvements—Pavements	A-3188a

# "THE NORTHERN FIRE RELIEF ACT, 1923"

# (Chapter 7)

Haileybury,	Town	of	Increase	in ta	x rate	to 60	mill	s on the	Doll	ar for	purpose	
											Bank	A-2729

# "THE ONTARIO RAILWAY AND MUNICIPAL BOARD ACT"

(Chapter 225, R.S.O. 1927)

Scarborough, Township of, vs. Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Toronto (Toronto	
Electric Commissioners) and Rayner Construction Co.—Complaint re alleged	
contravention of Section 50 (3) of "The Power Commission Act"	A-3143

# PARKS—SETTING ASIDE PART OF FOR ATHLETIC PURPOSES

(Section 12 of "The Public Parks Act")

(Chapter 248, R.S.O. 1927)

Bala, Town of—Part of present Public Park for covered rink, building, etc A-20	604
Hamilton, Board of Park Management of City of—Approval By-law No. 12, lands	
described in Schedule "A" thereto, "Woodlands Park," 6.12 acres	657
Kitchener, Board of Park Management of City of—Approval By-law No. 21—Part of "Victoria Park" for swimming pool	837
of "Victoria Park" for swimming pool	031
of "Woodside Park"	990

# REPEAL OF MONEY BY-LAWS AS TO RESIDUE NOT RAISED

(Section 301 (2) of "The Municipal Act")

(Chapter 233, R.S.O. 1927)

Municipality	Original By-law		Debentures Authorized		Residue not required	Procedure File
Gananoque, Town of Galt, City of Tarentorus, Township of	861 2786 283	Fire alarm system Waterworks extensions Electric Power Distribution System	\$22,000 00 18,000 00 16,000 00	882 2822 303	\$6,000 00 4,484 00 4,000 00	A-3129 A-3159 A-3183

# RESTRICTED AREAS—APPROVAL OF BY-LAWS ESTABLISHING

(Section 398 of "The Municipal Act")

(Chapter 233, R.S.O. 1927)

	(Ch	apter 233, R.S.O. 1927)	
Municipality	By-law No.	Area Restricted	Procedure File
Toronto, City of		Either side of Whitehall Road, part Summer- hill Avenue, part Douglas Drive, Gregory	
Ottawa, City of	6796	Avenue and Highland Avenue  Monkland Avenue to detached private	A-2603
Forest Hill, Village of	436	dwellings	A-2673
Ottawa, City of	6821	645E, Toronto, to detached and semi- detached residences	A-2689
•		Main Streets and Riverdale Avenue	A-2795
Toronto, City of	12604	"Oriole Parkway District," to detached private residence or single duplex	A-2809
Toronto, City of	12621	St. Clair Avenue West, north side, from Avenue Road to Walmer Road, south side	
		to 357 St. Clair Avenue West, to detached residence or single duplex	A-2814
Burlington, Town of	676	Areas defined by By-law to private residential	
Nepean, Township of	1105	Area and purposes defined in By-law	A-2817 A-2845
Forest Hill, Village of		Area bounded by Burton Road, Dewbourne	. A-2043
Toront IIII, village of	107	Avenue, Spadina Road and Bathurst Street	A-2851
Ottawa, City of	6839	Holland Avenue, etc.—Portion of "Elmdale"	A-2860
Ottawa, City of	6840	Ward	A-2861
Toronto, City of		Avenue Road, from Roselawn Avenue to	
Nelson, Townshp of	896	Briar Hill Avenue	A-2875
Kingston, City of	31 (1030)	tial propertyAreas defined in By-law, to private residen-	A-2901
Kingston, City of	31 (1930)	tial property	A-2972
York, Township of	10650	"Cedarvale" district, to detached private	A-3070
Ottawa, City of	6988	Area bounded by Maple Lane, Lambton Road, Rideau Terrace and Butternut	
Toronto, City of	12810	Terrace.	A-2861a
North York, Township of		Portion of Blythwood Road Bayview Street, etc.	A-3092 A-3030a
North York, Township of	867	Bayview Street, etc.	A-3030a A-3030b
North York, Township of	868	20th Avenue East	A-3030c
North York, Township of	869	150' east of Yonge Street, in northerly limit	11 00000
		of City of Toronto	A-3030d
North York, Township of	1096	First Concession west of Yonge Street	A-3030e
North York, Township of North York, Township of	1097	30th Avenue West—"Armour Heights"	A-3030f
North York, Township of	1098 1099	Brooke Avenue, etc	A-3030g
North York, Township of	1100	150' north of Lawrence Avenue	A 3030h A-3030i
Toronto, City of	12892	Area bounded by Howard, Wellesley, Bleecker	
Toronto City of	12022	and Untario Streets	A-3161
Toronto, City of		Heath Street East.	A-3114
Loronto, City Or	12004	Rosehill and Avoca Avenues	A-3115

# REPEAL, OR AMENDMENT, OF RESTRICTED AREAS

Approval of By-laws repealing (in part) or amending By-laws providing for Restricted Areas (Section 398 (2b) of "The Municipal Act")

Municipality	Amendir	ng Original	Area Affected	Procedure
Stratford, City of	3315	2740	Part Lot "F," Canada Company's	File A-2624
Kitchener, City of	2134	1823, 1834 & 1835	Addition to Division "B," Light In-	A-2024
London, City of	C-P-2-4	9 8702-27	dustrial Districts, Sec. 3, Lot 13, Reg. Plan 334	A-2649 A-2668
Ottawa, City of			No. 1	A-2674
Stratford, City of Ottawa, City of		5997	Lot 90, Canada Company's Survey. Blocks "A," "B" and "C," north side Carling Avenue, plan 71960.	A-2681 A-2702
Kitchener, City of		2126, amending 1		11 21 02
	į.	and 1835	Area described in By-law (Par. No. 31)	A-2769
Kitchener, City of	2145	1823, 1834 and 1835		A-2770
tratford, City of	3339 3341	2740 2740	Addition to Par. 41 of Schedule "A" Addition of Duke Street to Schedule	A-2773
East York, Township of	1859	1243 (ame		A-2774
		by 1347)	Addition to Clause 1 (b) of Lots 19 and 20, Plan 704, at northeast and northwest corner of Aldwych and	
Coronto, City of Coronto, City of Kitchener, City of	12641	11389 11474 1823, 1834	Woodycrest Avenues  No. 130 Hammersmith Avenue  No. 16 Rowanwood Avenue	A-2810 A-2854 A-2855
attending City of	2101	and 1835	Addition of Business District to Sec. 1, Division "C"	A-2857
Coronto, City of Coronto, City of tratford, City of	12685	9651 9495 2740	No. 185 Cottingham Street No. 108 Heath Street West Part Lot 12, Park Lot 459, Canada	A-2889 A-2894
tratford, City of	3349	2740 and 3051	Company's Survey	A-2915
ondon, City of tratford, City of		1 8702-27 2740	"A," part Lot 98 (See A-1578) Addition of Duke Street to Schedule	A-2916
orest Hill, Village of			"A" Extension of Business and Apart-	A-2928
ondon, City of	C-P-4-26	6 9021-28	ment House districts on portion of Spadina Road	A-2936 A-1984a
oronto, City of	12760	4469, 6109	Property on Bloor Street east of	21 27010
Coronto, City of			Walmer Road Property at 110 Bedford Road	A-2952 A-2955
Coronto, City of			North of Roselawn Avenue and west of Rosewell Avenue and its pro-	A-2958
Coronto, City of	12992 and 13 <b>0</b> 4		North of Roselawn Avenue and west of Rosewell Avenue and its pro-	
Citchener, City of		1823, 1834	duction	A-2958a
itchener, City of	2177	1823, 1834	Addition of portions of King Street.	A-3083
		and 1835	Bounded by West Avenue, Grand River Railway right-of-way and	A 2004
oronto, City of	12809	9683	Wilmot Street No. 6 Crescent Road	A-3084 A-3091

# REPEAL, OR AMENDMENT OF RESTRICTED AREAS—CONTINUED

Municipality	Amending Original Area Affected By-law By-law	Procedure File
Toronto, City of		A-3125
Kitchener, City of	2187 1823, 1834 and 1835 Addition of "Business" Districts Section 1, Division "C"	to A-3194
Toronto, City of	12930 11309 Land between Nos. 94 and 100 Ty	A-3209
Toronto, City of	1 4	

# SEWAGE AND SEWAGE DISPOSAL WORKS (Section 95 of "The Public Health Act") (Chapter 262, R.S.O. 1927)

Gabel, Wm., and Edwin, vs. Town of Waterloo—Damage re operation of sewage disposal plant at creek flowing into Grand River at Village of Bridgeport....

A-3081

# SINKING FUNDS—APPROVAL OF BY-LAWS FOR INVESTMENT OF

(Section 317 of "The Municipal Act")

(Chapter 233, R.S.O. 1927)

Municipality		Debenture	Purpose	Amount	Procedure
•	Fund By-la	aw By-law			File
Brockville, Town of	В. 1666	B. 1665 St	uburban Road Construction	\$9,782 50	A-2716
Galt, City of		2787 C	ollegiate Institute purposes	23,000 00	A-2923
Galt, City of			Vaterworks purposes		
, 3		(amended)	<u> </u>		

## TAX RATE

### APPROVAL FURTHER DEBT, ETC., WHERE RATE TOO HIGH

(Section 306 (2) of "The Municipal Act") (Chapter 233, R.S.O. 1927)

Municipality	By-law No.	Purpose	Amount	Procedure File
Glencoe, Village of		Waterworks System	\$85,000 00	A-2651
	61 (1930)	Storm Sewers	143,000 00	A-2704
Port Colborne, Town of	62 (1930)	Sewage System	207,000 00	A-2705
Burlington, Town of	657	High School Purposes	77,000 00	A-2794
York, Township of		Local Improvements—Pavements,	, , ,	
		sewers and watermains	191,330 00	A-2834
Port Carling, Village of		Highway Construction	17,500 00	A-2831a
(70)	amended)			
Thorold, Town of	1057	Consolidation of floating indebted-		4 00 10
Cananagua Town of	050	ness	55,000 00	A-2849
Gananoque, Town of		Permanent pavements	20,000 00	A-2719a
Gananoque, Town of	(amended		22,000,00	A 2050-
	(amended)	Fire fighting equipment	22,000 00	A-2850a
York, Township of	10547	Pavements, etc	349,777 00	A-2907
	(etc.)	* Continues, Comments, Com	349,111 00	A-2901
Cochrane, Town of	395	Extension to Telephone System	. 19 000 00	A-2909
(	(amended)	of the state of th	17,000 00	11 2707
Scarborough, Township of	1732	Waterworks purposes, Areas 1, 2,		
C 1 1 m		4, 5 and 6 (pumps)	.11,000 00	A-2995a
Scarborough, Township of	1733	Extension to Filtration Plant—	,	
Company Diago Town	1000	Water Areas 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6.	66,000 00	A-2995b
Carleton Place, Town of	1029	Waterworks Extensions, etc	27,000 00	A-2999

	Т	AX RATE—Continued		
Municipalitp	By-law No.	Purpose	Amount	Procedure
York, Township of	10646	Local Improvements—Pearen Ave. Watermain, etc Purchase of Weigh Scales	\$41,320 50 3,600 00	File A-3044 A-3060
Port Hope, Town of York, Township of	1416	Sewer on Mill & Ward Sts Grading Mossom Road and Hum- bercrest and Humberview Blvd.	14,520 00 30,000 00	A-3065 A-3071
Gravenhurst, Town of Hagersville, Village of Oakville, Town of	P. 144 369 817	Waterworks purposes	5,000 00 30,000 00	A-3095 A-3101
Port Hope, Town of Port Hope, Town of Dover, Township of	1393 1414 171	Fire protection Bridge over Generaska River Fire Truck County, Township and General	10,000 00 7,000 00 8,500 00	A-3104 A-3118a A-3119
York, Township of		School purposesLocal Improvements — Sewers.	90,376 06	A-3123
Port Arthur, City of South Plantagenet, Town-	1967	Dundas Street, etc	438,550 00 190,000 00	A-3124 A-3147a
ship ofYork, Township of	150	McInnis Bridge, construction of. Water Mains, St. Clair Avenue, etc	18,000 00 22,311 00	A-3160a A-3189
F	POLICE '	VILLAGES—FORMATION OF		
(Section	ons 514 (	3) and 517 of "The Municipal Act	.'')	
	(C)	hapter 233, R.S.O. 1927)		
Kakabeka Falls—Formatio (Petition of George Br	n of port	ions of Townships Oliver and Paipo others)	oonge into—	A-2791
		WARDS		
	Divis	SION OF CITIES, ETC., INTO		
	(Section	44 of "The Municipal Act")		
		napter 233, R.S.O. 1927)		
Fort Frances, Town of—D	ivision in	to 6 Wards		A-2879
		WATERWORKS		
Fothicalta Township of	Α	WATERWORKS		
Etobicoke, Township of	Ann	roval By-law 3488—Setting aside vo. 15	Υ	A-2670
Oshawa, City of	$\dots$ Appi	roval By-law 1998 (\$24.200)—Cons	struction of	A-2671
Essex Border Utilities Con	nmis-	ater Main (under By-laws 1962 and	1 1976)	A-2686
	25 of	of Windsor vs. Town of Walkervi opeal from Report of Engineer (file th, 1930), re proposed enlarging and Commission's filtration plant	d February d extending	4 0740
Etobicoke, Township of		Commission's filtration plant roval By-law 3494—Enlarging W		A-2712
Nepean, Township of	Appi	coval By-law 3494—Enlarging W b. 7 coval By-law 1096—Establishing V	Vater Area	A-2727
Nepean, Township of	Appr	oval By-law 1097—Establishing S	Sewer Area	A-2751
	N	2	acci inca	A-2752
Nepean, Township of		o. 2	OTTO MOO	A-2753 A-2754

WATERWORKS—CONTINUED

WATERWORKS—CONTINUED	Procedure
Etobicoke, Township of Approval By-law 3490—Enlarging Water Area	File
Etobicoke, Township ofApproval By law 3544—Enlarging Water Area  Etobicoke, Township ofApproval By-law 3544—Enlarging Water Area	A-2757
Etobicoke, Township ofApproval By-law 3545—Enlarging Water Area  Etobicoke, Township ofApproval By-law 3545—Enlarging Water Area	A-2868
Etobicoke, Township of Approval Bylaw 3546—Enlarging Water Area	cA-2869a
Etobicoke, Township of Approval By-law 3546—Enlarging Water Area No. 14	A-2869b
Etobicoke, Township of Approval By-law 3549—Emarging Water Area  No. 15	A-2870
Etobicoke, Township of Approval By-law 3547—Enlarging Water Mean No. 16	A-2871
Scarborough, Township of Approval By-law 1733 (\$66,000.00)—Extensions in Water Areas 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6	22
Etobicoke, Township of Approval By-law 3632—Enlarging Water Area No. 13	
Etobicoke, Township of Approval By-law 3631—Enlarging Water Area No. 7.	27 000
Thorold, Township of Approval By-law 19 (1930) (\$27,854.00)—Site and construction of Water System in Area No. 1	11 00124
Scarborough, Township of Approval By-law 1751—Setting aside Water Area No. 3	A-3156
WEIGH SCALES AND WEIGHING OF COAL, ETC.	
(Section 400 of "The Municipal Act")	
(Chapter 233, R.S.O. 1927)	
York, Township of—Approval By-law 10607—To establish a Public Weighing	y
Machine	A-2977
WORKS ORDERED BY DOMINION AND ONTARIO RAILWAY BOA	RDS
(Section 297 (2) (f) of "The Municipal Act")	
(Chapter 233, R.S.O. 1927)	
Chapleau, Township of—Reconstruction of bridge over Canadian Pacific Railway	y . A-3013
PLANS OF LAND SUBDIVISIONS	
Approved by the Board under "The Planning and Development Act," "The Land Titles Act" and "The Registry Act."	,
	Procedure
Owner Description Ackerman, JohnPart Lot 16, Block 9, Plan 24B, Village of Delhi	File i,
Co. Norfolk	A-2627
("Central Park") and Lot 13, etc., Reg. Plant No. 26, all in Village of Humberstone, Count	n

No. 26, all in Village of Humberstone, County

A-2743

A-2614

A-2615

A-2626

# PLANS OF LAND SUBDIVISIONS—CONTINUED

Owner	Description	Procedure File
Campbell, Alex	Part Lot 4, Con. IX, Township Hamilton,	
Crystal Park Co., Ltd		A-3137
Dyment, Albert E	Township Bertie, County Welland	A-3204
Fulton, A. M., et al	County Victoria	A-3171
Goodison, John, Thresher Co	County Victoria	A-3153
boodison, john, Thresher Co	and part of H. of Registered Plan 14, City	A 0640
Grondin, Phileas, et al	of Sarnia, County Lambton	A-2618
Goodfellow, W. G	Tilbury North, County Essex	A-3131
	Lot, Con. IX, Township Innisfil, County Simcoe.	A-3205
Hastings, A. T., and Harold	Part Lot 6, Con. VII, Township Innisfil, County	
Hancock, F. E		A-3100
Humphrey, W. G. F	ship of Pellatt, District Kenora	A-3195
ves, Georgina S., et al	Victoria	A-3199
	County Essex	A-2993
	Part Lot 4, Con. XV, Township Sunnidale, County Simcoe	A-2620
	Part Lot 16, Con. I, Township Gosfield South, County Essex	A-2696
Cent, Willis	Part Lots 33 and 34, Con. IX, Township Lindsay, County Bruce	A-2905
Cingsville Park Board	Part Lot 3, Con. I, Western Division, Township Gosfield South, and part Reg. Plan 598, all	22 = 200
	in Town of Kingsville, County Essex (Ceme-	A 2007
awrason, John Wilkie		A-2987
Iartin, H. J	County Wentworth, Town of Dundas Part Parcel No. 1799, A.W.S., Township No.	A-2619
Iessier, Louis	28, Range 24, District of Algoma	A-2666
	Township Baxter (unorganized) District Mus-	A-3011
Iiles, Theo	koka (Land Titles Act)	A-3011
	(north riding), Village of Sutton, Township Georgina, County York	A-3130
	District Temislaming (Land Titles Act)	A-3175
	Amendment to plan of part Lot 25, Con. IX,	A-2821
akes, Harry	Part Lot 108, Township Stamford (resub-	II WOMI
workalt Uager	division of), part Reg. Plan No. 45, Township Stamford, County Weland	A-3021
	Part Lot 17, Broken Front Concession, Town- ship Charlotteville, County Norfolk	A-3075
	Part Broken Lot 19, Con. VI, Township Tiny, County Simcoe	A-2826
reston, Geo	Lots 12 and 13, Con. IV, Township Whitchurch, County York.	A-3028
atten, Martha J	Block 76, Plan 376, being part Farm Lot 282,	11-0020
wagnalla II	Talbot Road North, Town and County Essex.	A-3074
uesnelle, Henry	Part Lot 19, Con. 13, Township Tiny, County SimcoePart Lots 91 and 92, Con. I, Township Col-	A-2718
	Charter South Country Facer	A-2806
	Part Lots 6 and 7, Con. V, Township Cane,	A-2935
helswell, Geo	Part Lots 26 and 27, Con. VII, Township Oro,	
	County Simcoe	A-2612

# PLANS OF LAND SUBDIVISIONS—CONTINUED

PLANS OF LA	AND SUBDIVISIONS—CONTINUED	
Owner	Description	Procedure File
	Part Plan M. 482, Morningside Avenue to Ormskirk Avenue and part Plan 2445, ex- tension southerly to Queen Street, both in Village of Swansea	A-2910
St. Catharines Securities, Ltd	Part Lot 11 of 40 acre Block, Reg. Plan 73A; parts Lots 11 to 16 (incl.) Wingrove Plan, Registered No. 99; Part Lot 29, Metaweb Subdivision, Reg. No. 119, City of St.	A 00.65
Smith, Robt. Home	Catharines	A-2965 A-3110
Toronto, City of	Village of Swansea, Township York Plan of Sections 25, 30, 55, 58, 61, 64, 83, 104, 84, 105, 106, 107, 111, 112, 113 and 118 of its	
Turnbull, Gordon	General Plan (P. 592)	A-2755
,	Township Hay, County Middlesex Part Lot 68, Con. I, Township Whitchurch,	A-2820
	Part Lot "A," East River Ruscomb Concession,	11-2100
	Resubdivision of part Registered Plans 683 and	A-2007
,	652, City of Hamilton, County Wentworth. Part Township Lot 31, Con. I, Township Wain-	A-2874
,	fleet, County Welland (Registry Act)	A-2954
	County Lambton	A-2950
	County Lambton	A-2931
wanacc, John E	London	A-3068
	ATIONS TO THE BOARD UNDER TELEPHONE ACT"	
Ardoch Rural Telephone System Brown, A. L., et al, vs. Home Tele	.Increased charges for service	A-3167
Beatty, A. C. (M.D.)—"Beatty Tele		
phone System" vs. Fred Currelly et al	.Complaint as to service	. A-261;
phone System	. Approval agreement for interchange of service with Rankin Telephone Company, et al	
Bromley Telephone Co., Ltd	Approval agreement for interchange of service with Rankin Telephone Company, M.L.Davis	e
Brougham & Grattan Telephone Co	Approval agreement for interchange of service with Rankin Telephone Company, M.L.	e
Bon Echo Long Distance Telephon	Davis	A-2652
LineBromley, Township of	Approval By-law 418—Use of highways to The Pine Valley & Douglas Telephone Co., Ltd	e  . A-276
Blyth Municipal Telephone System	9 (1914), 8 (1915), 8 (1916), 7 (1917), 8 (1918) 5 (1920), and 6 (1921) of Village of Blyth.	, , A-2787a .b, c, d, e, f
Bagot & Blythfield, Townships of	6 (1919)	s ls
Bangor, Wicklow & McClure, Twps. of	. Approval By-law 340, granting use of highway	
	to Forestry Branch of Department of Land & Forests of Ontario	ls

# LIST OF APPLICATIONS TO THE BOARD UNDER "THE TELEPHONE ACT"—Continued

"THE TELEPHONE ACT"—CONTINUED	
	Procedure File
illings Mutual Telephone Co., Ltd. Approval By-law 1—Control and management of its undertaking	A-2960
lyth Municipal Telephone System. Approval By-laws regulating control and management of	A-3006
ell Telephone Co. of Canada, Ltd. Approval purchase by Commissioners for Tele- phone System of Township Waterloo of	
certain telephone plant and equipment ennett, E. E. (Whitestone & Deer Lake Telephone Line) vs. A. M.	A-3014
Robertson, et al	A-3026
LtdApproval purchase from by Commissioners for Watt Municipal Telephone System of certain telephone plant in Township of Stephenson. yron Telephone Co., LtdAuthority to expend portion of depreciation re-	A-3037
serve fund on new construction and extensions ell Telephone Company of Canada,	A-3072
LtdAuthority to Municipality of Flos to purchase	A 2070
certain telephone plant and equipment from illings Mutual Telephone Co., Ltd. Increased charges	A-3073 A-3003
phone System vs. S. D. & E. L. SleemanTerms and conditions of telephone service	A-3155
urpee, Township of	A 2166
romley, Township of	A-3166 A-3197
urrelley, Fred, et al, vs. A. C. Beatty, M.DComplaint as to Service	A-2613
ormac & Eganville Telephone Co., LtdApproval agreement for interchange of service	A-2013
with Rankin Telephone Co., et allarence Telephone Co., LtdApproval By-law 38, governing use and opera-	A-2621
tion of system; also amendment to By-laws 13 and 41avan, Township of, vs. Edward	A-2653
Dawson, et alExtension of telephone system of Municipality of South Monaghan into Township of Cavan	A-2734
onn Telephone Co., Ltd., vs. Anson Kirkness, et al	A-2785
udmore, A. E., vs. Wm. Frayne (Thames Road Telephone System). Parallelling of pole leads of Municipality of	
Tuckersmith for service to applicant lergue, et al, unorganized Twps. of Use of highways or road allowances in, by	A-2797
Northern Telephone Co., Ltd hapleau, Township of	A-2816
Telephone System, Ltd	A-2902
by 397), improvements to its Municipal Telephone System	A-2908
control and management of its undertaking. ultus & Marston Telephone Co.,Ltd.Approval By-law 341, Township of North Wal-	A-2989
singham—Use of certain highways to  Iltus & Marston Telephone Co., Ltd. Approval By-law, Township of Houghton, use	A-3139
of certain highways to	A-3140
enison, Merrill	
one No. 9 G.I. metallic circuit between Kaladar and Northbrookawson, Edward, et al., vs. Town-	A-2688
ship of Cavan Extension of telephone system of Municipality of South Monaghan into Township of Cavan	A-2734

LIST OF APPLICATIONS TO THE BOARD UNDER "THE TELEPHONE ACT"—Continued	Procedure File
Dysart, et al, United Townships of . Approval of By-law No. 834—Debenture issue re extensions to Dysart Municipal Telephone System	A-2943
Devitt, et al., unorganized Townships of highways to Northern Telephone ComofUse of highways to Northern Telephone Com-	A-3004
Darling, Township of	A-3038
Douglas, John, vs. Millbrook Rural	A-3151
Evergreen Telephone CompanyApproval of agreement for interesting service with the Rankin Telephone Company  (M. L. Davis)	A-2652a
East Middlesex Telephone Co., Ltd. Service to Thomas McVey Erie Telephone Company, Ltd Approval sale of plant, etc., to Southern Ontario Telephone Co., Ltd	A-2738 A-2798e
Erie Telephone Company, LtdLiquidation of, authority to Southern Untario	A-2827
East Ferris, Township of	A-2021
Telephone Act''	A-2863
Ernesttown Rural Telephone Company, Ltd., vs. Fred Sills, et al Terms and conditions of service and parallelling of pole lead of Perry Telephone System	A-3017
East Luther Telephone Co., Ltd., vs.  Municipal Corporation of Village of Grand Valley	A-3018
tures to liquidate portion of principal and interest due re debentures for establishment of Municipal Telephone System  Foster Bros. Telephone Co., Ltd Approval of agreement for interchange of service with The Rankin Telephone Company (M.	A-3174
L. Davis)	A-2652d
and Northbrook  Forestry Branch of Department of Lands & Forests (Ont.)Approval By-law 267 of Townships of Nipissing,	
use of certain highways to  Forestry Branch of Department of Lands & Forests (Ont.)Approval By-law 394 of Township of Bagot	:
Frayne, Wm(Thames Road Telephone System), A. E. Cud- more vs. parallelling of pole leads of Munici-	
pality of Tuckersmith, service to A. E. Cudmore	A-2797
Lands & Forests (Ont.)Approval By-law 446 of Township of Harvey granting use of certain highways to  Forestry Branch of Department of	
Lands & Forests (Ont.)Approval By-law 340 of Township of Bangor, Wicklow and McClure, granting use of high- ways to	
Forestry Branch of Department of Lands & Forests (Ont.)Approval By-law 571 of Township Darling— Use of certain highways to	
Flos, Municipality ofAuthority to purchase certain Telephone plant and equipment from Bell Telephone Com-	t
pany of Canada, Limited  Fort William, City ofRevision of charges for Telephone service and	1
Goderich Rural Telephone Company, system of collections	. A-311
Limited Expenditure of \$5,000.00 of depreciation reserve fund for new construction and extensions.	

Procedure

# LIST OF APPLICATIONS TO THE BOARD UNDER "THE TELEPHONE ACT"—Continued [unicipal Telephone] Extension of Debenture By-law No. 70

File Gosfield North Municipal Telephone System..... Extension of Debenture By-law No. 703 of Township of Gosfield North..... A-2788 Grand Valley, Village of vs. East A-3018 A-2607 A-2621 Hyndford & Douglas, Telephone Co.. Approval Agreement for interchange of service with the Rankin Telephone Company (M. L. A-2652 Davis). to Forestry Branch of Department of Lands A-2815 & Forests of Ontario..... Huron & Kinloss Municipal Telephone System......Investment of \$4,000.00 of depreciation Reserve Fund in Trustee debentures of Huron and Erie Mortgage Corporation.....

Home Telephone Company, Limited Terms, etc., of service to A. E. Ramsey, and parallelling of pole leads of Sunderland Tele-A-2930 phone Company, Limited, etc..... A-3016 Houghton & Bayham Telephone A-3043 the Cultus Marston Telephone Company, A-3140 Limited . . . Ingersoll Telephone Company, Ltd. . . Expenditure of \$5,662.72 of depreciation reserve fund in new construction and additions to Johnson, Municipality of .......Approval Agreement for interchange of service with the Municipality of Macdonald, Mere-A-2678 A-2761 A-2762 A-2785 not exceeding \$4,500.00 to meet cost of metallic circulating lines of the Laird Municipal Telephone Company......Laird Municipal Telephone System..Authority to pass by-law of Municipality of A-2637 Laird for issue of debentures not exceeding \$4,500 to meet cost of metallic circulating lines of..... A-2637 Lightning Telephone Company, Limited......Approval Agreement for interchange of service with the Rankin Telephone Company (M. L. A-2652f Davis)..... Leeds and Frontenac Rural Telephone Company, Limited......Increased charges..... A-2710 Langton Telephone Company, Limited......Approval Agreement for interchange of service with the South Walsingham Telephone Com-A-2763 pany, Limited..... Lands and Forests Department of Ontario, Forestry Branch......Approval By-law 267, Township of Nipissing, A-2764 use of certain highways to..... Lands and Forests Department of Ontario, Forestry Branch.......Approval By-law 394 of Township of Bagot A-2789 and Blythfield, use of certain highways to... Lands and Forests Department of Ontario, Forestry Branch.......Approval By-law 446, Township of Harvey, granting use of certain highways to..... A-2815

A-2798a

A-2816

A-2818

LIST OF APPLICATIONS TO THE BOARD UNDER

Norfolk County Telephone Company,

Northern Telephone Company, Limi-

Northern Telephone Company, Limi-

"THE TELEPHONE ACT"—Continued	D .
	Procedur File
London Township Municipal Tele-	1 IIC
phone SystemAuthority to Township of London to pass de- benture By-law for purchase of central office for applicant	A-288
Lands and Forests Department of	
Ontario, Forestry BranchApproval By-law 340 of Township of Bangor, Wicklow, McClure, granting use of highways to	A-2892
Lands and Forests Department of	
Ontario, Forestry BranchApproval By-law 571 of Township of Darling—	A-303
Lyons, Frank	A-316
Mississippi Telephone Company,	
LimitedAuthority to expend portion of depreciation reserve upon liquidation of indebtedness re reconstruction	A-263
Moore, Township of	
Macdonald, Meredith and Aberdeen portions of its Municipal Telephone System.	A-267
Additional, Municipality of Interchange of service with Municipality of	A-276
Mount Forest, Wellington and Grey	11 270
Telephone Company, Limited Paralleling of lines of by Conn Telephone Company, Limited, to furnish service to Anson	A 070
Maidstone, Township of	A-278.
Martin, Frank, et alSale of Oldfield Telephone Line to Thomas	A-281
Mount Albert Telephone Company,  Herbert Nicholl	A-2919
LimitedInvestment of \$1,000.00 of depreciation reserve	
Magnetewan Municipal Telephone fund in City of Hamilton Bond	A-2929
System	A-2940
272 donord of Larry County Telephone	A-3008
Company, Limited	
Murray, Township of	A-3027
Macdonald Telephone Company, Limited	A-3102
Limited	
Millbrook Rural Telephone Company, Company, Limited	A-3102
Limited vs. John Douglas Terms, etc., of service	A-3151
l elephone System	A-3167
	A-3169
Limited	
Nipissing, Township of with the Rankin Telephone Company, et al.  Approval By-law 267—Use of certain highways to Forestry Branch of Department of Lands	A-2621
Norfolk County Telephone Company	A-2764

Limited......Approval sale of plant, etc., to the Southern
Ontario Telephone Company, Limited.....

ted......Expenditure of \$35,000.00 of depreciation fund

on new construction and additions.....

# LIST OF APPLICATIONS TO THE BOARD UNDER "THE TELEPHONE ACT"—Continued

Procedure File Norfolk County Telephone Company, Limited......(Liquidation of)—Authority to Southern Ontario Telephone Company, Limited, to issue shares to-for purchase of certain properties, A-2827 etc..... Northern Telephone Company, Limi-A-2899 A-2917 ted......Use of highways in unorganized Townships of A-3004 to the Cultus and Marston Telephone A-3139 Omemee Telephone Company, Limited......Authority to issue shares of capital stock to an amount not exceeding \$2,750.00 to share-A-2642 holders..... Oldfield Rural Telephone Line.....Sale of to Thomas Herbert Nicholls...... A-2917 Pine Valley and Douglas Telephone A-2765 Use of highways to..... Pine Valley and Douglas Telephone force—Use of certain highways to..... A-2766 People's Telephone Company, Limi-A-2887 Northern Telephone Company, Limited.... A-2899 Perry Telephone System.......Paralleling of pole lead by Ernesttown Rural Telephone Company, Limited...... A-3017 Rankin Telephone Company (Leslie Davis)......Approval Agreement for interchange of service A-2621 with the Rankin Telephone Company, et al. A-2621 Raglan, Municipality of . . . . . . . . . Approval Agreement for interchange of service with the Rankin Telephone Company, et al . A-2621 Rankin Telephone Company (M. L. Davis)......Approval Agreement for interchange of service with the Hyndford and Douglas Telephone A-2652 Company, Limited..... Rankin Telephone Company (M. L. . Approval Agreement for interchange of service Davis).... A-2652a with the Evergreen Telephone Company... Rankin Telephone Company (M. L. Davis).....The Upper Admaston Telephone Company,
Limited—Approval Agreement for interchange of service with....... A-2652b Rankin Telephone Company (M. L. Davis)......The Bromley Telephone Company, Limited-Approval Agreement for interchange of ser-A-2652c vice with..... ted-Approval Agreement for interchange of A-2652d service with. Rankin Telephone Company..... The Brougham & Grattan Telephone Company, Limited—Approval Agreement for inter-change of service with..... A-2652e Rankin Telephone Company.....The Lightning Telephone Company, Limited..
Ramsey, A. E.....Terms and conditions of service to, by Home
Telephone Company, Limited, in Village of A-2652f A-3016 Udora.....

#### LIST OF APPLICATIONS TO THE BOARD UNDER "THE TELEPHONE ACT"—CONTINUED Procedure File Robertson, A. M., et al. vs. E. E. Bennett (trading as Whitestone and Deer Lake Telephone Line).....Complaint as to service...... A-3026 Rox Telephone Company, Limited... Approval By-law 468, Township of Ross—Use of A-3196 highways to..... Rox Telephone Company, Limited... Approval By-law 423, Township of Bromley— Use of highways to..... A-3197 Ross, Township of . . . . . . . . . . . . . Approval By-law 468—Use of highways to Rox Telephone Company, Limited..... A-3196 Somerville Municipal Telephone System..... Extension of time to pass debenture By-law for A-2606 Sherwood, Municipality of ...........Approval Agreement for interchange of service with the Rankin Telephone Company, et al. A-2621 Somerville, Township of . . . . . . . . Approval By-law 834—Debentures to meet cost of establishing the Somerville Municipal A-2640 Telephone System..... South Monaghan, Municipality of-Edward Dawson, et al. vs. Township of Cavan..... Extension of Telephone System of Municipality of South Monaghan into Township of Cavan. A-2734 South Walsingham Telephone Company, Limited......Approval Agreement for interchange of service with the Langton Telephone Company, Ltd. A-2763 Southern Ontario Telephone Company, Limited......Approval of sale to, of plant, etc., of the Norfolk County Telephone Company, Limited..... A-2798a South Norfolk Telephone Company, Limited...... Approval sale of plant, etc., to the Southern Ontario Telephone Company, Limited..... A-2798b Southern Ontario Telephone Company, Limited......Approval sale to, of plant, etc., of the Erie Telephone Company, Limited..... A-2798c Southern Ontario Telephone Company, Limited ...... Authority to issue shares to liquidator of Norfolk County Telephone Co., Ltd., Erie Telephone Co., Ltd., and South Norfolk Telephone Co., Ltd., for purchase of properties of said companies..... A-2827 South Norfolk Telephone Company, Limited (liquidation of)......Authority to Southern Ontario Telephone Co., Ltd., to issue shares to, for purchase of certain properties, etc..... A-2827 Sunderland Telephone Company Lim-Company, Limited..... A-3016 Sills, Fred, et al, vs. Ernesttown Rural Telephone Company, Limited......Terms and conditions of service and consent to paralleling of pole lead of Perry Telephone Ŝystem.... A-3017 Stephenson, Township of . . . . . . Approval By-law No. 681—Granting use of certain highways to the Muskoka & Parry Sound Telephone Company, Limited . . . . . A-3027 South Elderslie Telephone Company, A-3111 Beatty, M.D......Terms and conditions for telephone service.... South Elderslie Telephone Company, A-3155 stock..... A-3208 Tyendinaga Municipal Telephone System..... Tarbutt & Tarbutt Additional, Muni-... Terms, etc., to Jas. V. Walsh for use of poles... A-2697

with Municipality of Johnston.....

A-2762

#### LIST OF APPLICATIONS TO THE BOARD UNDER "THE TELEPHONE ACT"-CONTINUED Procedure File Thames Road Telephone System . . . . Paralleling of pole leads of system of Municipality of Tuckersmith—Service to A. E. A-2797 Cudmore..... Tuckersmith Municipal Telephone A-2797 Cudmore..... Upper Admaston Telephone Company, Limited......Approval Agreement for interchange of service with the Rankin Telephone Company (M. L. A-2652b A-2697 Woodbridge & Vaughan Telephone Company, Limited ...... Authority for expenditure of portion of depreciation reserve fund on new constructions A-2698 and extensions..... Woodbridge & Vaughan Telephone Company, Limited vs. Village of Woodbridge......Complaint re toll charges between subscribers in Village of Woodbridge and Townships of A-2739 Vaughan and King..... Woodbridge, Village of vs. Woodbridge and Vaughan Telephone in Village of Woodbridge and subscribers in A-2739 to Pine Valley & Douglas Telephone Com-A-2766 A-2792 Municipal Telephone System..... Welland County Telephone Company, Limited ...... Authority to expend portion of reserve depreciation fund on new construction, etc.... A-2888 Waterloo, Township of (Commissioners for Telephone System of).....Approval purchase of certain telephone plant and equipment of Bell Telephone Company A-3014 of Canada, Limited..... Whitestone & Deer Lake Telephone A-3026 in Township of Stephenson from Bell Telephone Company of Canada, Limited..... A-3037

# LIST OF BELL TELEPHONE AGREEMENTS APPROVED BY THE BOARD UNDER SECTION 97 OF "THE TELEPHONE ACT"

EXCHANGE	A NID	Тогт	LINE	AGREEMENTS
LAUHANGE	AND	EOLI.	LINE	AGREEMENTS

2 TOTAL TOTAL PROPERTY IS	
	Procedure
Calabaria & Day Con T. 1. 1.	File
Calabogie & Renfrew Telephone Association.	A-2684
Chaplean relephone System Limited	A-3048
Last 1 citis willing relephone System	A-2944
- Old William Minimum I Elephone System	A-2951
Franklik & Morthelli Telephone System	A-2833
1 CARAII 1 CICINOTE CONTRAIN	A-3019
a wy with the part is creptione by stem.	A-3020
Tychumaga Wumcipai Telephone System	A-3097
West Garafraxa Telephone Co-operative Association, Limited	A-2623
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	11-2025
SERVICE STATION AGREEMENTS	
D. C. 1 (D. 1. C	
Beaver Creek Telephone Company, Limited	A-2728
Finnie, Leslie I	A-2600
Falconbridge Nickel Mines, Limited.  Goderich Municipal Telephone System (covering continuance of service via Clinton and Goderich).  International Nickel Company, Limited (to Frood Mine)  Ingersoll Telephone Company, Limited	A-2602
Goderich Municipal Telephone System (covering continuance of service via Clinton	11 2002
and Goderich)	A-2921
International Nickel Company, Limited (to Frood Mine)	A-2922
Ingersoll Telephone Company, Limited (to Frood Wine)  Lorne Power Company, Limited	A-3133
Lorne Power Company, Limited.  Lands and Forests (Department of) Ontario, Special Forms	A-2601
Lands and Forests (Department of), Ontario—Special Form.  McKillop Municipal Telephone System	
	A-2717
	A-2920
Oldfields Telephone Company, Limited	A-2717
Oldfields Telephone Company, Limited.  Tuckersmith Municipal Telephone System (Connections via Seaforth, Clinton and Hensall).	A-3049
Hensall)	
Welland County Telephone Company, Limited. Woodbridge & Vaughan Telephone Company, Limited.	A-2919
Woodbridge & Vaughan Telephone Company, Limited	A-3116
Telephone Company, Limited	A-3117

# THE ONTARIO RAILWAY AND MUNICIPAL BOARD

# REPORT OF THE SUPERVISOR OF TELEPHONE SYSTEMS FOR THE YEAR 1930

The following applications under the provisions of The Telephone Act were dealt with by the Board in 1930:

by the board in 1900.	
Under Section 19: For authority to issue debentures for extensions and improvements to telephone systems under Part I  Under Section 17: For authority to extend a municipal telephone system into another township.  Under Section 19: For the approval of municipal by-laws providing for the establishment of telephone systems.  Under Section 24: For the approval of municipal debenture by-laws to meet the cost of establishing or extending telephone systems.  Under Section 25: For an extension of the period within which municipal debenture by-laws respecting telephone systems may be passed.  Under Section 27: For authority to pass municipal debenture by-laws to meet the cost of reconstructing, replacing or altering telephone systems.  Under Section 30: For authority to meet a portion of debenture payments each year by the issue of new debentures.  Under Section 31: For the approval of the purchase of an existing telephone system by a municipality.  Under Section 80: For the approval of municipal by-laws granting the right to erect poles and wires upon the highways.  Under Section 84: For the right to erect poles and wires upon the highways in unorganized territory.  Under Section 88: For the approval of the By-laws of a telephone company.  Under Section 90: For an order requiring the reconstruction of a telephone system to ensure the provision of an efficient service.  Under Section 93: For an order requiring the duplication of pole leads upon the same highway.  Under Section 95: For an order fixing the terms and conditions for furnishing service.  Under Section 97: For the approval of agreements providing for interchange of service Under Section 102: For the approval of agreements providing for interchange of service.  Under Section 103: For authority to increase the charges for telephone systems.  Under Section 103: For authority to expend a portion of the moneys set aside for deprecation upon new construction or extensions or in the purchase of securities.  Under Section 110: For authority to issue stocks and bo	1 1 3 15 1 2 2 3 12 2 4 3 4 2 3 3 4 4 8 10 4
Total number of applications	118
Total number of approach	

The Board or its Supervisor of Telephone Systems during the year visited fifty-seven

different points in the province in connection with the foregoing applications.

The continued policy of the Board in endeavouring to secure an amicable settlement of matters in dispute between the applicant and respondent has, with the assistance of the Board's Supervisor, proved successful in the majority of such cases.

In addition to the applications and complaints referred to a vast amount of correspondence relating to telephone matters has been dealt with by the Board's Supervisor, through the medium of which much information and assistance has been given to municipalities, companies and other persons interested, and many difficulties which might otherwise have necessitated a formal application and public hearing have been satisfactorily adjusted.

A number of points were visited by the Board's Inspector of Telephone Service during the year for the purpose of investigating complaints made by subscribers, and upon his report and recommendation the Board has been enabled to secure considerable improvement in the telephone service furnished by the systems coming under his observation.

service furnished by the systems coming under his observation.

The following changes in the ownership of telephone systems were made during the year: The Southern Ontario Telephone Company, Limited, purchased the undertakings of

The Norfolk County Telephone Company, Limited, comprising 2054 telephones. The Erie Telephone Company, Limited, comprising 1,695 telephones. The South Norfolk Telephone Company, Limited, comprising 268 telephones.

The Chapleau Telephone System, Limited, purchased from The Bell Telephone Company of Canada the telephone system (automatic) at Chapleau, comprising 315 telephones.

Leslie Davis, trading as The Rankin Telephone Company, purchased from the Bell Telephone Company of Canada the local telephone system in Douglas, comprising 35 telephones.

Frank Lyons purchased from John Myers the Ardoch Rural Telephone System, comprising 56 telephones.

# REPORT OF THE SUPERVISOR OF TELEPHONE SYSTEMS FOR THE YEAR 1930—CONTINUED

James J. Vogan purchased from John D. Flake the Kaladar and Northern Telephone system, comprising 41 telephones.

The Municipality of Burpee (Manitoulin Island) purchased the telephone systems of the Elizabeth Bay Mutual Telephone Company (unincorporated) and the Evansville Mutual Telephone Company (unincorporated) phone Company (unincorporated), comprising 33 telephones.

New systems were established, as follows:

By the Municipality of the Township of East Ferris, District of Nipissing, to be known as "The East Ferris Municipal Telephone System."

By the Municipality of the Township of Burpee, District of Manitoulin, to be known as "The Burpee Municipal Telephone System."

The number of telephone system."

The number of telephone systems within the jurisdiction of Ontario of which the Board has record is 608, operating approximately 115,000 telephones, and representing an investment

of about \$10,400,000.

There are eleven systems owned and operated by municipalities under the provisions of Part I of the Act, viz.: the cities of Fort William and Port Arthur, the towns of Cochrane, Dryden, Fort Frances, Kenora, Keewatin and Rainy River, and the townships of Alberton, Caledon and Hilliard.

One hundred and eighteen systems are now established and operating under Part II of the Act, and furnishing service in two hundred and sixty-seven towns, villages and townships.

Detailed statistics and other information relative to these systems will be found in the appendix to this report, entitled "Telephone Systems, 1931."

FRANCIS DAGGER, Supervisor of Telephone Systems.

#### TARIFF OF FEES

TARIFF OF FEES PAYABLE IN CASH OR LAW STAMPS UNDER SECTIONS 61 AND 62 OF "THE RAILWAY AND MUNICIPAL BOARD ACT"

For copy of any Document, 10 cents for each 100 words and 50 cents for each Certificate. For copy of any map or plan, applicants are to pay draughtsmen's and engineer's fees for

same and 50 cents for each Certificate.

In contentious matters, including arbitrations or references to the Board under Public General Acts of Ontario, requiring a Hearing, there shall be paid in Law Stamps the sum of \$15.00 for each day or fraction thereof over one half-day, and the sum of \$10.00 for each half-day or less occupied by or in connection with the Hearing, and \$1.00 on each original subpoena.

In all other arbitrations or references requiring a Hearing there shall be paid in Law Stamps the sum of \$50.00 for each day or fraction thereof over one half-day, and the sum of \$25.00 for each half-day or less occupied by or in connection with the Hearing, and \$1.00 on each original

subpoena.

IN CASES IN WHICH THERE IS NO OPPOSING PARTY

Where the issue of Debentures amounts to \$10,000 or less.....

On Order under Section 304 of "The Municipal Act," validating a By-law and Debentures,

the following sums shall be paid in Law Stamps:

(These fees shall be payable on each group of four By-laws (grouped by serial numbers) consolidated under "The Local Improvement Act.")

Whe	ere the issu	e of De	bentures a	mounts to \$10,000 or less	¢15	00
Ove	r \$10,000 a	ind up t	to \$15,000.			00
,	15,000		20,000.			00
4.6	20,000	6.6	25,000.			00
6.6	25,000	6.6	30,000.			00
6.6	30,000	6.6	40,000.			00
	40,000	4.6	30,000.			00
66	50,000	4.6	00,000.			00
6.6	60,000	6.6	70,000.			00
66	70,000	4.4	80,000.			00
6.6	80,000	6.6	90,000.			00
66	90,000	6.6	100,000.			00
6.6	100,000	6.6	110,000.			00
44	110,000	66	120,000.			00
6.6	120,000	6.6	130,000.			00
66	130,000	66	140,000.			00
66	140,000	6.6	130,000.			00
6.6	150,000		100,000.		100	
66	160,000	6.6	170,000.		105	
66	170,000	6.6	100,000.		110	
66	180,000	6.6	190,000.		115	
4.6	190,000		200,000.		120	
	200,000, s	such sur	n as the B	oard may order or direct.		
z rbbi	297 (2) (f)	of "T	he Munici	paid in Law Stamps on the following Orders and Cert ered by Dominion or Ontario Railway Board—Section pal Act"		
Appi	oval of By	r-law to	r extension	1 of Waterworks or Flectric Light or Cogwant-	\$10	00
					10	00
TYNUT	Uvai Ul a L	лан инс	rer iner	Canway Act		00
Appr	oval of Ra	ilway F	enders	aw—Section 288 (5) of "The Municipal Act".		00
Exte	nsion of ti	me to pa	ass a By-la	aw—Section 288 (5) of "The Municipal Act"	_	00
LALC.	naion or De	тенин	e issue per	100Section 796 (11) and (17) of "The Municipal A 43		00
$\alpha$	oval of br	iage U.o	nstruction	$Bv_{-1}aw_{-}$ Section 207 (2) (a) of $CT_{-}$ $M_{-}$		00
r	Oval Of Dy	-law CI	langing the	e rare of interest on dehentures—Section 200 of "The	3	00
					5	00
Kepe	al of By-la	ıw as to	amount r	not required—Section 301 (2) of "The Municipal A (2)		00
Thhr	oval of ful	ther de	ebt and lev	vies where tax rate too high—Section 306 (2) of "The	J	00
					5	00
Appr	oval of Sin	king Fu	und Invest	ment By-law—Section 317 of "The Municipal Act"	_	00
whhr	ovai of wit	micipai	ruel Bv-la	aw—Section 397 (38) of "The Municipal Act"		00
TABL	oval of lay Municipal	mg out	. oi nignw	ay less than 66 feet in width—Section 490 of "The		
Appr	oval of By	-law ab	andoning	part of local improvement work—Section 18 of "The	5	00
1	Jucai IIIIII	Ovemen			5	00
Phhr	ovai oi pia	II UI Iali	ia subaivis	SIOTI	_	00
rhhr	oval of Ita	IIway C	ombany s	FIIDIIC By-laws and Rules	-	00
Thhr	oval of Na	nwav C	ombany s	Louis and Laritte		00
*bbr	ovai oi ita	nway C	ompany s	Examiner of Motormen.		00
	On Orders	not inc	luded in t	he above list, such sums as the Roard may order or d	limont	00

On Orders not included in the above list, such sums as the Board may order or direct.

# TARIFF OF FEES-CONTINUED

TARIFF OF FEES PAYABLE IN LAW STAMPS IN PROCEEDINGS UNDER "THE TELEPHONE ACT"

ON ORDER:

On Order.		
Authorizing the passing of a By-law extending the period for repayment of debentures to cover the cost of telephone system established pursuant to Section 19, beyond	\$10	00
10 years; under Section 26	ФТО	00
	5	00
Authorizing the extension of a telephone system establishment or extension of telephone into unorganized townships; under Section 18	5	00
Extending the period within which debenture By-law to cover cost of establishing a	5	00
Authorizing a Municipal Council to pass a by-law for reconstruction, ever, and the	5	00
Section 27Authorizing a Municipal Council to pass a By-law for the issue of debentures for furnish-	5	00
Authorizing a Municipal Council to pass a By-law for the issue of debuting 28  ing service to persons not being assessed land owners; under Section 28  Authorizing the passing of a By-law providing for the issue of new debentures to provide  Authorizing the passing of a By-law providing for the original debentures falling due		
fair the movement of a portion of the Drille Unit of the Original depolitation and	5	00
in any year; under Section 30		00
Approving the purchase of telephone systems; under Sections 31 and 102	Ŭ	
Approving the purchase of telephone Systems, and September Company of Canada, Ltd.; Approving Connecting Agreement with The Bell Telephone Company of Canada, Ltd.;	5	00
under Section 97		
the state of the s		00
A 11 - i i Company to issue additional stock of bonds: under Section 111	5	00
Authorizing the removal of compatures from a Delicion Diavilly for the establishment	2	00
of a talanhana system nursuant to Section 19: IInder Section 14		00
Counting the use of highways in unorganized fownships: Under Section of		00
A the Device of a telephone company, linder Section 60,	2	00
Approving Connecting Agreement between telephone systems within the jurisdiction of Ontario; under Section 97	2	00 -
Approving regulations to prevent the misuse of system by subscribers; under Section 112		00
A Municipal Ry law granting the use of highways' Hiller Section ov		00
On any Order not included in the above list, such sums as the Board may order of	r dire	ect.

In contentious matters requiring a Hearing, \$15.00 for each day or fraction thereof over one half-day, and \$10.00 for each half-day or less occupied in connection with the Hearing, and \$1.00 on each original subpoena.

Where inquiry is made by the Board's expert, \$10.00 for each day or fraction thereof over one-half day, and \$5.00 for each half-day or less occupied in connection with such inquiry.

For copy of any Document, 10 cents for each 100 words, and 50 cents for each Certificate.

# THE FOLLOWING GIVES A BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE EXTENSIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS MADE TO THE RAILWAYS UNDER PROVINCIAL JURISDICTION DURING THE YEAR 1930

# BUFFALO & FORT ERIE FERRY AND RAILWAY COMPANY

It is reported by this company that during the year December 31st, 1929, to December 31st, 1930, that they did not make any extensions to track.

It is also reported that they did not make any expenditure on track improvements, overhead structure, rolling stock, buildings, machinery, etc., during that period.

# CORNWALL STREET RAILWAY, LIGHT & POWER COMPANY, LIMITED

This company reports that during the year ending December 31st, 1930, that they did

not make any extensions to track.

The total expenditure made during the same period on track improvements, overhead structure, rolling stock, buildings, machinery and on other improvements not enumerated was \$11,658.53.

# FORT WILLIAM ELECTRIC RAILWAY

During the year beginning December 31st, 1929, to December 31st, 1930, there were no

extensions made to tracks by this company.

The total expenditure made on track improvements, overhead structure, rolling stock, buildings, machinery and on any other improvements not enumerated, made the same year was \$16,245.47.

# GUELPH RADIAL RAILWAY

It is reported that this company did not make any extension to tracks during the year

December 31st, 1929, to December 31st, 1930.

They also report that expenditure made on track improvements, overhead structure, rolling stock, buildings, machinery, and on other improvements not enumerated, was \$26,081.08 during the same year.

#### THE HAMILTON STREET RAILWAY

There were no track extensions made by this company during the year December 31st,

to December 31st, 1930.

They also report that there was no expenditure made on track improvements, overhead structure, rolling stock, buildings, machinery or on any other improvements during the same period.

# HAMILTON, GRIMSBY AND BEAMSVILLE RAILWAY COMPANY, LIMITED

From December 31st, 1929, to December 31st, 1930, there were no track extensions made

No expenditure was made on track improvements, overhead structure, rolling stock, buildings,

nachinery or any other improvements during the same year.

# NIAGARA FALLS PARK AND RIVER DIVISION OF INTERNATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY

This company reports that there has been no track extensions made during the year of

December 31st, 1929, to December 31st, 1930.

This company also reports that there was no expenditure made in this year on track improvements, overhead structure, rolling stock, buildings, machinery or on any other improvenents.

#### INTERNATIONAL TRANSIT COMPANY

It is reported by this company that there were no track extensions made during the year of December 31st, 1929, to December 31st, 1930.

It is also reported by this company that there has been no expenditure made on track improvements, overhead structure, rolling stock, buildings, machinery or any other improvements luring the year of December 31st, 1929, to December 31st, 1930.

## Kitchener and Waterloo and Bridgeport Railway

During the year of December 31st, 1929, to December 31st, 1930, there were no track exensions made.

It is reported that the expenditure made on track improvements, overhead structure, rolling stock, buildings, machinery and on other improvements, the total of which is \$1,246.83.

# LONDON STREET RAILWAY

There were no track extensions made by this company during the year of December 31st,

1929, to December 31st, 1930.

This company reports that there was no expenditure on track improvements, overhead structure, rolling stock, buildings, machinery. There were buses purchased to the value of \$92,746.83.

# THE MIDLAND SIMCOE RAILWAY COMPANY

This company has not made any new track extensions during the year December 31st, 1929, to December 31st, 1930.

There was no expenditure made on track improvements, overhead structure, rolling stock, building and the structure of the stock improvements.

buildings, machinery or on any other improvements during that year.

# MOUNT MCKAY AND KAKABEKA FALLS RAILWAY COMPANY

During the year of December 31st, 1929, to December 31st, 1930, there were no track ex-

This company also reports that there was no expenditure made on track improvements, overhead structure, rolling stock, buildings, machinery, or on any other improvements during this period.

# NIAGARA PENINSULAR RAILWAY COMPANY

It is reported by this company that there were no track extensions made during the year

of December 31st, 1929, to December 31st, 1930.

This company reports that they did not make any expenditure on track improvements, overhead structure, rolling stock, buildings, machinery, etc.

# NORTH YONGE RAILWAY

Reported—See last item.

## PORT ARTHUR CIVIC RAILWAY

During the year December 31st, 1929, to December 31st, 1930, this company did not make

any extension to track.

During the same period it is reported that there was no expenditure made on track improvements, overhead structure, rolling stock, buildings, machinery, etc.

#### SANDWICH, WINDSOR AND AMHERSTBURG RAILWAY

This company reports that during the year ending December 31st, 1930, no extensions were made to track.

They report a total expenditure during the same period on track improvements, overhead structure, rolling stock, buildings, machinery, etc.

# SARNIA STREET RAILWAY COMPANY, LIMITED

It is reported that during the year December 31st, 1929, to December 31st, 1930, this company did not make any track extensions.

They report, however, a total expenditure on track improvements, overhead structure, rolling stock, buildings, machinery, etc., of \$7,671.20.

# SUDBURY-COPPER CLIFF ELECTRIC RAILWAY

Not Reported.

# TEMISKAMING AND NORTHERN ONTARIO RAILWAY

During the year December 31st, 1929, to December 31st, 1930, it is reported by this com-

pany that they extended the tracks of the above railway at a cost of \$2,207,440.87.

They also report a total expenditure on track improvement, overhead structure, rolling stock, buildings, machinery, etc., of \$327,010.05.

# THURLOW RAILWAY COMPANY

It is reported that during the year ending December 31st, 1930, this company did not make any extensions to track.

They also report that there was no expenditure made on track improvement, overhead structure. rolling stock, buildings, machinery, etc., during the same period.

# THE TILLSON SPUR LINE RAILWAY

This company reports that they did not make any track extensions during the year December

31st, 1929, to December 31st, 1930.

They also report that there was no expenditure on track improvement, overhead structure, rolling stock, buildings, machinery, etc., during that year.

# TORONTO RADIAL RAILWAYS

Operation of this railway abandoned March 15th, 1930, and assets transferred to City of Toronto for liquidation, with exception of 11.801 single track miles between north limits of City of Toronto on Yonge Street to Village of Richmond Hill sold to North Yonge Railways.

# TOWNSHIP OF YORK AND WESTON RAILWAYS

It is reported by the Toronto Transportation Commission now operating the above railways that they did not make any extension to track during the year December 31st, 1929, to December 31st, 1930.

It is also reported by this company that there was no expenditure made on track improvement, overhead structure, rolling stock, buildings and machinery, during this period.

#### NORTH YONGE RAILWAYS

The Toronto Transportation Commission, who now operate the above railway report that they did not make any extension to tracks during the year ending December 31st, 1930.

They also report that during the same year they did not make any expenditure on track improvement, overhead structure, rolling stock, buildings, machinery, etc.

# WENTWORTH INCLINE RAILWAYS

No Report.

ELECTRIC, STEAM AND INCLINE RAILWAYS UNDER PROVINCIAL JURISDICTION, YEAR ENDING 31st DECEMBER, 1930

No. Power Houses Steam Water			Power purchased from St. Lawrence	Pc	William. Power purchased from Light and Heat Commissioners, Guelph.	Power purchased from Hamilton Cataract Power, Light & Traction	Co., Ltd.  Not in operation at present.	Power purchased from Hamilton Cataract Power, Light & Traction	Co., Ltd. At head of Incline.	Power purchased from Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario.	Power purchased from the Great	Power purchased from Hydro- Electric Power Commission of	Ontario. Not in operation at present.
		0 0	•	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0	•	•	•		0 0 0 0 0	a	•	•
Length under construc- tion	miles	•	•	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	•	•	• •			0 0 0 0	• • • •
Total computed as single track	miles	3.60	6.50	25.496	9.05	34.3	7.65	26.2	.24	60.316	23.936 5.06	10.35	17.
Length of sidings and turnouts	miles	68.	2.	998.	1.56	•	1.60	3.6	.31	3.841	1.314	.84	<b>.</b>
Total main track	miles	2.71	4.50	24.630	7.49	34.3	6.05	22.6	.24	56.475	22.622	9.41	16.
Length of road second main track	miles	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	•	19.695		16.3	. 20	•		12.542	10.973	2.86	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Length of road first main track	miles	2.71	4.50	4.935	7.49	18.	5.83	22.6	1.45	43.933	11.649	6.55	16.
Name of Railway		Buffalo & Fort Erie Ferry & Railway Company	Cornwall St. Railway, Light and Power Company, Ltd	Fort William Electric Ry	Guelph Radial	5 Hamilton Street	Hamilton and Dundas	Hamilton, Grimsby & Beamsville Electric	Hamilton & Barton (Incline). Huntsville and Lake of Bays	Hydro-Electric Radials (Essex Division) S.W. & A. Ry	International Rly. (Niagara Falls Park & River Division) International Transit	Kitchener-Waterloo Street Ry.	Lake Huron and Northern Ontario Railway
, S		*	2	3	7	io	9	7	× *	.10	11 12	13	*14

			KAI	LWA	Y A		WIUN.	ICIPAL	ВО	AK.	D FC	OR 1930
Power purchased from the Public Utilities Commission, London.		Leased to and operated by City of	Fort William.	Power purchased from Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario.	One Hydro-Electric Power House, Power purchased from Hydro-	Electric Power System of Sarnia.  Power purchased from Wahnapitae	At North Bay, Power purchased	rrom Hydro-Electric Fower Commission of Ontario, Northern Ontario Power Co., Ltd., and Public Utilities Commission, Town of Cochrane.	Operated by C.N.R.	Power purchased from the Toronto	Power purchased from Hydro- Electric Power Commission of	Power purchased from the Toronto Transportation Commission.
e e e	•	•	• •	•	:	<b>—</b>	0 0 0			•	•	•
0 0 0 0	. •	:	• •		:	•	-			*	•	• • •
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	•	· · ·	•	•	•	.06		• • •	0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	•
28.65	ν,	6.50	5.440	20.57	9.25	8.2	582.04		7.337	253.728		14.213
86°	4.	1.50	2.115	1.04	. 23	.03	138.04		4.666	32.181	•	. 148
20.26	<del>-</del> i	ν.	3.325	19.53	9.	7.9	444.		2.671	221.547	•	14.065
7.41	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			6.10	4.50	:	1.70			102.092	:	6.002
20.26	<del>.</del>	۲۵.	3.325 10.342	13.43	4.50	7.9	442.3	:	2.671	119.455		8.063
15 London Street Railway	Midland Simcoe Railway Co Mount McKay and Kakabeka	Falls	Niagara Peninsular	Port Arthur Municipal Ry	Sarnia Street Ry. Co., Ltd	Sudbury-Copper Cliff Suburban Electric	Temiskaming & Northern Ontario Railway		Tillson Spur Line Railway Co.	mission	Toronto Radial Railways	Township of York and Weston Railways
15	*16		*18	21	22	23	*24		*25 26 27	1	*28	129

\*Steam railways. †Operation abandoned March 15th, 1930. ‡Operated by The Toronto Transportation Commission, the Toronto and York Radial Railways having been taken over on January 12th, 1927.

ELECTRIC, STEAM AND INCLINE RAILWAYS UNDER PROVINCIAL JURISDICTION, YEAR ENDING 31st DECEMBER, 1930

		1	ПL	1
C	Nelliaiks	Power purchased from Hamilton Hydro-Electric System. One elec- tric power house, Mountain Top, Hamilton.		
Power	Water		3	
No. Ho	Steam	•	2	
Length	construc- tion Steam Water	miles	90.	
Length of computed under Houses	sidings and as single constructurnouts track tion	miles . 28	205.371 1,185.528	
Length of	signings and turnouts	miles		
Total	track	miles . 28-	957.367	
Length of road	road nrst second main track main track	miles .14	191.804 957.367	
Length of road	nain track	miles14	788.983	
	Iname of Kaliway	§ 30 Wentworth Incline Ry., Ltd	Total	
7	NO.	\$ 30		

§Formerly Hamilton Mountain Park Company, Ltd.

TABULATED SUMMARY OF ACCIDENT REPORTS RECEIVED IN 1930

Total	Injured	899
Tc	Killed	22
Trespassers	Injured	•
Tresp	Killed	:
Travellers at Crossings	Injured	:
Trav a Cros	Killed	
Travellers on Highways	Injured	178
Trav o High	Killed	15
oyees	Injured	15
Employees	Killed	1
ngers	Injured	206
Passengers	Killed	9

# TORONTO, 1930

Summary by months of all accidents on lines of the Toronto Transportation Commission from January 1st to December 31st, 1930

	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total
Collisions with cars Collisions with autos Collisions with motorcycles and bicycles	Nil 432 3	4 438 2	382	302	1 360 8	2 261 14	360	1 285 1	1 310 14	1 285 3	1 395 5	1 435 2	18 4,245 67
Collisions with wagons.  Boarding cars.  Alighting from cars.  Falling within cars.  Derailment of cars.  Miscellaneous.	14 17 11	10 20 14 77 1 78	2 16 15 44 Nil 53	5 11 13 42 Nil 43	16 13 12 23 Nil 47	1 5 17 16 Nil 38	3 7 20 32 1 55	3 14 15 Nil 27	3 13 13 24 Nil 45	6 4 11 30 Nil 33	4 12 13 26 Nil 54	4 17 15 56 Nil 44	72 138 168 462 3 566
Total, all accidents		644	520	424	480	354	483	350	432	373	510	574	5,739
Personal injuries, all degrees: To passengers To others	93	103 26	65 22	52 22	48 8	41	64 8	34 4	51 9	41 12	55 9	81 5	728 144
Total	101	129	87	74	56	52	72	38	60	53	64	86	872
Fatal accidents: To passengers To others	Nil 1	Nil Nil	Nil 1	Nil 2	Nil 1	Nil Nil	Nil 2	Nil 1	Nil 2	Nil Nil	Nil 2	Nil 2	Nil 14
Total	1	Nil	1	2	1	Nil	2	1	2	Nil	2	2	14

Note:—Fatal accidents in year 1930 was 14, as compared with 24 in 1929, or 10 less in 1930. Personal injuries in year 1930 was 872, as compared with 1,063 in 1929, or 191 less in 1930.

# HAMILTON, 1930

Accidents on Hamilton Street Railway, and Hamilton, Grimsby and Beamsville Electric Railway Lines

From January 1st to December 31st, 1930

	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total
Collisions with cars Collisions with autos Collisions with motorcycles	Nil 42	Nil 39	Nil 40	Nil 55	Nil 76	1 18	Nil 55	Nil 47	Nil 30	30	Nil 27	Nil 31	490
and bicycles  Collisions with wagons  Boarding cars  Alighting from cars  Derailment of cars  Miscellaneous	Nil 2 Nil Nil Nil 1	Nil Nil Nil 2 Nil 1	Nil 1 Nil 1 Nil 1	Nil Nil Nil 1 Nil 2	1 1 1 Nil 1 1	Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil	1 Nil Nil Nil Nil 3	Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil	Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil	1 1 3 Nil 1	Nil 1 Nil 1 Nil 2	Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil	4 7 2 8 1 13
Total, all accidents	45	42	43	58	81	19	60	47	30	38	31	33	527
Personal injuries, all degrees: To passengers To others	Nil 1	3 Nil	2 Nil	2 Nil	3	1 Nil	2 2	Nil Nil	2	4 Nil	1 2	1	21 8
Total	1	3	2	2	4	1	4	Nil	3	4	3	2	29
Fatal accidents: To passengers To others	Nil Nil	Nil Nil	Nil Nil	Nil Nil	Nil Nil	Nil Nil	Nil Nil	Nil Nil	Nil Nil	Nil Nil	Nil Nil	Nil Nil	Nil Nil
Total	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

Note:—Fatal accidents in year 1930 was nil, compared with 2 in year 1929, or less 2 in 1930 Personal injuries in year 1930 was 29, compared with 38 in year 1929, or 9 less in 1930.

# LONDON, 1930

Accidents on London Street Railway From January 1st to December 31st, 1930

	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Total
Collisions with cars	Nil 38 1 1 1 5 Nil 3	Nil 55 Nil 1 2 Nil 1	Nil 34 Nil Nil Nil 3 Nil 2	Nil 25 Nil Nil 1 2 Nil	Nil 56 1 1 1 5 Nil 5	Nil 19 Nil Nil 2 2 Nil 2	Nil 54 Nil 1 1 Nil Nil 5	Nil 36 2 1 2 2 Nil 3	Nil 28 Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil	Nil 34 2 Nil 1 2 Nil 2	Nil 64 Nil 1 2 4 Nil 5	Nil 43 2 2 1 7 Nil 4	Nil 486 8 8 13 34 Nil 35
Total, all accidents	49	60	39	29	69	25	61	46	30	41	76	59	584
Personal injuries, all degrees: To passengers To others	6	1 1	1 2	3 Nil	6 2	1 2	3 5	4 3	Nil 3	4 4	6 3	5 4	40 30
Total	7	2	3	3	8	3	8	7	3	8	9	9	70
Fatal accidents: To passengers To others	Nil Nil	Nil Nil	Nil Nil	Nil Nil	Nil Nil	Nil Nil	Nil Nil	Nil Nil	Nil Nil	Nil Nil	Nil Nil	Nil Nil	Nil Nil
Total	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

Note:—Fatal accidents in year 1930 was nil, compared with 2 in year 1929, or 2 less in 1930. Personal injuries in year 1930 was 70, as compared with 44 in year 1929, or 26 more in 1930.

# INDEX TO RAILWAY LEGISLATION

The following index has been made with the object of continuing in chronological order all the legislation passed by the Dominion and Provincial Governments since 1867, affecting railways situated wholly or partially within the Province of Ontario.

"List No. 2" was commenced on page 272 of our Ninth Annual Report (1914), and is continued in the following list up to and inclusive of 1930.

tinued in the following list up to and inclusive of 1930.		
Algoma Central and Hudson Bay Railway Company: Dominion Statute	Chap.	Year 1927
Dominion Statute  Dominion Statute  Act respecting.	51	1930
Brantford Municipal Railway System: Ontario Statute Act respecting City of Brantford.	112	1920
Ontario Statute	83	1925
BELLEVILLE-PRINCE EDWARD BRIDGE COMPANY: Dominion Statute.	95	1899
Act to incorporate.  Dominion Statute	85	1908
Act respecting. Dominion Statute. Act respecting.	60	1918
Berlin and Waterloo Street Railway: Ontario Statute	58	1907
Bruce Mines and Algoma Railway Company: Ontario Statute	25	1919
Brunner Mond Canada, Limited: (Incorporated by Letters Patent under R.S.C. 1906, Chap. 79). Ontario Statute	116	1919
Buffalo and Fort Erie Ferry and Railway Company: Ontario Statute	101	1916
Buffalo and Fort Erie Public Bridge Company: Dominion Statute Act to incorporate.	74	1923
CAMPBELLFORD, LAKE ONTARIO AND WESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY—		
Ontario Statute See Act respecting Town of Trenton.	93	1917
CANADA SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY: Dominion Statute	77	1924
Note leased to Michigan Central Railway. Ontario Statute See Act respecting Township of Sandwich West.	96	1930
CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY:		
Dominion Statute	13	1919
Dominion Statute	6	1923
Dominion Statute	7	1923
Dominion Statute	37	1923
Ontario Statute	75	1923

	Chap.	Year
CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY—Continued Ontario Statute	80	1923
See Act respecting Port Arthur.	95	1923
Ontario Statute		1920
Dominion Statute	39	
Dominion Statute	28	1925
Ontario Statute	99	1925
See Act respecting Owen Sound.		
CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAYS:  Dominion Statute	14	1927
See Act respecting construction of a line between Pilkington and Niagara		
Junction in the Province.  Ontario Statute	138	1927
See Act respecting East York-Leaside Viaduct. Ontario Statute	126	1927
See schedule A, paragraph (4).		
See City of Sarnia Act respecting.  Dominion Statute	13	1924
An Act to amend. Ontario Statute	82	1930
See an Act respecting City of Hamilton.	84	1930
See Act respecting City of Kingston.		
See paragraph 5, schedule "A."  Ontario Statute	86	1930
See Act respecting City of London.  Dominion Statute	8	1930
Act respecting see (A) in schedule.	46	1930
Dominion Statute		
Ontario Statute	106	1930
See Act respecting City of Toronto. Ontario Statute	107	1930
See Schedules. Ontario Statute	109	1930
See Act respecting Township of York.  Dominion Statute	38	1916
Car Act to confirm cortain Acreements		1928
Dominion Statute	11	1,20
Revenue charge convertible.  Dominion Statute	10	1929
A t t = a consend		1929
Dominion Statute		1929
Dominion Statute		
Dominion Statute	21	1929
Act respecting.  Dominion Statute	22	1929
Act respecting.  Dominion Statute		1929
		1929
Ontario Statute		1924
Ontario Statute		1924
Ontario Statute Gity of Niagara Falls.		
Ontario Statute	106	1925
See Act respecting Township of Stamford.		
CANADIAN NORTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY: Dominion Statute	. 24	1927
An Act to provide for Capital Stock by Government.		1917
Ontario Statute		

	Chap.	Year
CANADIAN NORTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY—Continued Ontario Statute	80	1923
See Act respecting City Port Arthur.	,	27 20
CANADIAN NORTHERN ONTARIO RAILWAY COMPANY:	37	1016
Dominion Statute		1916
Ontario Statute  See Act Town of Trenton.	93	1917
Ontario Statute	53	1918
CANADIAN NORTHERN ONTARIO RAILWAY COMPANY:		
Canadian Northern Railway Company and Canadian Pacific Railway Company.		
Dominion Statute	38	1916
CANADIAN NIAGARA BRIDGE COMPANY:		
Dominion Statute	62	1918
Dominion Statute	78	1919
Act respecting. Dominion Statute	76	1923
Act respecting.		
CANADIAN PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY:	0.5	1017
Ontario Statute  See Act City of Port Arthur.	85	1917
Dominion Statute	80	1919
Dominion Statute	56	1921
Ontario Statute See Act respecting Port Arthur.	80	1923
Ontario Statute	123	1924
Ontario Statute	138	1927
Act respecting East York Leaside Viaduct. Ontario Statute	99	1925
See Act respecting Owen Sound. Dominion Statute	29	1925
Dominion Statute	65	1929
See Midland-Simcoe Railway Company, Sec. 4.		
See Act respecting the Township of York.	128	1929
Division of Capital Stock.	54	1930
Ontario Statute	106	1930
Ontario Statute See Act respecting Township of York.	109	1930
Dominion Statute	38	1916
Ontario Statute	76	1930
See Act respecting Essex Border Utilities.		
CANADIAN TERMINAL SYSTEM, LIMITED, AND THE CITY OF FORT WILLIAM: Ontario Statute	134	1929
	104	1747
CANADIAN TRANSIT COMPANY: Dominion Statute	57	1921
Act to incorporate.  Dominion Statute	56	1922
Act respecting. Dominion Statute	81	1927
Act respecting.	01	1941

	Chap.	Year
CENTRAL RAILWAY COMPANY OF CANADA: Dominion Statute	82	1919
Act respecting.  Dominion Statute.  Act respecting.	58	1921
CORNWALL BRIDGE COMPANY: Dominion Statute Act respecting.	55	1930
Detroit River Canadian Bridge Company: Dominion Statute	58	1928
Detroit River Tunnel Company: Dominion Statute	79	1924
Act respecting. Ontario Statute See Act respecting City of Windsor.	126	1929
Detroit and Windsor Subway Company: Dominion Statute	83	1927
DULUTH AND ONTARIO RAILWAY COMPANY: Ontario Statute	123	1925
English Valley and Hudson Bay Railway Company: Dominion Statute	43	1917
Essex Terminal Railway Company: Ontario Statute	102	1916
Act respecting.  Dominion Statute	51	1917
Act respecting.  Dominion Statute	84	1919
Act respecting.  Dominion Statute	60	1921
Act respecting.	77	1923
Dominion Statute	60	1925
Dominion Statute		1923
Dominion Statute Act respecting.	84	
Dominion Statute Act respecting.	68	1929
Ontario Statute	107	1930
FECUNIS LIMITED: Ontario Statute Act to incorporate.	- 137	1921
(See Section 8 Tramways). Ontario Statute	108	1923
FORT WILLIAM MUNICIPAL RAILWAY: Ontario Statute	27	1917
See Section 71, re rates, etc. Ontario Statute	60	1918
See Act of City of Fort William.	119	1921
Ontario Statute	119	1741
GANANOQUE AND ARNPRIOR RAILWAY COMPANY: Ontario Statute	103	1916

GEORGIAN BAY AND SEABOARD RAILWAY COMPANY: Ontario Statute	86	1917
GRAND RIVER RAILWAY COMPANY: Dominion Statute	85	1919
GRAND TRUNK RAILWAY: Dominion Statute	110	1921
Dominion Statute	9	1921
GRAND TRUNK RAILWAY SYSTEM: Dominion Statute	17	1919
Railway System (Second Session, Vols. 1 and 2, 10 Geo. V., page 49).  Dominion Statute	13	1920
GRAND TRUNK PACIFIC RAILWAY SYSTEM:  Dominion Statute	22	1919
(9-10, Geo. V., page 77).  Dominion Statute	16	1919
Grand Valley Railway: Dominion Statute See Act to enable Brantford to own Railway.	42	1916
GUELPH RADIAL RAILWAY COMPANY: Ontario Statute	22	1921
See Act to confirm Agreement between H.E.C. of Ontario and Guelph. Ontario Statute	105	1921
Ontario Statute	40	1923
HALIBURTON, WHITNEY AND MATTAWA RAILWAY COMPANY: Ontario Statute	109	1926
Hamilton and Dundas Street Railway Company: Ontario Statute See Act respecting City of Hamilton.	63	1918
Hamilton, Grimsby and Beamsville Railway Company: Ontario Statute	98	1928
Hamilton Street Railway Company: Ontario Statute	140	1927
Hydro-Electric Railway Act, 1914: Ontario Statute	57	1925
Act to amend. Ontario Statute Operation of S.W. & A. Railway.	55	1929
Ontario Statute	140	1927
International Bridge and Tunnel Company: Dominion Statute.	108	1905
Act to incorporate.  Dominion Statute	63	1918
International Transit Company: Ontario Statute	71	1901
See Act respecting Town of Sault Ste. Marie. Ontario Statute	93	1926
See Act respecting Town of Sault Ste. Marie. (Also Schedules I and A).		

INTERPROVINCIAL AND JAMES BAY RAILWAY COMPANY:	Chap.	Year
Dominion Statute	48	1912
Dominion Statute	53	1917
Dominion Statute	59	1922
KENORA AND ENGLISH RIVER RAILWAY COMPANY:		
Dominion Statute	44	1917
KINGSTON, PORTSMOUTH AND CATARAQUI RAILWAY COMPANY:		
Ontario Statute	70	1923
LAKE ERIE AND DETROIT RIVER RAILWAY:		
Ontario Statute	83	1923
LAKE HURON AND NORTHERN ONTARIO RAILWAY COMPANY:		
See Section 41, S.S. (2) and (3).	25	1919
Ontario Statute		
LONDON STREET RAILWAY COMPANY:		
Ontario Statute	88	1926
Act respecting City of London (See Sec. 6). Ontario Statute	27	1917
Ontario Statute	141	1922
Ontario Statute	141	1924
Ontario Statute	86	1930
See Act respecting City of London.	00	1750
LONDON AND LAKE ERIE RAILWAY AND TRANSPORTATION COMPANY:	62	4004
Dominion Statute	63	1921
LONDON AND PORT STANLEY RAILWAY COMPANY:	m 0	4000
Dominion Statute.  See Act to confirm Agreements C.S. Railway.	59	1888
Dominion Statute	60	1888
Railway.		
Dominion Statute	38	1897
Ontario Statute	75	1917
Ontario Statute	65	1918
Dominion Statute	89	1919
See Act respecting increase in fares. Ontario Statute	109	1921
See Act respecting City of London Locomotives. Ontario Statute	83	1923
See Act Village Port Stanley. Ontario Statute	95	1925
See Act respecting City of London. Ontario Statute	106	1929
See Act respecting City of London.	86	1930
Ontario Statute	00	1930
London and Southeastern Railway Company:	0.0	4040
Ontario Statute	96	1919

	Chap.	Year
Mattagami Railway Company: Ontario Statute	141	1927
MICHIGAN CENTRAL RAILWAY COMPANY: Ontario Statute	59	1918
See Act respecting County of Essex.	126	1929
Ontario Statute	76	1930
See Act respecting Essex Border Utilities.		
MIDLAND SIMCOE RAILWAY: Ontario Statute	142	1924
Act respecting. Ontario Statute	110	1926
Act respecting.		
Morrisburg and Ottawa Electric Railway Company: Ontario Statute	117	1919
Extension of Time.		
Mount McKay and Kakabeka Falls Railway Company: Ontario Statute	104	1916
Act respecting. Ontario Statute	151	1920
Act respecting extension of time. Ontario Statute	142	1922
Act respecting. Ontario Statute	111	1926
Act respecting.		
New York Central Railway Company as Lessee of Canada Southern Railway Company:	96	1930
Ontario Statute See Act respecting Township of Sandwich West.	90	1930
NIAGARA FALLS SUSPENSION BRIDGE COMPANY:	97	1919
Ontario Statute See Act City of Niagara Falls.		1928
Ontario Statute	110	1720
NIAGARA PENINSULA BRIDGE COMPANY:	88	1882
Dominion Statute	00	1002
NIAGARA RIVER BRIDGE COMPANY:	63	1922
Dominion Statute	03	1924
NIAGARA St. CATHARINES AND TORONTO RAILWAY COMPANY:	46	1916
Dominion Statute Act respecting.	40	1910
NIPISSING CENTRAL RAILWAY COMPANY:	E 6	1918
Dominion Statute		1918
Dominion Statute		1925
Ontario Statute		1928
Dominion Statute	03	1920
NORTHERN LIGHT RAILWAY COMPANY:	152	1920
Ontario Statute  Act to incorporate. Ontario Statute		1921
Act respecting.	102	2/21

ONTARIO NIAGARA CONNECTING BRIDGE COMPANY:	Chap.	Year
Dominion Statute	•	
Ontario West Shore Railway Company:		
Ontario Statute	118	1919
Ontario Statute	153	1920
OSHAWA RAILWAY COMPANY: Dominion Statute.	60	4024
rict respecting.	68	1921
Ontario Statute	113	1924
OTTAWA, CITY OF, OTTAWA ELECTRIC RAILWAY COMPANY:	400	
Ontario Statute	132	1920
OTTAWA ELECTRIC RAILWAY COMPANY:		
Ontario Statute	143	1924
Dominion Statute	84	1924
Dominion Statute	63	1925
Dominion Statute	58	1930
Ontario Statute	119	1927
OTTAWA AND NEW YORK RAILWAY COMPANY:		
Ontario Statute	116	1920
OTTAWA, NORTHERN AND WESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY:		
Dominion Statute		1919
Dominion Statute	69	1921
Dominion Statute	. 81	1923
OTTAWA STREET INCLINE RAILWAY COMPANY:		
Ontario Statute	143	1922
PARRY SOUND AND NORTHERN ONTARIO RAILWAY COMPANY:		
Ontario Statute	124	1925
PERE-MARQUETTE RAILWAY COMPANY:		
Ontario Statute	107	1930
Ontario Statutes See Act respecting Essex Border Utilities.	76	1930
Peterborough Radial Railway Company: Ontario Statute		
See Act City of Peterborough.	83	1917
PORCUPINE RAND BELT ELECTRIC RAILWAY COMPANY:	4 ~ 4	4000
Ontario Statute	154	1920
Port Arthur Municipal Railway:	0.7	4045
Ontario Statute	27	1917
Ontario Statute	119	1921

	Class	Voor
SANDWICH, WINDSOR AND AMHERSTBURG RAILWAY COMPANY:	Chap.	Year
Ontario Statute	144	1922
Ontario Statute	114	1925
Ontario Statute	117	1925
See Windsor Paving (\$45,231.40). Ontario Statute See Act Town of Sandwich.	95	1930
See Schedules to Act. Ontario Statutes	17	1930
SARNIA STREET RAILWAY COMPANY: Ontario Statute	92	1926
St. Clair Transit Company: Dominion Statute	64	1928
Act to incorporate.	64	1930
Dominion Statute		1930
Dominion Statute Act respecting.	59	1930
SAULT STE. MARIE ELECTRIC LIGHT AND TRANSIT COMPANY: Ontario Statute	71	1901
(Name changed to the International Transit Co.) See Act respecting Sault Ste. Marie.		
Schomberg and Aurora Railway Company: Ontario Statute	24	1921
See Act to purchase by H.E.P.C.		
SIMCOE RAILWAY AND POWER COMPANY: Ontario Statute	20	1917
SUDBURY-COPPER CLIFF SUBURBAN ELECTRIC RAILWAY: Ontario Statute	94	1916
See Act Town of Sudbury. Ontario Statute		1919
Act respecting.		
TEMISKAMING AND NORTHERN ONTARIO RAILWAY: Ontario Statute	25	1919
Section 5, Salary Increase. Ontario Statute	17	1920
Act to provide for an extension.		1925
Ontario Statute		1927
Ontario Statute	10	1/21
See Section 27 and others, re Nipissing Railway.		
THOUSAND ISLANDS RAILWAY COMPANY: Dominion Statute	72	1921
TIMBER PRODUCTS RAILWAY: Ontario Statute See C.P. and C.N.R. connections.	111	1930
Toronto, City of: Ontario Statute	144	1920
Toronto, Hamilton and Buffalo Railway: Dominion Statute	50	1916
Act respecting.  Dominion Statute		1917
Act respecting.  Dominion Statute		1918
Note.—Hamilton and Dundas Railway Agreement.		

	Chap.	Year
TORONTO, NIAGARA AND WESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY: Dominion Statute	51	1916
Act respecting,		
Dominion Statute Act respecting.	58	1918
TORONTO RAILWAY COMPANY:	92	1917
Ontario Statute	92	1917
TORONTO RADIAL RAILWAYS ACT:	112	1026
Ontario Statute	113	1926
Ontario Statute	23	1929
TORONTO RADIAL RAILWAYS:		4005
Ontario Statute	58	1927
TORONTO SUBURBAN RAILWAY COMPANY:	92	1917
Ontario Statute		
Ontario Statute See Act respecting City of Toronto.	94	1918
Ontario Statute	110	1919
Ontario Statute	35	1922
Ontario Statute	95	1923
Ontario Statute	115	1925
See Act respecting Town of Weston. Ontario Statute	121	1925
See Act respecting Township of York.		
TORONTO TERMINALS RAILWAY COMPANY: Dominion Statute	70	1924
Act respecting. Dominion Statute	28	1925
Act respecting.		
Dominion Statute	29	1925
Dominion Statute	70	1924
(Vol. 58, page 1615). (See last page of Prefix Statute, 1925).		
Dominion Statute	51	1928
Act respecting.  Dominion Statute	73	1929
Act respecting.		
TORONTO TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION:	4.4.4	4000
Ontario Statute	144	1920
Ontario Statute	133	1922
Ontario Statute	139	1922
Ontario Statute	115	1925
Ontario Statute	121	1925
See Act respecting Township of York. Ontario Statute	99	1926
(Beck Memorial Fund, \$10,000).  See Act respecting City of Toronto.		

	Chap.	Year
TORONTO TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION—Continued Ontario Statute	105	1926
Ontario Statute	113	1926
Railways. Ontario Statute	134	1927
See Act respecting City of Toronto. Ontario Statute	124	1929
TORONTO AND NORTHWESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY:  (Formerly Huron and Ontario Railway).  Dominion Statute	135	1913
TORONTO AND YORK RADIAL RAILWAY COMPANY: Ontario Statute	126	1912
See Act respecting City of Toronto. Ontario Statute	92	1917
See Act respecting City of Toronto.	23	1921
Ontario Statute		
of certain companies.  Ontario Statute	24	1921
H.E.P.C. of Ontario by City.  Ontario Statute	90	1930
Township of York Railways: Ontario Statute See Act respecting.	139	1922
Waterloo-Wellington Railway Company: Ontario Statute	115	1919
(Formerly Berlin and Northern Railway). Ontario Statute		1922
(Re railway fares). Note.—Purchase by the City of Kitchener.		
Welland and Port Colborne Railway Company: Ontario Statute	112	1926
WINDSOR, ESSEX AND LAKE SHORE RAPID RAILWAY COMPANY:	99	1928
Ontario Statute		1929
Ontario Statute		

Examiner.

#### FORMS

## FORM THAT MAY BE USED IN CONNECTION WITH THE EXAMINATION OF MOTORMEN

Name of City or Town

NAME OF RAILWAY and general knowledge of, and experience in, this work qualified to act as motorman on any electric motor car of said Company. I have been duly appointed an examiner under the said Act, my appointment being dated Name....

#### FORM TO BE USED BY COMPANIES IN REPORTING ACCIDENTS

ACCIDENTS: Regulations under and in pursuance of Sections 275 and 276 of "The Railway Act, 1927." R.S.O., Chapter 224.

ACCIDENTS.—Every company upon the happening of an accident shall give to the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board notice thereof in writing by delivering the same at the office of the Board in the City of Toronto or by mailing it, postage prepaid, in a registered letter addressed to the Board.

Such notice shall contain a statement signed by a duly authorized officer of such company,

setting forth the information and particulars hereinafter mentioned.

Such statement shall be divided into paragraphs, each of which shall include and refer to one (or one group) only of the numbered particulars hereinafter mentioned, and the paragraph referring to each respective numbered particular shall bear the number corresponding to the number hereinafter given for each such particular.

The numbers of paragraphs and the particulars to which each shall refer as aforesaid are as

follows:

1. Name or names of company or companies concerned in accident.

Numbers of train, engine, car or motor.
 Date and time of accident.

4. Nature of accident.

- 5. Exact location.
- 6. Name in full, address and legal addition of each person injured or killed.

7. Age.8. Married or single.

9. Passenger, employee or other.

- 10. If employee, length and nature of service with dates and periods of different occupations (if more than one).
- 11. If employee, character, experience, skill and fitness with respect to occupation at time of accident.

12. How engaged at time of accident, and how long on duty.

- 13. Cause of accident, how same occurred, with full particulars and details, and diagram
- 14. Persons in charge, with full names, addresses and the particulars referred to in paragraphs 10, 11 and 12.
  15. Result to person and particulars of injury.

16. Result to property, including amount of damage. 17. Names and addresses of all persons present at, or eye-witnesses of, the accident.
18. What investigation (if any), and result of same.

19. Verdict (if any).

The Board reserves the right to require such further and other details, particulars, maps, plans, profiles, documents, models and information, or illustration of any kind as to the nature of the accident and a full understanding thereof may suggest or require.

In pursuance of Sections 275 and 276 of said Act, the Board declares that all such information so given in pursuance of this regulation shall be privileged.

Signature of Officer.

N.B.—Give name of officer who fills out this report.

### REGULATIONS

## REGULATION AS TO HEIGHT OF CAR STEPS

Under and in pursuance of a certain order of the Board bearing date the 2nd day of June, A.D. 1909, The Ontario Railway and Municipal Board made the following regulations:

The steps on all cars hereafter constructed and used by The Toronto Railway Company and all other street and electric railways under the jurisdiction of this Board shall have steps conforming to the following regulations:

On closed single truck cars the height of the first step above the ground shall not be less

than twelve nor more than fifteen inches.

On closed double truck cars the height of the first step above the ground shall not be less

than fourteen nor more than sixteen inches.

On open single truck cars the height of the first step above the ground shall be not less than twelve nor more than fifteen inches, and the distance between the first and second steps and the second step and the floor of the car shall measure twelve inches and nine inches respectively.

On open double truck cars, the height of the first step above the ground shall be not less than fourteen nor more than sixteen inches, and the distance between the first and second steps and the second step and the floor of the car shall measure twelve inches and fourteen inches respectively.

### REGULATION RE DRINKING WATER ON PASSENGER CARS

Every Electric Railway Company in Ontario, subject to the jurisdiction of the Board, shall provide in each passenger car which runs 20 miles or more, a suitable receptacle for water with paper cups attached upon or near such receptacle, and shall keep such receptacle, while the car is in use, constantly supplied with cool drinking water for the use of passengers and the conductor and motorman in charge of such car.

This regulation shall not apply to street railways in towns or cities.

(Sgd.) C. R. McKeown,

Chairman.

(Sgd.) A. B. INGRAM,

Vice-Chairman.

(Sgd.) J. A. Ellis,

Commissioner.

Dated at Toronto, this 10th day of April, A.D. 1929.

SINCE ORGANIZING THE ONTARIO SAFETY LEAGUE IN 1913 BY THE ONTARIO RAILWAY AND Municipal Board, it has provided space in its Annual Reports with the object of Contributing towards the Publicity of the Splendid Work which is being done by the Officers of The Safety League.

#### SYNOPSIS OF SEVENTEENTH ANNUAL REPORT (1930) ONTARIO SAFETY LEAGUE

Annual Luncheon Meeting, Hamilton, February 27, 1931

Mr. Chairman, Our Guest Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen:

This matter of Safety all over the continent is a very serious subject. Particularly does it concern us here in Ontario where there were 1838 lives lost last year through preventable acci-

To burden you with statistics would do no good. Mr. Lloyd George says: "Statistics are

vain things, but with a little imagination you can get a good deal in them.

To the Safety Engineer they show where his best efforts should be directed and help to

determine the results of his work.

Suppose those 1838 people had been killed all at once through some big disaster—what a hue and cry it would raise. The daily press would come out with big headings. The ocean cables would be requisitioned, the telegraph lines would be called into service, and the radio would spread the news of the calamity, with the result that for a time at least we would be "safety minded." For the whole year then let us all try to be "safety minded." Every man, woman and child in this glorious Province of Ontario should steadily think and act to prevent these killings and numerous lesser accidents, all of which are preventable. It is up to us—we are the ones who suffer the consequences.

To save time, will you oblige me by turning to page 21 of the Annual Report which you

have before you.

Nineteen-thirty completed our seventeenth year of active safety work. At first (during 1914) confined practically to the City of Toronto, the Gospel of Safety was soon spread over the entire Province. This was made possible by the Provincial Government, and other ardent advocates of Accident Prevention whose names are listed in the report. To all of these we tender hearty thanks. In addition, we wish to thank our Safety friends in other parts of Canada, in England and in the United States.

Our Executive Committee gave generously of their time and energy during the year. We are convinced from experience that School Safety work is one of the most important features

of our Annual Accident Prevention campaign.
Our weekly School Bulletin Service was subscribed for in some 45 places in Ontario. Five

thousand Safety Bulletins were distributed weekly in the Province.

You should know about our Special Campaign of Lectures illustrated with moving pictures and lantern slides. During 1930 our Field Secretary spoke to 172,000 children in 424 schools, located in 127 different cities, towns and villages throughout the Province. It necessitated travelling some 10,000 miles by automobile. This special school work was started in 1926. During that year, in comparison with 1925, 23 less children of school age were killed; in 1927, 13 less; in 1928, 3 less; in 1929, 19 less. The moving picture, "Goofs" which follows is part of our programme now being put on in the schools.

Major S. W. Hobart, the very efficient general manager of the Canadian Auto Manufacturers

and Exporters Association, made this special school work possible last year by overhauling the automobile given us the year before. Up to the end of 1930, this car had travelled some 43,000

miles.

The School Safety Patrols have proved effective in piloting children through traffic.

The School Children's Prize Essay Competition was held in April and May. Six hundred dollars in prizes were distributed—three hundred of which were contributed by The Ontario Motor League. Four thousand five hundred and forty-four essays were submitted. The first prize in Class A was won by Eileen Birchall, of the Centre Street School, Oshawa. The first prize in Class B was won by Miss Betty Couch of Adelaide Hoodless School, Hamilton.

A \$400.00 Prize Drawing Contest relative to Accident and Fire Prevention was put on in

October for which there were three thousand drawings submitted.

At Woodstock a "Safety Week" was held May 12th to 17th and was a great success.

Through the courtesy of the schools, some 200,000 "Letters to Parents" were sent out this year by The Ontario Safety League.
Our Industrial Accident Prevention Campaign was very much appreciated by members

In all, over a million pieces of Safety literature were distributed during the year.

As part of the Safety work done by Provincial Electric Railways our Bulletins were faithfully posted, and some 5,000 Safety Calendars were distributed to their employees. Railway Safety work was done in Ontario by the Safety Committee of the Canadian Electric Railway Association. A memorandum of their campaign is in the Annual Report of the Canadian National

Safety League.
A Campers' Bulletin, dealing with accident fatalities, the aquatic hazard, fires and property

damage, was posted throughout Ontario.

About 13,000 of our Safety Calendars for 1930 were distributed in Ontario.

Your General Manager attended the Annual Convention of the Industrial Accident Prevention Associations at which 1,310 delegates were registered from 80 towns and cities. programme was well balanced and included Mr. Denton Massey, of Toronto, who spoke on "Industry's Unpardonable Sin."

It was a great pleasure to your General Manager to have had the opportunity during the year of attending a number of meetings of the Public Safety Committee of the Hamilton Chamber of Commerce. As you know, the Hamilton Chamber of Commerce are our hosts to-day. Public Safety Committee is made up of some 25 of their representative members. As a Committee they are doing splendid work. Of course, residents of a district can be more familiar with local hazardous conditions, than outsiders, and are in the best position to know the remedies.

The Ontario Safety League is "without share capital." It is supported by grants, membership fees and voluntary contributions. The statement therefor that the work of the League is de-

pendent upon monies received, necessarily carries with it our hearty thanks to contributors.

One of the best features of our Highway Safety Campaign was a card of instructions to Ontario Motor Vehicle Owners. This card, through the courtesy of the Provincial Highways Department, was packed and delivered with each pair of markers. This insured our Safety message reaching each motor vehicle owner once a year. A reproduction of the card is on page 31 of the Report.

The Safe Drivers' Club (of some 600 members) functioned successfully in 1930 and their

example made for a reduction in traffic accidents.

An unusual event last year was the teaching of bicycle safety by Mr. St. Onge, an expert rider of wide experience. His programme was closed by riding competitions for which the prizes were furnished by the Canada Cycle and Motor Company. These events were generously featured by the Toronto Telegram and sponsored by the Ontario Safety League.

Your General Manager attended at Woodstock during their "Safety Week." Through the efforts of Alderman W. J. McCaffrey, Chairman of the Woodstock Traffic Committee, and under the auspices of the City Council, a very successful "Safety Week" was held. The League supplied the Woodstock Committee with bulletins and posters for display, and through the courtery of the Poster Advertising Service of Canada, larger Safety posters were displayed. courtesy of the Poster Advertising Service of Canada, large Safety posters were displayed on billboards in Woodstock. Through the courtesy of the Capitol Theatre, Safety pictures were shown and a talk given on Accident Prevention and the Safe Riding of the Bicycle by Mr. St.

Onge.

Mr. Justice Kelley, of the Supreme Court of Ontario, when addressing the Grand Jury at an Assize over which he presided some months ago, said: "My remarks on this subject are due to a long succession of trials before me resulting from negligent driving of motor vehicles. In some instances, the acts were in the class of downright criminal negligence; in others the negligence was of a less serious character, but negligence nevertheless. In almost every trial court over which I preside there are one or more of such negligence actions in which the opportunity is afforded of learning of and studying a great variety of types of drivers. My observation in Court and on the highways has led me to conclude that the great majority of drivers are careful and that the reckless minority are those who have a selfish and vulgar disregard for the rights of others. Of all criminally reckless drivers the very worst are those who "cut out" and "cut in" on the highways. Accounts given by witnesses in Court of the conduct of those are at times appalling, as no doubt you know. I have long since come to the conclusion that punishment by fines is only in a small degree a deterrent against further infractions of the law. Another unfortunate feature is that motor vehicle "accidents" are now so common that many appear to believe that accidents are something that must be expected, and consequently that the punishment meted out to persons found guilty should be lenient.

'It may be that the new traffic laws which come into force on September 1st will provide a remedy against what the travelling public has been suffering; they should, in any event, drive from the highways a considerable number of irresponsible drivers who are a menace to the public, including not only those who drive recklessly but also those who use cars, mostly old ones, whose defective or inefficient mechanism is a constant danger especially on highways which are overcrowded with traffic.

"It is most desirable that the co-operation of as many as possible should be enlisted in an effort to put down reckless driving and to make the reckless driver an undesirable person in the

community.

The Highway Safety Committee formed by the Honourable Mr. Henry in 1927, conducted another interesting educational and advertising campaign in 1930, aimed at securing a reduction in highway accidents and fatalities.

Through the courtesy of Mr. Charles Shearer, Studio Director, a Safety message from us was broadcast every week from Station CFRB.

Now briefly, as to results, our accident records show for Ontario, in 1930, in comparison with 1929, 12 less fatalities from all accident causes, and 52 less motor vehicle fatalities.

Hamilton-7 less from all accident causes and 8 less motor vehicle fatalities. Toronto-70 less from all accident causes and 26 less motor vehicle fatalities.

There were 12 less children, of school age, killed by preventable accidents (in Toronto) during 1930 than in 1929.

To overstep a little into 1931, we have a most remarkable record. During January of this year, there was not a single child killed by the motor vehicle hazard in Ontario.

In closing, it would be hard to pay a sufficiently high tribute to our staff. They are hand picked, thoroughly organized and give their whole lives to the work.

After 17 years devoted exclusively to Safety work, I am convinced that accidents are a species of crime and like crime the greatest deterrents are law enforcement and education.

Thank you for your interest and attention

Thank you for your interest and attention.

# WENTWORTH INCLINE RAILWAY, LIMITED BALANCE SHEET AS AT DECEMBER 31st, 1930

Accessing Access	EMIDER 515	1, 1950	
Current Cash on Hand Balance in Bank Conductors' Loan Accounts Accounts Receivable Bonds and Stocks at cost	\$280 62 2,520 41 50 00 204 94 175,819 77	\$178,875 74	
Invested  Plant and Equipment, Roadbed, etc  Buildings	114,409 22	\$65,901 02	
Land			
Less Reserve for Depreciation	\$65,901 02		
Liabilities	# 00 / / 02		
Current Accounts Payable. Tickets Outstanding. Insurance—Reserve	376 00 2,460 50		\$3,136 50
	3,136 50		
Shareholders Capital Stock Special Reserve Profit and Loss Account	\$27,800 00 45,134 79 168,705 47		\$241,640 26
	\$241,640 26		
		\$244,776 76	\$244,776 76
WENTWORTH INCLINE RAIL PROFIT AND LOSS ACCOUNT—YEAR EN EARNINGS: Ticket Sales—Passengers, Freight, etc	NDED DECI	EMBER 31st	s, 1930 \$38,986 46
Interest and Dividends Bulmers' Restaurant—Dividend re Rent Claim EXPENSES: Fuel, Power and Light General Expense, Taxes, Directors' Fees and Salary Insurance Insurance Claims Oil and Waste Repairs Wages Depreciation			4,790 37 11 25
	36,647 52		
NET PROFIT FOR PERIOD		\$7,140 56	
NET Profit for Period		\$7,140 56 \$43,788 08	\$43,788 08
PROFIT FOR PERIOD  PROFIT AND LOSS SUB- Balance—January 1st, 1930 Net Profit for Year 1930 Income Tax for Year 1929 Dividend Paid in 1930 Balance—Per Balance Sheet	MMARY	\$43,788 08 	\$43,788 08 \$164,106 55 7,140 56

ANALYSIS OF GROSS EARNINGS AND MISCELLANEOUS INCOME FOR YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31st, 1930

Total	\$ 103,295 30 103,295 30 174,884 00 84,063 12 84,063 12 86,330 92 86,330 92 86,330 92 86,330 92 10,027,471 98 133,050 32 62,900 28 128,115 57 610,435 72 610,435 72 51,008 33 3,903,096 33 3,903,096 33 3,00,954 00
	C. 022 023 339 1, 04 1, 04 1, 04 1, 05 03 03 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05
From other miscellaneous sources	2,2,2 2,0 2,0 2,0 111,3 3,1 3,1 3,6 6 6 6 6
From	\$ c
From rental of track, buildings, and other property	\$ c. \$ c. 644 48
From	\$ c. 49,936 96 73 50 10,110 74 50 50 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60
From Express Parcels and Newspapers	© C.
From	\$ . c.   900 00   5,010 70   8   35 00   2,628 81   5,555 88   4,268 29   3,000 00   by City of Free States   5,439 00   3,439 00   3,439 00   1,183 04
From	\$\bigsepsec{\subseteq}{5,278 59} \bigsepsec{\superstance}{54,693 84} \\ 169,763 26 \\ 69,498 57 \\ 1,482,637 82 \\ 56,836 48 \\ Not operatin g \\ 56,836 48 \\ 1,226 85 \\ 900 \\ 26,836 48 \\ 1,226 85 \\ 355 \\ 907 \\ 1,226 85 \\ 355 \\ 907 \\ 1,26 85 \\ 1,28 \\ 104 \\ 104 \\ 104 \\ 105 \\
Name of Railway	Buffalo & Fort Eric Ferry & Railroad Co.  S,278 59  Softwall Street Railway  Gornwall Street Railway  Hamilton Street Railway  Hamilton & Barton Incline  Softward  1,482,637 82  1,482,637 82  Softward  1,26,835 48  Softward  1,26,835 84  Softward  1,28,104 91  Softward  1,28,240 07  Sornia Street Railway  1,28,240 07  Sornia Street Railway  1,183,915 49  1,183 06  Thurlow Railway  Sornia Street Railway  Thurlow Railway  Thurlow Railway  Thurlow Railway  Thurlow Railway  Thurlow Railway  Sornia Street Railway  Thurlow Railway  Thurlow Railway  Thurlow Railway  Sornia Street Railway  Thurlow Railway  Thurlow Railway  Sornia Street Railway  Thurlow Railway  Thurlow Railway  Thurlow Railway  Sornia Street Railway  Thurlow Railway  Sornia Street Railway  Thurlow Railway  Sornia Street Railway  Thurlow Railway  Thurlow Railway  Sornia Street Railway  Sornia Street Railway  Thurlow Railway  Thurlow Railway  Sornia Street Railway  Sornia

TABULATION OF COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS YEARS AS TO CAR MILES RUN, PASSENGERS CARRIED FOR YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31ST, 1930

Net Earnings		Increase Decrease	*11,523 18
Accidents	Injured	In- De- crease crease	7 4 4 4 2 38 38 38 28 28 28 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Accie	Killed	In- De- crease crease	5 7 7 2 2 3 3 3 3 4 1 1 2 8 1 1 4 1 1 7 1 7 1 1 4 1 7 1 7 1 1 4 1 7 1 7
Passengers	arried	De- crease	15,573 301,766 1,387,66 1,888,444 325,113 104,323 126,764 358,906 260,193  153,734 132,078  153,734 132,078
Pass	Ca	In- crease	2,763 6,814 111,932 th the board. 16,859 and ope rated by March 1 5th, 1930
	lles Kun	De- crease	2,763 6,814 6,814 111,932 ith the 16,859 10,770 and ope
	Car Milles	In- crease	5,798 8. 0n file w †† 341,146 1,084 1,084 †† 44,631 ck owned †† 3,442,585 1,046 andoned nt.
Length of	Lrack	In- De- crease crease	0. 50
	Name of Railway		Buffalo & Fort Erie Ferry & Railroad Co.  Cornwall Street Railway.  Fort William Electric Railway.  Guelph Radial Railway.  Hamilton & Dundas Railway.  Hamilton & Barton Incline Railway.  Hydro-Electric Railways (Essex Division)  International Railways (Niagara Falls Park & State ment on file with Hydro-Electric Railways (Niagara Falls Park & State Mailway.  Kiver Div.  International Railway (Street Railway.  Kingston, Portsmouth & Cataraqui.  Kingston, Portsmouth & Callway.  Kingston, Portsmouth & Cataraqui.  Kingston, Portsmouth

†Car miles not reported. \*Decrease in deficit on year's operations. †Increase on deficit in year's operations.

TABULATION OF OPERATING COSTS FOR YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31st, 1930

Operating Cost per Car Mile Run	\$\begin{align*} \psi & \cdot \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
Total	\$ c. 9,548 66 64,302 27 159,164 84 80,071 78 11 98,071 78 11 98,078 11 98,078 11 98,634 10 162,176 29 44,437 68 85,636 59 513,413 26 13,555 57 145,671 78 58,752 02 101,178 87 34,677 30 34,677 30 514,273 18 .
Miscellan- eous	8 c. 523 80 3,800 44 2,385 26 139,506 38 1, 28,784 80 7,182 98 5,410 44 5,410 44 5,410 44 5,410 44 7,182 98 5,410 44 5,410 44 7,182 98 5,410 44 7,182 98 5,410 44 194,211 09 2,090 00 21,360 78 2,866 12 5,636 38 4,347 00 1,520,737 04 1,4,253 24 114,253 24
Damage to Persons and Property	\$ c. 205 63 511 85 50,352 19 2,161 22 2,161 22 88 44 10,858 11 12,958 67 12,958 67 152,119 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
Wages	\$ c. 26,874 74 69,373 05 23,173 06 408,557 83 12,661 45 47,494 14 14,399 87 44,241 23 132,660 23 3,508 55 44,496 28 14,928 67 25,755 33 an National 9,814 05 27,352 02 27,352 02
Motive	\$ c. 1,107 44 4,227 62 25,658 16 8,834 69 166,857 30 17,514 60 17,920 00 12,298 92 42,501 00 um.  19,999 92 7,886 69 15,442 48 by Canadi by Canadi 640 19 640 19 640 19 46,237 82 46,237 82
Main- tenance Equipment	\$ c. 964 18 23,975 85 21,574 16 63,997 40 2,432 78 Board. 470 51 5,187 87 5,187 87 5,317 16 Fort Willi 2,448 79 25,016 26 10,760 44 10,786 92 10,786 92 10,7
Main- tenance of Roadbed and Buildings	\$ c. 375 00 7,641 63 20,508 16 9,667 42 96,610 23 18,647 35 file with t 373 98 61,220 90 22,963 77 2,329 13 8 c,711 28 54,277 37 19,472 69 1,525 71 29,405 85 cock owned 10,377 66 653,915 82 7,470 75 9,891 09
General	\$ c. 7,102 04 11,877 76 15,337 33 14,437 19 61,374 18 61,374 18 61,374 18 720 01 8,727 78 8,727 78 8,727 78 8,727 78 13,706 73 24,687 73 24,687 73 24,687 73 24,687 73 24,687 73 24,687 73 20,784 39 14,091 97 810 66,058 84 6,058 84 19,988 61 15,325 85 20,784 39 14,091 97 810 66,058 84 19,988 61 15,325 85 20,784 39 14,091 97 810 66,058 84 19,988 61 15,325 85 20,784 39 14,091 97 810 66,058 84 19,988 61 15,325 85 20,784 39 14,091 97 810 66,058 84 19,988 61 15,325 85 84 19,988 61 15,335 85 84 19,335 85 84 19,335 85 84 19,335 84 19,335 85 84 19,335 85 84 19,335 85 84 19,335 85 84 19,335 85 84 19,335 85 84 19,335 85 84 19,335 85 84 19,335 85 84 19,335 85 84 19,335 85 84 19,335 85 84 19,335 85 84 19,335 85 84 19,335 84 19,335 85 84 19,335 85 84 19,335 85 84 19,335 85 84 19,335 85
Name of Railway	Buffalo & Ft. Erie Ferry & Railroad Co.  Cornwall Street Railway.  Cornwall Street Railway.  Fort William Electric Railway.  Hamilton Street Railway.  Hamilton & Dundas Railway.  Hamilton & Barton Incline Ry.  International Railways (Niagara Falls Ry.)  Kitchener-Waterloo Ry.  London Street Ry.  Ry.  Ry.  Hamilton Ry.  Ry.  Hamilton Ry.  Hamilton Operation  Kitchener-Waterloo Ry.  Line operation  Hamilton Ry.  Hamilton Street Ry.  Hamilton Spur Line  Toronto Transportation Commission.  Cononto Transportation Commission.  Hamilton Pransportation Commission.  Condo Transportation Commission.  Condo Transportation Ry.  Hamilton Railway.  Colore Stateme Incline Railway.  See Stateme

\*Operation abandoned March 15th, 1930. ††-Not reported.

TABULATION OF CAR MILES RUN, PASSENGERS CARRIED, ACCIDENTS, ETC. FOR YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31ST, 1930

	Cost of railway construction	equipment, land and buildings per mile of track owned	\$ C. 58,991 74 48,200 64 52,233 73 139,329 70	159,808 19	17,332 11	87,549 98	127,304 55	49,511 30 51,992 70	34,061 90 10,211 56 29,834 03 28,894 60	40,390 08 12,241 24	39,420 05 97,274 47
	Miscel-	Ferry boats	0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0	:	:						
	- la	стапея		:		:	::	• •	:4 ::	: 10	
	ars	Loading	2		:	:	• •			• •	
	e e	Snow	2	•	•	•	<b>⊣</b> :	. 2		₩ : «	2 :
	Service Cars	Snow	4	:		-	: —			30	· · ·
		looT	* * * * *	•	:	3	• •	4 + 2 + 4 +			
		Coal and dump			•	:			51	. 40	
	ıer	Platform		:	N	:	2		:- : :	 way	
	Other	Cattle and box			:		::			Rail	
		Baggage fism bns	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4	2	2					=
	ser	Trailers	: r	•	:	:	:			atio nal	
	Passenger Cars	Open Cars		2	33		22	.6		: :Z :	
	Ъ	Enclosed Cars	16 18 88 88	∞	+	64	15	.32		12 adia 729	20
	of men bed	Number employ	35 57 443 468	42	70	335	31	33	54 27	18 20 Can 4.417	(a)(a)
	ts.	Injured	2	2	:	87	.2	3 92		d by	ι <b>ν</b> :
	Acci	Killed		:	:	3		 			<del>-</del> :
	Passengers carried per mile of main track owned		313,940	1 1	+	23,726	30,546	315,106	155,814	,548 ope	
	F	rassengers	1,255,762 2,814,248 1,277,096 22,657,543	344,313	oard 8,179	13,399,281	731,139	2,965,146 11,191,326	3,043,062 675,896	1,260,429 159 stock own ed and 203,680,501	288,265
	Passenger car miles run		317,961 840,123 329,616 3,092,193	199,208	with the B oard	3,247,721	274,016 250,228	356,096 2,537,408	†† 731,248 205,694	210,071 All rolling 31.844,412	104,140
	Length of switches and sidings miles		rted. 2.50 6.580 2.094	3.6	ating nt on file .312	5.268	1.314	ating94 2.00	6.50 2.115 1.04 1.	4.666	.453 11.091 .065 .148 Stat ement
	Length of track owned, miles		Not reported. 2 4.50 2 18.916 6.412 2 34.3	22.6	Not oper ating Stateme nt on file 1.438	56.475	22.622	Not oper ating 9.41 2.	5.00 3.325 19.53 8.25	7.9 2.671 1.010 221.547	
	Name of Railway		Buffalo & Fort Erie	Railway	Hamilton & Dundas Kailway Hamilton & Barton Incline Huntsville & Lake of Bays		International realway (Niagara Falls PK. & River Div.) International Transit Kingston, Portsmouth and		Railway  Niagara Peninsular Railway  Port Arthur Civic Railway  Sarnia Street Railway  Sarnia Street Railway	Thurlow Railway. Tillson Spur Line Toronto Transportation Comision	T.T.C.—Toronto & York Radial* . 49 T.T.C.—Township of York 14 Wentworth Incline Railway See

'i'-Not reported. \*Operation abandoned March 15th, 1930.

(a)—....

TABULATION OF CHARGES OTHER THAN OPERATING COSTS FOR YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31st, 1930

Net Surplus from Year's Operations	\$ c. 13,339 77 226,570 22 22,026 58 1,216 33 25,706 18 11,384 95 3,601 02 3,601 02 1,288 41 122,369 33
Net Deficit from Year's Operations	\$ C. 6,284 49 70,874 28 343 34 17,112 48 190,480 63 103,895 26 25 2,930 62 2,930 62 2,930 62 2,930 64 53,181 23
Car Mile Run  Total Revenue	\$ c. \\ \frac{1}{28.724} \\ \frac{28.724}{28.724} \\ \frac{28.724}{28.724} \\ \frac{25.503}{48.417} \\ \frac{43.337}{41.985} \\ \frac{31.615}{38.047} \\ \frac{35.977}{24.505} \\ \frac{109}{30.133} \\ \frac{60.109}{30.133} \\ \frac{14}{44.213} \\ \frac{44.213}{48.980} \\ \frac{39.352}{39.352} \\ \frac{1}{32.691} \\ \frac{11.615}{11.692} \\ \frac{11.985}{11.692} \\ \frac
Per Cc Respondition	- 200
Total Revenue from all sources	\$ 103,945 181,324 84,063 497,164 86,330 3,914 027,471 197,352 095,205 128,115 610,435 610,435 611,982 61,982 61,982 61,982 61,982 61,982 61,982 61,982 61,982 61,982
Total Expenditure excluding Operating	1
Total Expenditure including Operating Costs	\$ c. a11,563 08 a75,605 53 a218,744 84 a107,544 66 1,170,594 03 a102,602 13 a229,171 83 a528,598 31 1,659 12 13,588 08 64,913 28 a170,758 08 64,913 28 51,456 59 a17,194,651 37 * 79,347 39 a279,071 27
All Charges other than Operating	\$ c. 2,014 42 26,511 56 93,006 72 38,325 43 83,338 53 5,365 29 294,118 51 96,939 02 28,740 98 41,076 09 112,505 06 112,505 06 112,505 06 113,805 23 4,927,541 81 48,708 61 139,862 05
Transfer to Special Accounts	\$ c. 2,014 42 15,000 00 33,426 72 10,852 55 10,852 55 12,899 25 42,051 92 19,327 27 19,327 27 28,300 95 67,320 00 28,300 95 67,320 00 2751,358 81 2,751,358 81 2,751,358 81 2,751,358 81
Taxes	\$ c. 2,129 83 408 79 83,338 53 84 524 02 11,558 42 11,558 42 122 88 1,901 26 31 75 3 31 7 53 31 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
Interest and Discount on Funded	\$ c. \$ c. \$ c. \$ 9,100 000 59,580 000 27,064 09
Interest on Funded Debt	\$ c. 9,100 00 59,580 00 27,064 09 Not operatin Statement on 269,310 25 30,000 00 12,321 29 28,500 00 4,500 00 4,500 00 1,982,506 83 28,506 83 1,982,506 83 28,508 35 62,508 35
Name of Railway	Buffalo & Fort Eric Ferry & Rail-  Cornwall Street Ry.  Could 42  27,064 09  83,338 53  Hamilton & Dundas Ry.  Hamilton & Dundas Ry.  Hamilton & Crimsby & Beamsville  Ry.  Cornwall Street Ry.  Statement on file with the Board  Bays Ry.  Hydro Electric Ry. (Essex Div.)  International Transit Ry.  Cog,310 25  Cold 402  Cold 403  Cold 408  A 4524 02  Ry.  Hydro Electric Ry. (Essex Div.)  Cognata Ry.  Cognata Falls  Condon Ry.  Codaraqui Ry.  Cognata Park & River Div.)  Codaraqui Ry.  Codaraqui Ry.  Codaraqui Ry.  Codaraqui Ry.  Codaraqui Ry.  Condon Street Railway  Condon Street Railway  Codaraqui Ry.  Condon Street Railway  Condon Street Railway  Condon Street Ry.  Condo

aDoes not include transfer to Special Account. bOperation abandoned March 15th, 1930. cIncludes \$32,304.96 revenue from Ferry operation.

††Not reported. \*Includes \$10,208.94 motor coach rental and expenses. †Includes \$8,738.03 motor coach revenue, etc.

TABULATION OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES AS OF DECEMBER 31st, 1930

ASSETS	rized Cost of Rail- Cash and trial way Equip- Cash and buildings other Assets standing	\$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c
ASSE	Cost of Railway Equipment, Land	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
	Name of Railway  Capital Stock	Section

\*Company in liquidation—Salvage value of equipment, etc.

## INDEX

A

Accidents re Provincial Railways	PAGE 9
" Form for Use by Railways	183
" Summary of, on lines in City of Hamilton in 1930	170
" City of London in 1930	170
" " City of Toronto in 1930	169 168
Tabulated Summary of Reports of	108
(Dalhousie Street South)	70
Ancaster, Township of, Petition of B. Walters and A. G. Blanev et al. for Annexation of	, ,
part of to City of Hamilton	42
Annexations of Territory	, 136
Applications to Board	14
Applications to Board	7 , 136
Approval of By-laws respecting Municipal Utilities under Section 399 (2) of "The	, 150
Municipal Act"	135
Approval of By-laws respecting narrow highways under Section 490 (2) of "The	
Wignicipal Act	138
Arbitrations	, 136
Assessment Appeals	, 136
Bills (Financial).  Bridges, Relief from Rebuilding (Section 469 (9) of "The Municipal Act")	, 136 136
Extension of Debenture Issue Period under Section 296 (11) and (12) of "The	100
Municipal Act''	137
Extension of Time to Pass Municipal By-laws under Section 288 (5) of "The	
Municipal Act"	138
Interest Decrease (under Section 300 of "The Municipal Act").  Interest Increase (under Section 300 of "The Municipal Act")	139
Farm Lands, Detachment of, from Town or Village under (Section 21 of "The	139
Municipal Act")	137
Municipal Act").  Highways (Suburban) Establishing, Closing, etc. under (Section 12 of "The Planning")	10,
and Development Act )	138
Legislation, Special.	139
License Fee (Section 411 (9) of "The Municipal Act")	139
Local Improvements, Apportionment of Cost (Section 26 (3) of "The Local Improvement Act")	1/2
" " Objections against (Section 6 of "The Local Improvement	142
Act")	140
" Part only of Work (Section 18 of "The Local Improvement	210
Act'')	142
relitions Against (Section 8 of The Local Improvement	4.4.0
Act'')	140
"Ontario Railway and Municipal Board Act," references under	143 143
Parks, setting aside part of, for athletic purposes (Section 12 of "The Public Parks	143
Act")	143
Act")	
ment Act and The Registry Act	148
Railways (Provincial)	129
	144
Restricted Areas, establishment of (Section 398 of "The Municipal Act")	144
Restricted Areas, repeal of amendment of (Subsection 2b of Section 398 of "The	
	145
Sewage and Sewage Disposal Works (Section 95 of "The Public Health Act").	146
Sinking Funds, approval investment of (Section 317 of "The Municipal Act") Tax Rate, approval of further debt where rate too high (Section 306 (2) of "The	146
Municipal Act")	146
Municipal Act")	159
" Agreements with Bell Telephone Company of Canada, Ltd.	
(Section 97 of "The Telephone Act")	158

W. 1. 1. Come of Manisimal Products and Departures (Section 304 of "The Municipal	PAGE
Validation of Municipal By-laws and Debentures (Section 304 of "The Municipal Act")	131
Villages (Police), Formation of (Sections 514 (3) and 517 of "The Municipal Act").	147
Wards, Division of Cities, etc., into (Section 44 of "The Municipal Act")	147 147
Weigh Scales and Weighing of Coal, etc. (Section 400 of "The Municipal Act")	148
Works Ordered by Dominion and Ontario Railway Boards (Section 297 (2), (1) of	110
"The Municipal Act")	148 11, 135
Arbitrations	9, 136
Assessment Appeals	9, 136
Atwood, Township of—Annexation part of, to Town of Rainy River	16
В	
Banett Bros., et al, Petition Against City of Ottawa Local Improvement, Bank Street	106
Beatty, A. C. (M.D.) (trading as The Beatty Telephone System), Fred R. Currelly, et al vs.—Complaint as to service.	53
Beatty, A. C. (M.D.) (trading as The Beatty Telephone System), S. D. and E. L. Sleeman	
vs — Lerms and conditions of service	128 158
Bell Telephone Company of Canada, Ltd.—List of Agreements	130
mont	26
Belleville, City of—Annexation to, of part of Township of Sidney.  Bills, Financial.	98
Bills, Financial	8, 136
Ancaster	42
Board, Applications to	7 11
Board, Miscellaneous Matters under Jurisdiction of	5
" Sittings of	7
" Tariff of Fees of	161
Bridgeburg, Town of—Canadian National Railway and International Railways Co. vs. Assessment Appeal	33
Bridges, Relief from Rebuilding	136
Bryanton, William Arthur, et al-Petition against Town of Gravenhurst Local Improve-	64
ments—Bay and other streets  Burlington, Town of—Approval of, Restricted Area By-law 676  The street of Township	82
Burrows, A. H., et al—Petition for Annexation to Town of Timmins of part of Township	
of Tisdale	16
By-laws, Municipal. (See also "Applications to Board"):  Extension of Debenture Issue Period	137
Extension of Municipal Utilities	11, 135
Extension of Time to Pass	138 138
Highways (Narrow)	138
Interest Decrease	139
Interest Increase	139 139
Legislation (Special)	139
Local Improvements, Part Only of Work	142
Parks, Setting Aside Part of, for Athletic Purposes	143 144
Repeal of, as to Residue Not Raised	144
Restricted Areas, Repeal or Amendment of	145
Sinking Funds, Investment of	146 146
Wards, Division of Cities, etc., into	147
Waterworks, Setting Aside Areas for	147 148
Weigh Scales and Weighing of Coal, etc	
Validation of	101
C	
Canadian National Railways, et al, vs. Town of Bridgeburg—Assessment Appeal	33
Cavan, Township of—Edward Dawson, et al, vs.—Extension of Telephone System of	
Municipality of South Monaghan into Township of Cavan	
Street	27

Cobourg, Town of—Approval By-law 1421, Amending Restricted Area By-law 1375 (corner of King and Ontario Streets)	PAGE 40		
Conn Telephone Co., Ltd., Anson Kirkness, et al, vs.—Parallelling of Pole Leads of Respondent's System by Mount Forest, Wellington & Grey Telephone Co., Ltd., etc Cudmore, A. Edgar, vs. William Frayne (Thames Road Telephone System)—Parallelling of Pole Lead of Respondent's Telephone System by Municipality of Tuckersmith, etc.			
D			
Dawson, Edward, et al, vs. Township of Cavan—Extension of Telephone System of Municipality of South Monaghan into Township of Cavan	67		
Debentures, Municipal:  Decrease in Rate of Interest on	139		
Extension of Time to Issue	137 139		
Validation of	8, 131 137		
Perry.  Douglas, John, vs. Millbrook Rural Telephone Co., Ltd.—Terms and conditions of	55		
Service  Drinking Water on Passenger Cars—Regulations respecting	126 184		
E			
East Luther Telephone Co., Ltd.—Village of Grand Valley, vs. Continuous Service East York, see "York."	117		
Electric, Steam and Incline Railways under Provincial Jurisdiction, 1930 Ernestown Rural Telephone Co., Ltd.—Fred Sills, et al, vs. Terms and Conditions of Service, etc.	166		
Erskine, Smith & Co., Ltd., et al—Petition Against City of Ottawa Local Improvement Essex Border Utilities Commission—Approval By-law 74—Erection of Laundry at	116 103		
Metropolitan General Hospital Etobicoke, Township of—Approval By-law 3488, setting aside Water Area No. 15	120 55		
" Approval By-law 3489, setting aside Water Area No. 16 Approval By-law 3494, enlarging Water Area No. 7	56 65		
" Approval By-law 3544, enlarging Water Area No. 7 Approval By-laws 3545 and 3546, extending Water Area No. 7	93 94		
" Approval By-law 3549, enlarging Water Area No. 15 Approval By-law 3547, enlarging Water Area No. 16	95 95		
" Approval By-law 3632, enlarging Water Area No. 13 Examination of Motormen, Form for Report on	119 183		
Extension of Debenture Issue Period of Municipal By-laws	137 163		
Extension of Municipal Utilities	11, 135 138		
F			
Farm Lands, Detachment of, from Town or Village	137		
Fees for Licenses	139 161		
Financial Bills	8, 136		
645E., Toronto)	61 87		
" Approval By-law 482 amending Restricted Area By-law 285 as to Spadina Road	109		
Forms (for distribution to parties interested)	10 96		
Frayne, William; A. Edgar Cudmore vs.—Parallelling of Pole Lead of Respondent's	77		

G	
General Accident Assurance Company of Canada vs. City of Toronto—Assessment Appeal Gillies, Alfred, et al—Petition Against City of Belleville Local Improvement (Dundas	PAGE 50
Street)	26 27 117
Gravenhurst, Town of—Petition of William Arthur Bryanton, et al, Against Local Improvements (Bay and other streets)	64 53 51
Н	
Halladay, William Benjamin—Petition for Annexation to Town of Weston of part of Township of North York.	59
Hamilton, City of—Approval By-law No. 12 of Board of Park Management of Setting Aside "Woodlands Park" for athletic purposes, etc	54
" Petition of William Hay, et al, against Local Improvement (Cumber-	86
land Avenue)  Petition of Thomas Lees, et al, against Local Improvement (Main Street)	110
Street)	41
Petition of B. Walters, A. G. Blaney, et al, for Annexation to City of Hamilton of part of Township of Ancaster	42
Summary of Accidents (1930) on Lines in	170
lines of	170 170
(Avenue)	86 184
Highways (Narrow)	138 138
Highways (Public), Department of—Compensation to Marie Rosenfeld for Lands Expropriated in Town of New Toronto.  Highways (Public) Department of—Compensation to Henry Wade for Injurious	120
Affection to Lands in Township of Pickering	29 85
Hollinger Consolidated Gold Mines, Ltd.—Township of Tisdale vs.—Assessment Appeal Home Telephone Co., Ltd.—Terms, etc., of Service to A. E. Ramsay, etc	37, 39 115 100
I	
	4.20
Interest Decrease By-laws—Approval of	139 139
International Bridge Co., et al, vs. Town of Bridgeburg—Assessment Appeal	33
J	
Jurisdiction of Board, Miscellaneous Matters under	11
K	
Kingston, City of—Approval Restricted Area By-law 31 (1930)	115
Kirkness, Anson, et al, vs. Conn Telephone Co., Ltd.—Parellelling of Pole Leads of Respondent's System by the Mount Forest, Wellington & Grey Telephone Co., Ltd Kitchener, City of—Approval of Amendments to Zoning Ordinance By-law No. 1823	75
as amended by By-laws 1834 and 195:	41
By-law 2119 (corner of Strange and Cherry Streets)	41
By-law 2126 (part of Queen Street South)	41 54
By-law 2144 (Public Garage and Filling Station on Queen Street)	74
By-law 2145 (Lot southerly corner of Duke and College Streets)	74 89

By-law 2170 (portions of King Street).  By-law 2177 (portion of West Avenue, etc.).	PAGE 123 123
Part of Victoria Park for Athletic Purposes	83
Kitchener, City of—Petition of U. L. Cober, et al, Against Local Improvement (Samuel Street)	27
	21
L	
Land Subdivision Plans, Approval of Land Titles Act, The—Approval of Plans under	10 148
Laur, E. L., et al—Petition Against Village of Woodbridge Local Improvement (Clarence Street)	125
Law Stamps—Fees Collected Law Stamps—Tariff of Fees	7
Leaside, Town of—Annexation to, of part of Township of East York (portion of Bayview	161
Avenue).  Lees, Thos., et al—Petition Against City of Hamilton Local Improvement (Main Street).  Legislation, Railway—Index to	65 110 172
License Fee	139 139
Local Improvements—Apportionment of cost of	142 140
" Part only of work.  " Petitions against.  London, City of—Approval Restricted Area By-laws 8702-27, 8722-28, 8764-28 and	142 140
8767-28.  London, City of—Summary of Accidents (1930) on lines in	14 170
London Street Railway—Summary of Accidents (1930) on lines of	170 170 97
M	
Maldon, Township of—Approval Diversion of River Front Road	28
Maricle, A. A., et al—Petition Against Town of Amherstburg Local Improvement (Dalhousie Street South)	70
Millbrook Rural Telephone Company, Ltd.—John Douglas vs.—Terms and conditions of service	126
Miscellaneous Matters under Board's Jurisdiction	11, 136 183
Mount Forest, Wellington & Grey Telephone Co., Ltd.—Parellelling of Pole Leads of Conn Telephone Co., Ltd.	75
Mulholland, P., et al—Petition Against Township of North York Local Improvement (Dufferin Street).	
Municipal By-laws—See "By-laws."	100
Municipal Debentures—Extension of Time to Issue	137 8, 131
Municipal Utilities, Extension of	11, 135
$_{ m Mc}$	
McKellar Townsite Co., Ltd.—Amendment, etc., of Plan M. 29, Land Titles Office, City of Ottawa.	107
McKinley, Mary E., et al-Petition Against Town of Riverside Local Improvement	107
(Opening of Ottawa Street)	46
Avenue)	110 27
N	
Nelson, Township of—Approval Restricted Area By-laws 896 and 897	102
" Approval By-law 1097, establishing Sewer Area "A"	71 72
" "Approval By-law 1099, establishing Sewer Area "B"	73 73
North York, see "York."	85
Northern Fire Relief	143

0

			PAGE
Ontario	Railway	and Municipal Board Act—References under	143
Ontario	Safety L	eague—Synopsis of 1930 Report	9
Ontario	Safety L	eague—Synopsis of 1930 Report	185
Organiza	ition of J	Board	3
Ostrows!	$\operatorname{sdale}$	at—Fellion for Amexación to Town of Timinins of fact of Township	21
Ottawa.	City of-	-Amendment, etc., of Plan M. 29, Land Titles Office (Application,	
o cca may	Crey C-	McKellar Townsite Co., Ltd.)	107
66	44	Approval Restricted Area By-law No. 6796 (Markland Avenue)	57
46	"	Approval By-law 6797 amending Restricted Area By-law 6237 (Lot 3,	58
cc .	66	west side of Bank Street, etc.)	30
-		A, B and C, north side Carling Avenue, Plan 71960)	63
"	"	Approval Restricted Area By-law 6821, (Echo Drive, etc.)	76
. "	"	Approval Restricted Area By-law 6839 (part "Elmdale" Ward)	90
"	66	Approval Restricted Area By-law 6840 (part "Rideau" Ward)	90
66	"	Approval Restricted Area By-law 6988 (Maple Lane, etc.)	91
66	44	Distribution of Cost of Widening Part Beechwood Avenue (boundary	77
"	. "	line between City and Town of Eastview)  Petition of Barrett Bros., et al, against Local Improvement (Bank	11
		Street)	106
"	66	Petition of Mrs. I. Graham, et al, against Local Improvement (Somerset	
		Street West)	79
"	66	Street West)	0.0
		Street) Petition of D. C. McLaren, M.D., et al, against Local Improvement	83
"	66		78
66	"	(Laurier Avenue West)	10
••	••	Avenue)	110
"	66	Petition of J. H. Putman, et al, against Local Improvement (Putman	
		Avenue)	92
ш	"	Petition of Erskine, Smith & Co., Ltd., et al, against Local Improvement	
		(George Street)	103
66	66	Vs. Caleb Green—Assessment Appeal	53
		P	
		P	
Parks. S	Setting A	Aside Part of, for Athletic Purposes	143
Perry T	elephone	e System—Parellelling of Pole Leads of by Ernestown Rural Telephone	
Čo	Ltd. (se	ervice to Fred Sills. et al)	116
Plannin	g and D	evelopment Act, The—Approval of plans under	10, 148
Plans (I	Land Sub	odivisions)—Approval of	10, 148
Police V	illages—	-Formation of	83
Porter,	Ilhorne (	Fertion against Ottawa Local Improvement (Damousie Street)	
Port Pe	rry Vill	lage of—Detachment from, of Farm Lands of Thomas Stewart, Ethel	
Dod	d and ot	hers	55
Provinc	ial Railw	hersvays—See "Railways."	
Public I	Highway	s of Ontario, Department of—See "Highways."	
Public U	Jtilities-	-See "Utilities."	
Putman	, J. H.,	et al-Petition against City of Ottawa Local Improvement (Putman	92
Ave	nue)		74
		R	
Railway	Legisla	tion, Index to	172
Railway	zs, Provi	ncial	8
Railway	s, Provi	ncial—Accidents Reports	9 183
"	66	Accidents Reports Tobulated summary of	168
66	"	Accidents Reports—Tabulated summary of	170
44	"	Accidents—Summary of, on lines in City of London	171
66		Accidents—Summary of, on lines in City of Toronto	169
"	"	Applications respecting	129
66	"	Electric, Steam and meme, under 1 lovincial jurisdiction in 1900	166 183
"	"	Examination of Motormen—Form of Certificate for	183

	PAGE
Railways, Provincial—Extensions and Improvements to, Summary of	163
" Regulations respecting Drinking Water on Passenger Cars	184 184
" Regulations respecting Height of Car Steps	
" Returns by	9 et seq.
" Wentworth Incline Railway, Limited—Report of	188
Rainy River, Town of—Annexation to, of part of Township of Atwood	16 115
Ramsay, A. E.—Terms, etc., of Service to, by Home Telephone Co., Ltd.,	
Regulations as to Heightiof Car Steps	184
Repeal of Money By-laws—Residue not raised	144
Reports of Accidents on Railways Form to be used	183
" " Tabulated summary of	168
Reports on Examination of Motormen—Form of	183
Reports to the House, re Financial Bills	8, 136
Reports by Railways—See "Railways." Report respecting Telephone Systems	12
Restricted Areas—Approval of By-laws, establishing	144
" Repeal or Amendment of	145
Returns by Railways—See "Railways."	
Riverside, Town of—Petition of Mary E. McKinley, Wilfred Souliers, et al, against Local Improvement (opening of Ottawa Street)	46
Rosenfield, Marie—Compensation in respect to Lands Expropriated in Town of New	
Toronto, by Department of Public Highways of Ontario	120
S	
Safety League (Ontario)	9
Sanders, Harold, et al—Petition against Township North York Local Improvement	
(Dufferin Street)	100
Sandwich, Town of—Approval By-law 1900—Apportionment of Cost of Local Improvement (paving portion of Sandwich Street)	36
Sandwich, West, Township of—Approval of Restricted Area By-law 836 (as amended by	
By-law 855), (Areas 1, 2 and 3)	26
Saunders, E. N., et al—Objection to City of Toronto Local Improvement ("Jarvis Street Extension")	111
Scarborough, Township of—Approval By-law 1751 (setting apart Water Area No. 3)	128
Sewage and Sewage Disposal Works	146
Sidney, Township of—Annexation part of, to City of Belleville	98
Sills, Fred, et al, vs. Ernestown Rural Telephone Co., Ltd.—Terms and conditions of	116
service, etc	146
Sittings of Board	7
Sleeman, S. D. and E. L., vs. A. C. Beatty, M.D. (Beatty Telephone System)—Terms and	128
conditions of telephone service	120
Ottawa Street)	46
	67
of CavanSpecial Legislation	139
Stamps, Law—Fees Collected	7
Stewart, Thomas, et al—Application of, for Detachment of Farm Lands from Village of	~ ~
Port Perry	55
Stouffville, Village of—Annexation to, of part of Township of Whitchurch	128
Stratford, City of—Approval By-law 3315 amending Restricted Area By-law 2740 as to Part Lot No. "F," Canada Company's Survey	53
" " Approval By-law 3341, amending Restricted Area By-law 2740 as to	30
Duke Street	108
" Approval By-law 3346, amending Restricted Area By-law 2740 as to	
part of Lot 12, Canada Company's Survey	104
" Approval By-law 3349, amending Restricted Area By-law 2740 as to	105
Lot 98.	105
Sturgeon Point, Village of—Approval Restricted Area By-law 118	40
Subdivision Plans (Land)—Approval of	10
Sunderland Telephone Co., Ltd.—Parallelling of Pole Leads of, etc., by Home Telephone Co., Ltd. (service to A. E. Ramsay)	115
Con Data (outries to an Di Lambury)	113

Т

Tariff of Board	1's Fees	PAGE 161
	proval Further Debt Where Rate too High	146
Telephone Sys	tems—Agreements with Bell Telephone Company of Canada, Ltd., list of	158
u	" List of Applications respecting	150
	Report re	
Thompson Ad	nexations of	8
ment of Ea	est 31st Street)	41
Timmins, Tow	on of—Annexation to, of part of Township of Tisdale	16
Road)		21, 122
46 46	ship of—Annexation of, part of, to Town of Timmins.  Vs. Hollinger Consolidated Gold Mines, Ltd.—Assessment Appeal.	16, 21 37, 39
Toronto, City	of-Annexation to, of part, Township of East York (portion of Bayview	
<b>u</b> u	Avenue)	65
u u	East)	15
66 66	8867 (northwest corner of Avenue Road and Bernard Avenue) Approval Restricted Area By-law 12447, as amended by By-laws 12508	37
•	12721, Whitehall Road, part Summerhill Avenue, part Douglas	
	Drive, Gregory Avenue and Highland Avenue	51
u u	Approval Restricted Area By-law 12604 (Oriole Parkway District)	80
	Approval Restricted Area By-law 12621 (portion of St. Clair Avenue	0.4
u	West)	81
66 66	(No. 130 Hammersmith Avenue)	88
	wood Avenue	88
ec ec	Approval Restricted Area By-law 12665 (Avenue Road)	97
<i>u</i>	Approval By-law 12685, amending By-law 9495 as to (108 Heath	
a a	Street)	101
	Approval By-law 12687, repealing By-law 9651 as to (185 Cottingham Street)	99
"	Approval Restricted Area By-laws 12713 and 12766 (north of Roselawn	77
	Avenue and west of Rosewell Avenue)	115
ш	Approval By-law 12760, repealing Restricted Area By-laws 4469, 6109	
"	and 9874 as to north side of Bloor Street and east of Walmer Road	114
u u	Approval By-law 12763, repealing By-law 8866 as to 110 Bedford Road Approval By-law 12808, repealing Restricted Area By-law 9683 as to	114
	NT CO . TO 1	124
u u	Approval Restricted Area By-law 12833 (Heath Street East)	125
uuu	Approval Restricted Area By-law 12834 (Rosehill and Avoca Avenues)	126
46	Approval By-law 12835, respecting Restricted Area By-law 11961 as	400
u u	to Nos. 40 and 42 Wells Street	126
	to Nos. 7 and 114 Eglinton Avenue East	125
"	Approval of part of City's General Plan (Section 25, etc.)	73
"	Objection of E. N. Saunders, et al, against Local Improvement ("Jarvis	, ,
44 44	Street Extension")	111
	Petition of S. K. Hisey, et al, against Local Improvement (Glenwood	0.5
"	Avenue) Petition, H. C. Tinline, et al, against Local Improvement (Indian	85
	Road)	121
u u	Road)	102
и	Summary of Accidents (1930) on lines in	169
u u	Vs. The General Accident Assurance Company of Canada—Assessment	
	Appeal	50
u u	Vs. The Guardian Realty Company of Canada, Ltd.—Assessment	
u u	Appeal	51
	Vs. Toronto Terminal Railway Co.—Assessment Appeal	47
	nal Railway Co., City of Toronto vs.—Assessment Appeal	47
	portation Commission—Summary of Accidents (1930) on lines of	169
Tuckersmith, 1	Municipality of—Parallelling of Pole Lead of Thames Road Telephone	
System		77
Tyendinaga, C	ommissioners for Telephone System of, Municipality of, vs. James V.	
walsh—Ter	ms, etc., for use of poles	61

		U	
		ension of	PAGE 11, 135
Utilities (Publi	c)		12
		* 7	
		V	
Validation of N		y-laws and Debentures—List of applications respecting	131
Villages (Police		on of	147
		W	
Wade, Henry v	s. Departme	ent of Public Highways—Compensation for Injurious Affection	20
to Lands in Walsh, James	i Township ( V., Commis	of Pickeringsioners for Telephone System of, Municipality of Tyendinaga	29
vs.—Terms	s, etc., for us	se of polesn for Annexation to City of Hamilton of part of Township of	61
Ancaster			42
Waterworks, A	Approval By	etc., intolaws Setting Aside Areas for, etc	147 147
		g of Coal, etc.—Approval By-laws for	148 188
Weston, Town	ofAnnexa	ation to, of part, Township of North York (petition of William	59
Whitchurch, T	ownship of-	—Annexation of, part of, to Village of Stouffville	128
Woodbridge an Woodbridge, V	nd Vaughan Village of—I	Telephone Co., Ltd.—Village of Woodbridge vs. Toll Charges Petition of E. L. Laur, against Local Improvement (Clarence	68
Street)		Woodbridge and Vaughan Telephone Co., Ltd.—Toll Charges	125 68
Works Ordered	d by Domini	on and Ontario Railway Boards—Approval of By-laws for	148
		Y	
York, Townsh	nip of—Appr	roval By-law 10509, Consolidating Lambton Fire Area No. 2	
and Humb York (East).	ercrest Fire Fownship of	Area No. 8	75
"	46	and Town of Leaside	65
		1243 (addition of lots at northeast and northwest corners	0.1
York (North),	Township o	of Aldwych and Woodycrest Avenues)of—Annexation of, part of, to Town of Weston—Petition of	81
u	ч	William Benjamin Halladay	59 25
"	"	Approval Restricted Area By-law 866 (Bayview Avenue,	
46	66	etc.)	*
66	ш	etc.)	118 118
66	"	Approval Restricted Area By-law 869 (150 feet east of Yonge Street, etc.)	
и	u	Approval Restricted Area By-law 1096 (First Avenue west	
ш	ш	of Yonge Street, etc.)	118
и	44	etc.)	118
ű	4	Approval Restricted Area By-law 1099 (150 feet north of	
66	u	Lawrence Avenue, etc.)	
и	u	from Lawrence Avenue, etc.)	122
и	ш	Petition P. Mulholland, et al, against Local Improvement	











